

# CORRECTION TO ‘ON THE RELATIVE GALOIS MODULE STRUCTURE OF RINGS OF INTEGERS IN TAME EXTENSIONS’

A. AGBOOLA AND L. R. MCCULLOH

ABSTRACT. This note explains and corrects an error in our paper ‘On the relative Galois module structure of tame extensions’. The main results of the paper remain unchanged.

The proof of [1, Theorem E] contains an error. The error occurs in the proof of [1, Theorem 15.5] where the existence of a cohomology class  $b$  satisfying certain local properties is claimed. Unfortunately the class  $b$  constructed there will in general be ramified at places in  $T$  (because  $\Omega_F$  in general acts non-trivially on  $T$ ), and so will not satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of [1, Theorem 15.5]. This in turn invalidates Step III of the proof of [1, Theorem 16.4]. We are most grateful to Brandon Alberts, Ila Varma, Jiyua Wang, and Melanie Wood for pointing this out to us.

In what follows, we shall describe how the arguments of [1, Section 16] may be modified (completely avoiding Step III of the proof of [1, Theorem 16.4]) in order to prove [1, Theorem E]. This is accomplished by replacing the use of ‘Property R’ in [1, Definition 16.1] by a much weaker condition (see Definition 1.1 below) which nevertheless suffices for our purposes.

## 1. CORRECTIONS

The following definition replaces [1, Definition 16.1] (where the definition of ‘Property R’ is given).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $S$  be any finite (possibly empty) set of finite places of  $F$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be a finite extension. We shall say that  $\mathrm{LC}(O_F G)_S$  *satisfies Property*  $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  if the following holds. Suppose given any fully ramified  $x \in \mathrm{LC}(O_F G)_S$ . For each finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , suppose also given a homomorphism  $\pi_{v,x} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_{F_v}, G)$  such that  $[\pi_{v,x}] \in H_t^1(F_v, G)$  and  $\lambda_v(x) = \Psi_v([\pi_{v,x}])$ . (Note that, in general, such a choice of  $\pi_{v,x}$  is not in general unique.) Then there exists  $\Pi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega_F, G)$  with  $[\Pi] \in H_t^1(F, G)$  such that if we set

$$S_\Pi(x) := \{v : \Pi_v \text{ is ramified, and } \lambda(x)_v \text{ is unramified}\},$$

then:

- (i)  $\Pi|_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x}|_{I_v}$  for all  $v \notin S_\Pi(x)$ ;

---

*Date:* December 29, 2019.

(ii) Each place  $v \in S_{\Pi}(x)$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}/F$  is a finite extension, and that  $F_{|G|} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , where  $F_{|G|}$  denotes the ray class group of  $F$  modulo  $|G| \cdot O_F$ . Then if  $v$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ , it follows that  $q_v \equiv 1 \pmod{|G|}$ , and so  $\Sigma_v(G) = G$ . Similarly, if  $H \leq G$ , then we also have  $\Sigma_v(H) = H$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $G$  is abelian, then  $\text{LC}(O_F G)$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  for any finite extension  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .*

*Proof.* We shall in fact prove a stronger result. Suppose that  $G$  is abelian, and let  $x \in \text{LC}(O_F G)$ . (Note that we do not assume that  $x$  is fully ramified.) Then [1, Theorem 14.2] implies that  $x$  is cohomological. As  $G$  is abelian, the maps  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi_v$  are injective (see [1, Propositions 14.1 and 14.3]). Hence it follows that there is a unique  $[\Pi] \in H_t^1(F, G)$  such that  $x = \Psi([\Pi])$ , and a unique  $[\pi_{v,x}] \in H_t^1(F_v, G)$  such that  $\lambda_v(x) = \Psi_v([\pi_{v,x}])$ . We therefore see that

$$\lambda_v(x) = \Psi_v([\Pi_v]) = \Psi([\pi_{v,x}]),$$

and so  $\Pi_v = \pi_{v,x}$ . Hence  $S_{\Pi}(x) = \emptyset$ , and this implies that  $\text{LC}(O_F G)$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  for any finite extension  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .  $\square$

The following result replaces [1, Theorem 16.4]. We shall follow [1] very closely in order to help the reader make any desired comparisons.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be a finite, abelian extension with  $F_{|G|} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Suppose that there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0,$$

*where  $B$  is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $l \cdot B = 0$  for an odd prime  $l$ . Let  $S$  be any finite set of finite places of  $F$  containing all places dividing  $|G|$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *The set  $\text{LC}(O_F D)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}'/F)$  for any abelian extension  $\mathcal{F}'/F$  satisfying  $F_{|D|} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$  (so, in particular,  $\text{LC}(O_F D)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ );*

(ii) *The field  $F$  contains no non-trivial  $l$ -th roots of unity.*

*Then  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  also satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ .*

*Proof.* We shall establish this result in several steps, one of which crucially involves Neukirch's Lifting Theorem (see [1, Theorem 15.1]).

Suppose that  $x \in \text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  is fully ramified. For each finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , choose  $\pi_{v,x} \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{F_v}, G)$  such that  $[\pi_{v,x}] \in H_t^1(F_v, G)$  with

$$\lambda_v(x) = \Psi_v([\pi_{v,x}]).$$

The choice of  $\pi_{v,x}$  is not unique. However, if  $a(\pi_{v,x})$  is any normal integral basis generator of  $F_{\pi_{v,x}}/F_v$ , with Stickelberger factorisation (see [1, Definition 7.12])

$$\mathbf{r}_G(a(\pi_{v,x})) = u(a(\pi_{v,x})) \cdot \mathbf{r}_G(a_{nr}(\pi_{v,x})) \cdot \mathbf{r}_G(\varphi(\pi_{v,x})), \quad (1.1)$$

then [1, Proposition 10.5(c)] implies that  $\text{Det}(\mathbf{r}_G(\varphi(\pi_{v,x})))$  is independent of the choice of  $\pi_{v,x}$ . Hence, if  $\varphi(\pi_{v,x}) = \varphi_{v,s}$ , say, then it follows from [1, Proposition 10.5(b)] that the subgroup  $\langle s \rangle$  of  $G$  (up to conjugation) and the determinant  $\text{Det}(\mathbf{r}_G(\varphi_{v,s}))$  of the resolvent  $\mathbf{r}_G(\varphi_{v,s})$  do not depend upon the choice of  $\pi_{v,x}$ .

We write  $q : G \rightarrow D$  for the obvious quotient map, and we use the same symbol  $q$  for the induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(O_F G, F^c) &\rightarrow K_0(O_F D, F^c), & H^1(F, G) &\rightarrow H^1(F, D), \\ H^1(F_v, G) &\rightarrow H^1(F_v, D). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\bar{x} := q(x), \quad \pi_{v,\bar{x}} := q(\pi_{v,x}).$$

Then  $\bar{x} \in \text{LC}(O_F D)_S$  with

$$\lambda_v(\bar{x}) = \Psi_{D,v}(\pi_{v,\bar{x}})$$

for each finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , and  $\bar{x}$  is fully ramified.

By hypothesis,  $\text{LC}(O_F D)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ , and so there exists  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_F, D)$  with  $[\rho] \in H_t^1(F, D)$  unramified outside  $S$ , such that

(i) We have

$$\rho|_{I_v} = \pi_{v,\bar{x}}|_{I_v} \quad (1.2)$$

for each finite place  $v \notin S_\rho(\bar{x})$ .

(ii) Each place  $v \in S_\rho(\bar{x})$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .

Hence, for each  $v$  at which  $\bar{x}$  is ramified,  $\rho$  is also ramified, and we have that

$$\text{Det}(\mathbf{r}_D(\varphi(\rho_v))) = \text{Det}(\mathbf{r}_D(\varphi(\pi_{v,\bar{x}}))),$$

using the notation established in (1.1) above concerning Stickelberger factorisations. As  $\bar{x}$  is fully ramified, we see from the proof of [1, Theorem 13.6] that  $\rho$  is surjective, and so  $F_\rho$  is a field. We also see that, as  $\bar{x} \in \text{LC}(O_F D)_S$ , the extension  $F_\rho/F$  is unramified at all places

dividing  $|D|$ . Furthermore, if  $v \mid l$  (so  $v \in S$ ), then since  $\pi_{v,x}$  is unramified, the same is true of  $\pi_{v,\bar{x}}$ , and so  $F_\rho/F$  is also unramified at  $v$ . Hence, as  $F \cap \mu_l = \{1\}$  by hypothesis, it follows that  $F_\rho \cap \mu_l = \{1\}$  also.

For each finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , we are now going to use the fact that  $x \in \text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  to construct a lift  $\tilde{\rho}_v \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_{F_v}, G)$  of  $\rho_v$  such that  $[\tilde{\rho}_v] \in H_t^1(F_v, G)$  with

$$\tilde{\rho}_v \mid_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x} \mid_{I_v} \tag{1.3}$$

for all places  $v$  at which  $x$  is ramified.

Write

$$\rho_v = \rho_{v,r} \cdot \rho_{v,nr},$$

with  $[\rho_{v,nr}] \in H_{nr}^1(F_v, D)$  (see [1, (7.7)]). Since  $\rho_{v,nr}$  is unramified, [1, Proposition 15.2] implies that  $[\rho_{v,nr}]$  may be lifted to  $[\tilde{\rho}_{v,nr}] \in H_{nr}^1(F_v, G)$ . Let  $a(\tilde{\rho}_{v,nr})$  be a normal integral basis generator of  $F_{\tilde{\rho}_{v,nr}}/F_v$ .

(a) Suppose first that  $v \notin S_\rho(\bar{x})$ . If  $\varphi(\pi_{v,x}) = \varphi_{v,s}$ , then  $\varphi(\pi_{v,\bar{x}}) = \varphi_{v,\bar{s}}$ , where  $\bar{s} = q(s)$ , and so we have

$$\varphi(\rho_v) = \varphi(\pi_{v,\bar{x}}) = \varphi_{v,\bar{s}}$$

(see (1.2)).

It follows that  $\mathbf{r}_G(a(\tilde{\rho}_{v,nr})) \cdot \mathbf{r}_G(\varphi_{v,s})$  is the resolvent of a normal integral basis generator of a tame Galois  $G$ -extension  $F_{\tilde{\rho}_v}/F_v$  such that  $q([\tilde{\rho}_v]) = \rho_v$  (cf. [1, Corollary 7.8 and Theorem 7.9]). As  $\varphi(\pi_{v,x}) = \varphi_{v,s}$ , we see from the construction of  $\tilde{\rho}$  that

$$\tilde{\rho}_v \mid_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x} \mid_{I_v} = \tilde{\varphi}_{v,s},$$

where  $[\tilde{\varphi}_{v,s}] \in H_t^1(I_v, G)$  is defined in [1, Remark 7.11]. The map  $\tilde{\rho}_v$  is our desired lift of  $\rho_v$ .

(b) Suppose now that  $v \in S_\rho(\bar{x})$  with  $\varphi(\rho_v) = \varphi_{v,\bar{s}}$ , say. Then  $v$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ , and so  $\Sigma_v(G) = G$  (see Remark 1.2). Hence, if we choose any  $s \in G$  such that  $q(s) = \bar{s}$ , then, arguing just as in (a) above, we see that  $\mathbf{r}_G(a(\tilde{\rho}_{v,nr})) \cdot \mathbf{r}_G(\varphi_{v,s})$  is the resolvent of a normal integral basis generator of a tame Galois  $G$ -extension  $F_{\tilde{\rho}_v}/F_v$  such that  $q([\tilde{\rho}_v]) = \rho_v$ . We have that

$$\tilde{\rho}_v \mid_{I_v} = \tilde{\varphi}_{v,s}.$$

We are now ready to apply the results contained in [1, Section 15]. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{q} & D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \uparrow \rho & & \\
 & & & & & & \Omega_F & & 
 \end{array}$$

The group  $D$  acts on  $B$  via inner automorphisms, and we view  $B$  as being an  $\Omega_F$ -module via  $\rho$ . Then  $B$  is a simple  $\Omega_F$ -module because  $B$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\rho$  is surjective. The field of definition  $F(B)$  of  $B$  is contained in the field  $F_\rho$ , and so in particular  $F(B)$  contains no non-trivial  $l$ -th roots of unity. We are going to construct an element  $\Pi \in \mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_F, G)$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\Pi|_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x}|_{I_v}$  for each finite place  $v \notin S_\Pi(x)$ ;
- (ii) Each place  $v \in S_\Pi(x)$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .

This will be accomplished in the following two steps:

I. We begin by observing that our construction above of a lift  $\tilde{\rho}_v$  of  $\rho_v$  for each finite  $v$  shows that  $J_f(\mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_F, G))$  is non-empty. Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{v : x \text{ is ramified at } v\} \cup S \cup S_\rho(\bar{x}).$$

[1, Theorem 15.1] implies that there exists  $\Pi_1 \in \mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_F, G)$  such that  $\Pi_{1,v} = \tilde{\rho}_v$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Note also that  $\Pi_1$  may well be ramified outside  $\mathcal{S}$ .

II. Recall that  $\mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_F, G)$  (respectively  $\mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_{F_v}, G)$  for each finite  $v$ ) is a principal homogeneous space over  $H^1(F, B)$  (respectively  $H^1(F_v, B)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  denote the set of finite places  $v \notin \mathcal{S}$  of  $F$  at which  $\Pi_1$  is ramified. For each  $v \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , choose  $y_v \in H^1(F_v, B)$  so that  $y_v \cdot \Pi_{1,v} \in \mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_{F_v}, G)$  is unramified.

[1, Theorem 15.3] implies that there exists an element  $z \in H^1(F, B)$  such that

$$(z1) \quad z_v = y_v \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{S}_1;$$

$$(z2) \quad z_v = 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{S};$$

(z3) If  $v \notin \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ , then  $z_v$  is cyclic, and if  $z_v$  is ramified, then  $v$  splits completely in the abelian extension  $(F(B) \cdot \mathcal{F})/F$ .

Set

$$\Pi := z \cdot \Pi_1 \in \mathcal{H}om_D(\Omega_F, G).$$

Then it follows from the construction of  $\Pi$  that

$$\Pi|_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x}|_{I_v}$$

for each finite place  $v$  of  $F$  at which  $x$  is ramified, and that each place  $v \in S_{\Pi}(x)$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .

This completes the proof that that  $\text{LC}(O_F G)$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.4 (in conjunction with Proposition 1.3) yields an abundant supply of groups  $G$  for which  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  for a suitable choice of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{F}/F$  (and therefore also for which Theorem 1.8 holds, under certain additional hypotheses). Here is an example of this.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be of odd order, and let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be any finite abelian extension with  $F_{|G|} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that  $F$  contains no non-trivial  $|G|$ -th roots of unity. Let  $S$  be any finite set of finite places of  $F$  containing all places dividing  $|G|$ . Then  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ .*

*Proof.* We first note that Proposition 1.3 implies that if  $G$  is abelian, then  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  for any finite extension  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .

Suppose now that  $G$  is an arbitrary finite group of odd order. As  $|G|$  is odd, a well known theorem of Feit and Thompson (see [2]) implies that  $G$  is soluble. Hence  $G$  has an abelian minimal normal subgroup  $B$  such that  $l \cdot B = 0$  for some odd prime  $l$  (see e.g. [4, Theorem 5.24]), and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$$

with  $D$  soluble. We may therefore suppose by induction that  $\text{LC}(O_F D)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ . The desired result now follows from Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**Remark 1.6.** It follows from Proposition 1.3 that in Theorem 1.4, we may take  $D$  to be a finite abelian group of arbitrary order (subject of course to the obvious constraint that all other conditions of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied). This enables one to show that Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  (for  $\mathcal{F}/F$  as in Theorem 1.4) holds for many non-abelian groups of even order (e.g.  $S_3$ ). However, if for example  $G$  is a non-abelian 2-group (e.g.  $H_8$ ), then because  $\mu_2 \subseteq F$  for any number field  $F$ , we can no longer appeal to Neukirch's Lifting Theorem, and our proof of Theorem 1.4 fails. It appears very likely that new ideas are needed to establish Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$  in such cases (cf. also the remarks contained in the final paragraph of [3, Introduction], where a similar difficulty is briefly discussed in the context of the inverse Galois problem for finite groups).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** *Fix an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $O_F$  such that*

(i)  *$\mathfrak{a}$  is divisible by  $|G|^n \cdot O_F$ , where  $n \geq 1$  is an integer large enough for the homomorphism  $\Theta_{\mathfrak{a}}^t$  of [1, Proposition 11.6] to be defined;*

(ii)  $F(\zeta_{|G|}) \subseteq F_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , where  $F_{\mathfrak{a}}$  denotes the ray class field of  $F$  modulo  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

Let  $s \in G$  with  $s \neq e$ , and suppose that  $v$  is a finite place of  $F$  which is totally split in  $F_{\mathfrak{a}}/F$ . (Note that Remark 1.2 implies that  $f_{v,s}$  is defined.) Then there exists  $b(f_{v,s}) \in \text{LC}(O_F G)$  with  $\partial^0(b(f_{v,s})) = 0$  such that

$$\lambda(b(f_{v,s})) = \alpha_{nr} \cdot K\Theta^t(f_{v,s}),$$

where  $\alpha_{nr} \in \prod_v \text{Im}(\Psi_v)$ . (Hence,  $b(f_{v,s})$  is ramified only at  $v$ .)

If  $G$  is abelian, then in fact  $b(f_{v,s}) \in \text{Im}(\Psi)$ .

*Proof.* As  $v$  is totally split in  $F_{\mathfrak{a}}/F$ , the element  $f_{v,s}$  maps to zero under the natural surjection  $\mathbf{F}_S \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(\Lambda(O_F G))$  (see [1, Proposition 11.5]). Hence it follows that there exist  $\alpha_{nr} \in \prod_v \text{Im}(\Psi_v)$  and  $\alpha_{\infty} \in \partial^1(K_1(F^c G))$  such that

$$\alpha_{\infty} \cdot \alpha^{nr} \cdot K\Theta^t(f_{v,s}) = 1$$

i.e.

$$\alpha_{\infty}^{-1} = \alpha^{nr} \cdot K\Theta^t(f_{v,s}). \quad (1.4)$$

We now see that the class  $b(f_{v,s}) \in K_0(O_F G, F^c)$  represented by the idele

$$((1)_v, \alpha_{\infty}) \in J(K_1(FG)) \times \text{Det}(F^c G)$$

satisfies the required conditions. If in addition  $G$  is abelian, then  $\text{Im}(\Psi) = \text{LC}(O_F G)$ , and so in fact  $b(f_{v,s}) \in \text{Im}(\Psi)$ , as asserted.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8.** *We retain the notation established in Proposition 1.7. Let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be a finite extension with  $F_{\mathfrak{a}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Suppose that  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ , and that  $(|G^{\text{ab}}|, h_F) = 1$ . Assume also either that  $F$  has no real places or that  $G$  admits no irreducible, symplectic characters. Then  $\mathcal{R}(O_F G)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(O_F G)$ . If  $c \in \mathcal{R}(O_F G)$ , then there exist infinitely many  $[\pi] \in H_t^1(F, G)$  such that  $F_{\pi}$  is a field and  $(O_{\pi}) = c$ . The extensions  $F_{\pi}/F$  may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from  $S$ .*

*Proof.* [1, Proposition 13.5] implies that

$$\partial^1(K_1(F^c G)) \cdot \text{LC}(O_F G) = \partial^1(K_1(F^c G)) \cdot \text{LC}(O_F G)_S.$$

Recall (see [1, Theorems 6.6 and 6.7]) that  $\partial^1(K_1(F^c G)) \cdot \text{LC}(O_F G)$  is a subgroup of  $K_0(O_F G, F^c)$  because it is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$K_0(O_F G, F^c) \xrightarrow{\lambda} J(K_0(O_F G, F^c)) \rightarrow \frac{J(K_0(O_F G, F^c))}{\lambda[\partial^1(K_1(F^c G))] \cdot \text{Im } \Psi^{\text{id}}}.$$

Hence, to show that  $\mathcal{R}(O_F G)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(O_F G)$ , it suffices to show that

$$\partial^0(\text{Im}(\Psi)) = \partial^0(\text{LC}(O_F G)_S).$$

Suppose therefore that  $x \in \text{LC}(O_F G)_S$ . By multiplying  $x$  by sufficiently many elements of the form  $b(f_{v,s})$ , with  $v$  totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$  and  $v \notin S$ , if necessary (see Proposition 1.7), we may suppose without loss of generality that  $x$  is fully ramified.

As  $\text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property  $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}/F)$ , we may choose  $\Pi \in \text{Hom}(\Omega_F, G)$  with  $[\Pi] \in H_t^1(F, G)$  such that:

- (i)  $\Pi|_{I_v} = \pi_{v,x}|_{I_v}$  for all finite places  $v \notin S_\Pi(x)$ .
- (ii) Each place  $v \in S_\Pi(x)$  of  $F$  is totally split in  $\mathcal{F}/F$ .

For each  $v \in S_\Pi(x)$ , write

$$\Pi|_{I_v} = \tilde{\varphi}_{v,s_v}$$

(see [1, Remark 7.11]).

Next, we consider

$$y := x^{-1} \cdot \Psi([\Pi]) \cdot \prod_{v \in S_1} b(f_{v,s_v})^{-1}.$$

We see at once that  $\lambda_v(y) \in \text{Im}(\Psi_v^{\text{nr}})$  for each finite place  $v$  of  $F$ . As  $(|G^{\text{ab}}|, h_F) = 1$  and either  $F$  has no real places or  $G$  admits no irreducible symplectic characters, [1, Proposition 6.8(c)] implies that  $y = 0$ . Since  $\partial^0(b(f_{v,s_v})) = 0$  for each  $v \in S_1$ , it follows that

$$\partial^0(x) = \partial^0(\Psi([\Pi])).$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{R}(O_F G)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(O_F G)$ .

If  $c \in \mathcal{R}(O_F G)$ , then [1, Proposition 13.5] implies that there are infinitely many  $x \in \text{LC}(O_F G)_S$  such that  $x$  is fully ramified and  $\partial^0(x) = c$ . The remaining assertions of the Proposition follow at once via applying the immediately preceding argument to each such element  $x$ .  $\square$

We can now prove [1, Theorem E].

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $G$  be of odd order and suppose that  $(|G|, h_F) = 1$ , where  $h_F$  denotes the class number of  $F$ . Suppose also that  $F$  contains no non-trivial  $|G|$ -th roots of unity. Then  $\mathcal{R}(O_F G)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Cl}(O_F G)$ . If  $c \in \mathcal{R}(O_F G)$ , then there exist infinitely many  $[\pi] \in H_t^1(F, G)$  such that  $F_\pi$  is a field and  $(O_\pi) = c$ . The extensions  $F_\pi/F$  may be chosen to have ramification disjoint from any finite set  $S$  of places of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}/F$  be any abelian extension such that  $F\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is any ideal of  $O_F$  satisfying the conditions listed in Proposition 1.7. As  $|G|$  is odd, and  $F$  contains no  $|G|$ -th

roots of unity, Theorem 1.5 implies that  $LC(O_F G)_S$  satisfies Property Sp( $\mathcal{F}/F$ ). The desired result is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.8.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agboola, L. McCulloh, *On the relative Galois module structure of rings of integers in tame extensions*, Algebra and Number Theory **12** (2018), 1824–1886.
- [2] W. Feit, J. Thompson, *Solvability of groups of odd order*, Pacific J. Math **13** (1963), 775–1029.
- [3] J. Neukirch, *On solvable number fields*, Invent. Math. **33** (1979), 135–164.
- [4] J. Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups (fourth edition)*, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106.

*Email address:* agboola@math.ucsb.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801.

*Email address:* mcculloh@math.uiuc.edu