

# Yoneda Embedding Small **Ban**-Enriched Categories in **Ban**

Ashwin Trisal

September 16, 2019

**Definition.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be **Ban-enriched** if  $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$  is a Banach space for each  $a, b \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , and composition from  $\mathcal{C}(a, b) \times \mathcal{C}(b, c) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(a, c)$  is bilinear and satisfies:

$$\|g \circ f\|_{\mathcal{C}(a,c)} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{C}(b,c)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}(a,b)}.$$

**Question.** Can every **Ban**-enriched category  $\mathcal{C}$  be isometrically embedded into **Ban**, the category of Banach spaces?

**Answer.** Yes, assuming that the category  $\mathcal{C}$  was small.

Throughout, let  $\mu_c$  be the counting measure on  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ . Define the functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ban}$  by the following rule: for objects,

$$F(a) = L^\infty(\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C}(x, a), \mu_c(x))$$

(that is, the direct integral over  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  of the Hom spaces, which are Banach spaces, with respect to the supremum norm). Define it on morphisms by: if  $f \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) : F(a) &\rightarrow F(b) \\ (\phi_x : x \rightarrow a)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} &\mapsto (f \circ \phi_x : x \rightarrow b)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(\phi_x) \in F(a)$ , so there is an  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\phi_x\| \leq M$  for each  $x$ . But  $\|f \circ \phi_x\| \leq \|f\| \|\phi_x\| \leq \|f\| M$  for each  $x$ , so  $(f \circ \phi_x)$  is an element of the  $L^\infty$  bundle. So this morphism is well-defined.

This is a bounded homomorphism of Banach spaces: it is linear by the linearity of composition, so it remains to prove boundedness. Without loss of generality, let  $(\phi_x) \in F(a)$  have supremum norm 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \|[F(f)](\phi_x)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}\| &= \|(f \circ \phi_x)\|_\infty \\ &= \sup_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \|f \circ \phi_x\| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} (\|f\| \|\phi_x\|) \\ &\leq \|f\| \sup_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \|\phi_x\| \\ &\leq \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

In particular, applying  $F(f)$  to the family

$$\phi_x = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq a \\ \text{id}_a & x = a \end{cases}$$

we see that

$$[F(f)](\phi_x)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq a \\ f & x = a \end{cases}$$

which transparently has  $\infty$ -norm  $\|f\|$ . So  $\|F(f)\| = \|f\|$ , so  $F$  is an isometric embedding of  $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$  into  $\mathbf{Ban}(F(a), F(b))$  for each  $a, b \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ .

It is trivial to check that  $F(f) \circ F(g) = F(f \circ g)$ , so  $F$  is an isometric embedding of  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathbf{Ban}$ .

**Note:** It is possible to use the contravariant Yoneda embedding, defining the functor on objects by

$$G(a) = L^\infty(\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C}(a, x), \mu_c(x))$$

and on morphisms by

$$[G(f)](\phi_x)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} = (\phi_x \circ f)_{x \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})}.$$

The arguments are quite similar to the above. If we want to fix the fact that the embedding was contravariant, it's easy to see that the Banach dual is a contravariant isometric embedding of  $\mathbf{Ban}$  into itself, and composing the functors gives the requisite embedding.

**Question:** Are these two functors Banach-isomorphic?