

# The Matrix of a Linear Transformation

---

**The Punch Line:** Linear transformations from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are *all* equivalent to matrix transformations, even when they are described in other ways.

**Warm-Up:** What does the linear transformation corresponding to multiplication by each of these matrices do geometrically (don't worry too much about the exact values for things like rotation or scaling)?

(a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

(c)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(d)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

- (a) This is a reflection about the  $x$ -axis—the  $y$  coordinate of each point is negated, so vectors above the axis are moved an equal distance below it, without changing in  $x$ .
- (b) This is both a rotation by  $45^\circ$  ( $\frac{\pi}{4}$  radians), and a scaling by  $\sqrt{2}$ .
- (c) This is a projection to the  $xy$ -plane—the  $z$  coordinate collapses down to zero while the other coordinates remain unchanged.
- (d) This maps a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that looks like its projection in the  $xy$  plane. While this is a very similar transformation to the previous one, it's important to note that this time, the result is in a different space (honest-to-goodness  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , rather than a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ).

**Getting the Matrix:** We can write down a matrix that accomplishes any linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by writing down what the transformation does to the vectors corresponding to each component (these have a single 1 and the rest of their entries as zeros, and make up the columns of the  $n \times n$  *identity matrix*, which has ones down the diagonal and zeros elsewhere).

1 Write down a matrix for each of these linear transformations.

(a) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , rotation by  $180^\circ$  ( $\pi$  radians) counter-clockwise.

(b) In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , rotation by  $180^\circ$  ( $\pi$  radians) counter-clockwise in the  $xz$  plane.

(c) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , stretching the  $x$  direction by a factor of 2 then reflecting about the line  $y = x$ .

(d) In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the transformation that looks like a “vertical” (that is, the  $z$  direction is the one which moves) shear in both the  $xz$  and  $yz$  planes, each with a “shear factor” (the amount the corner of the unit square moves) of 2.

[Note: Don’t worry too much if this one’s harder than the rest, shear transformations are hard to describe. If you get stuck, it might be a good idea to work on Problem 2 rather than sink in too much time here.]

(a) We see that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is sent to  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is sent to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Putting these together, we get the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(b) Here we get  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(c) Here  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(d) Here  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (ask me if you want to talk about why).

**One to One and Onto:** When describing a linear transformation  $T$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we say  $T$  is *one to one* if each vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is the image of at most one vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (it can fail to be the image of any vector, it just can't be the image of two different ones). We say  $T$  is *onto* if each vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is the image of at least one vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (it can be the image of more than one).

We can test these conditions with ideas we already know:  $T$  is one-to-one if and only if the columns of its matrix are linearly independent, and onto if and only if they span  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . An equivalent test for  $T$  being one-to-one is that the equation  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  (where  $A$  is the matrix of  $T$ ) has only the trivial solution if and only if  $T$  is one-to-one. An equivalent test for onto is that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent for all  $\vec{b}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

2 Determine if the linear transformations with the following matrices are one-to-one, onto, both, or neither.

(a)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(c)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(e)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(d)  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(f)  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & -3 & 12 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(a) This is both, as  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  both spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is linearly independent.

(b) This is also both.

(c) This is one-to-one but not onto, as  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  is linearly independent, but does not span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (in particular,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not in their span).

(d) This is onto but not one-to-one, as  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but is not linearly independent (in particular,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ).

(e) This is neither, as  $A \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  (for example) is not in the span of the columns.

(f) This is also neither, as the columns are linearly dependent and do not span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

---

Why does the  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  test work? If  $A\vec{x} = A\vec{y}$ , then  $A(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) = \vec{0}$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  weren't the same to begin with, then their difference is mapped to  $\vec{0}$  by  $A$  as a consequence of them having the same value for the product. Similarly, if  $A\vec{z} = \vec{0}$  for a nonzero  $\vec{z}$ , then  $A(\vec{x} + \vec{z}) = A\vec{x} + A\vec{z} = A\vec{x}$ , even though  $\vec{x} \neq \vec{x} + \vec{z}$ .