

Characterizing Invertible Matrices

The Punch Line: There are many equivalent conditions to determine if a matrix is invertible, and describe properties of ones that we know are invertible.

Warm-Up: How big is the solution set of the homogeneous equation with these matrices (is it finite or infinite? what is its dimension?)? How about the span of their columns?

(a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Conditions: Our first definition for invertible matrices states that A is invertible if some other matrix C makes the equations $AC = I_n$ and $CA = I_n$ simultaneously true. We can show that if A is invertible, so is A^T , that the inverse of A^T is C^T , and that if either one of the two equations in the definition is true, the other one must be as well, by playing around with transposing the equations.

1 Can each of these things happen? Do they have to be true?

- (a) A is invertible and the matrix C with $AC = I_n$ is also invertible
- (b) C is an inverse to both A and A^T (that is, $CA = I_n$ and $CA^T = I_n$)
- (c) $CA = I_n$ and $AD = I_n$, but $C \neq D$
- (d) $ABCD = I_n$, but $AB \neq I_n$ and $CD \neq I_n$

Equation Conditions: We also have conditions based on the homogeneous and inhomogeneous equations involving the matrix. We know A is invertible if it is square ($n \times n$) and its columns span \mathbb{R}^n or are linearly independent (for square matrices these are equivalent, though not in general). That is, it has n pivots (so its EF's have pivots in every column, and its REF is I_n) or no free variables. That is, the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution for all \vec{b} (which will turn out to be unique) or $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has *only* the trivial solution.

2 Are these matrices invertible?

(a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why do the columns have to be linearly independent and span all of \mathbb{R}^n ? If they were not linearly independent, there would be multiple solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, so we couldn't define $A^{-1}\vec{b} = \vec{x}$ —we wouldn't know which to choose! And if they did not span \mathbb{R}^n , then there would be some \vec{b} outside their span where we couldn't find any \vec{x} so that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ —we'd again have a problem defining the inverse, but this time instead of having too many possible answers \vec{x} , we wouldn't have any!