

Copyright © 2010 American Scientific Publishers All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America

Layer Undulations in Smectic a Liquid Crystals

Carlos J. García-Cervera* and Sookyung Joo

Mathematics Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

We investigate instabilities of smectic A liquid crystals when a magnetic field is applied in the direction parallel to smectic layers. We use the Landau-de Gennes model of smectic A liquid crystals to characterize the critical magnetic field. When smectic A liquid crystals are confined between parallel plates, we derive analytic estimates for the magnetic field strength, at which the undeformed state loses its stability. We also present numerical simulations to confirm the Helfrich-Hurault effect due to the applied magnetic field.

Keywords: Liquid Crystals, Landau-de Gennes Model, Helfrich-Hurault Effect.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider smectic A liquid crystals confined in two flat plates and uniformly aligned in a way that the smectic layers are parallel to the bounding plates. If a magnetic field is applied in a direction parallel to the smectic layers, the instability occurs above the threshold magnetic field. When the magnetic field reaches the critical threshold, one can see periodic perturbation of the layers. This phenomenon is called the Helfrich-Hurault effect. (See Refs. [8] and [9].) We present the analytical estimate of the critical field and perform numerical simulations describing this effect by using the Landau-de Gennes model.

Liquid crystal phases form when a material has a degree of positional or orientational ordering yet stays in a liquid state. In the nematic state, molecules tend to align themselves along a preferred direction with no positional order of the centers of mass. The unit vector field **n**, nematic director, represents the average direction of molecular alignment. Moreover, if the liquid crystal is chiral, **n** follows a helical pattern, with temperature-dependent pitch. Upon lowering the temperature, or increasing concentration, according to whether the liquid crystal is thermotropic or lyotropic, the nematic liquid crystal experiences a transition to the smectic A phase with molecules arranged along equally spaced layers. The molecules tend to align themselves along the direction perpendicular to the layers.

The Helfrich-Hurault effect in a lamellar system can be caused by magnetic/electric field or by mechanical tension.⁴ In this paper, we study the magnetic field driven instabilities in smectic A liquid crystals. Helfrich and Hurault proposed the model that can explain the periodic perturbations in cholesteric liquid crystals under a magnetic field or an electric field applied parallel to the helical axis.^{8,9} They assumed that the layers are fixed at the cell boundaries, i.e., the undulations vanish at the boundaries. Still with this assumption, Stewart extended the classic Helfrich-Hurault theory to three dimensional finite samples of smectic A liquid crystals in Ref. [13]. However, he made an ansatz to simplify the problem.

Experimental studies of undulations of two dimensional and three dimensional systems were performed in Refs. [10] and [12], respectively. They used cholesteric liquid crystals with a pitch 5 μ m and 50–70 μ m cell thickness for the optical study. Since the layer thickness of smectic A liquid crystals is in the nanometer range (nm), it is too small to visualize the layer distortions. Their experiments show that there are layer undulations on the boundary of the sample. Motivated by the experimental result, Lavrentovich et al. proposed the model with weak anchoring condition so that the undulations are allowed to appear on the boundaries. By making an ansatz of periodic undulations, they show that their model explains the experiment better than the classic Helfrich-Hurault theory.

In Section 2, we present the model and the geometry for our problem and state the existence result of minimizers of the free energy. The models used in previous works are based on an assumption that the director and the layer normal vector are identical, either in an infinite sample,^{4,8,9} or in a finite sample.¹³ We refine the theory to allow the director and the layer normal to differ by studying the Landau-de Gennes energy of smectic A liquid crystals. In Ref. [3], motivated from the analogy to the Ginzburg Landau model for superconductivy, de Gennes introduced the complex function to describe layer structures of smectic liquid crystals. This model was used in

J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 2010, Vol. 7, No. 4

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Ref. [1] to rigorously analyze the phase transition between the chiral nematic and smectic A liquid crystals. Recently, Lin and Pan used this model to show that critical magnetic field is achieved in an arbitrary domain.¹¹ However, they did not obtain the estimate of the critical magnetic field.

In Section 3, we find the analytical estimate of the critical magnetic field for layer undulations. The theory of all previous works makes a choice of sinusoidal perturbation for the undulation in order to derive the critical magnetic field. Without this assumption, we derive the estimate of the threshold in terms of the cell thickness. More precisely, we prove that there exist universal constants $0 < c_1 < c_2$, such that, if 2*d* is the cell thickness, then the critical field H_c satisfies

$$\left(\frac{c_1 K}{\chi_a d\lambda}\right)^{1/2} \le H_c \le \left(\frac{c_2 K}{\chi_a d\lambda}\right)^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

where K, χ_a , λ are material constants, which will be discussed in Section 2. This estimate is consistent with the result found in the classic Helfrich-Hurault theory (see p. 363 of Refs. [4] and [9]). We should mention that the scaling of the critical field in this case is different from the threshold for Fredericks transition of nematic liquid crystals, where the critical field is proportional to 1/d.¹⁶

In Section 4, We perform numerical simulations to the gradient flow equations. We find undulation instabilities above the critical magnetic field.

2. THE MODEL

The total free energy density of smectic A liquid crystals consists of the nematic f_n and smectic f_s part. The Oseen-Frank energy density for a nematic is given by

$$f_n = K_1(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 + K_2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n})^2 + K_3|\mathbf{n} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})|^2 + (K_2 + K_4)(tr(\nabla \mathbf{n})^2 - (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2)$$

where K_1 , K_2 and K_3 are the splay, twist, and bend elastic constants, respectively. The last term in f_n is a null-Lagrangian since its integral only depends on the boundary values of **n**. We consider the energy with the one constant approximation case, $K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = K/2 > 0$ and $K_4 = 0$. Then the nematic energy density becomes

$$\frac{K}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2$$

In order to associate smectic and nematic structure with a state (\mathbf{n}, Ψ) we write

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{i\varphi(\mathbf{x})}$$

Then the molecular mass density is defined by

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2}(\Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi^*(\mathbf{x}))$$
$$= \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) + \rho(\mathbf{x})\cos\varphi(\mathbf{x})$$

where ρ_0 is a locally uniform mass density, $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is the mass density of the smectic layers, and φ parametrizes the layers, so that $\nabla \varphi$ is in the direction normal to the layer. Finally, q is the wave number and $2\pi/q$ is the layer thickness.

The Landau-de Gennes energy density for smectic A is given by

$$f_s = \frac{C}{2} |\nabla \Psi - iq\mathbf{n}\Psi|^2 + r|\Psi|^2 + \frac{g}{2}|\Psi|^4$$

where *C* and *g* are positive material constants, and *r* may be positive or negative. In Ref. [1], the energy density $f_n + f_s$ was used to study the phase transition and stability of the equilibrium states. Since we investigate the smectic structure far from the nematic–smectic transition, we may assume that the magnitude of the smectic order parameter is constant, i.e., ρ is constant. Then f_s becomes

$$f_s = \frac{Cq^2\rho^2}{2}|\nabla\varphi - \mathbf{n}|^2$$

This energy density vanishes when $\nabla \varphi = \mathbf{n}$, which describes the configuration of smectic A liquid crystals.

The magnetic free energy density is given by Refs. (4, 14)

$$f_m = -\frac{\chi_a}{2} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{H})^2 = -\frac{\chi_a}{2} \sigma^2 (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})^2$$
(2)

where χ_a is the magnetic anisotropy, $\mathbf{H} = \sigma \mathbf{h}$, and $\sigma = |\mathbf{H}|$. We assume that $\chi_a > 0$. Therefore, the energy (2) favors molecular orientations where the director is parallel to the applied magnetic field.

Collecting all contributions to the free energy, the free energy density for the one-constant approximation model becomes

$$f = \frac{K}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 + \frac{B}{2} |\nabla \varphi - \mathbf{n}|^2 - \frac{\chi_a}{2} \sigma^2 (\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 \qquad (3)$$

where $B = Cq^2 \rho^2$ is called the de Gennes compressibility constant.

In this paper, we consider a two dimensional domain

$$\Omega = [-L, L] \times [-d, d]$$

We also assume that $\mathbf{h} = (1, 0)$ so that the magnetic field tends to make the director orient along the *x* direction. We impose the periodic boundary condition for ϕ and \mathbf{n} in the *x* direction so that we can minimize the unnecessary boundary effect, while we assume the strong anchoring condition for \mathbf{n} on the boundary plates, i.e., simply

$$\mathbf{n}(x, \pm d) = (0, 1)$$
 for all $x \in [-L, L]$ (4)

However, we do not impose any boundary conditions on ϕ .

We make the problem dimensionless by introducing new variables

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\lambda}, \quad \bar{y} = \frac{y}{\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = \lambda \phi$$

J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 7, 1-7, 2010

2

where $\lambda = \sqrt{K/B}$ is of the order of the smectic layer thickness. Then the free energy (3) becomes

$$\frac{B}{2} \int_{\bar{\Omega}} (|\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 + (\nabla \phi - \mathbf{n})^2 - \kappa (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})^2) \, d\bar{\mathbf{x}}$$
(5)

where the dimensionless parameters are given by

$$\kappa = \frac{\chi_a \sigma^2}{B}, \quad \bar{\Omega} = [-\bar{L}, \bar{L}] \times [-h, h], \quad h = \frac{d}{\lambda}, \quad \bar{L} = \frac{L}{\lambda}$$

Since *h* is the ratio of the cell thickness to the layer thickness, we may assume that $h \gg 1$. In fact, the values d = 1 mm and $\lambda = 20 \text{ Å}$ are employed in Ref. [4]. Then $h = 5 \times 10^5$. In this paper, we will consider $h \ge 1$.

From $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$, we can introduce the scalar variable θ , with $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$, such that

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin\theta, \cos\theta).$$

Then the free energy (5) becomes, dropping the bar notation,

$$\widetilde{v}(\theta, \phi) = \int_{\Omega} (\phi_x - \sin \theta)^2 + (\phi_y - \cos \theta)^2 + |\nabla \theta|^2 - \kappa \sin^2 \theta) \, dy \, dx$$
(6)

and the corresponding boundary condition on θ is the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on the top and the bottom of the plate. This energy (6) has a trivial critical point, $\theta = 0$, $\phi = y$, which describes the undeformed state where the layers are parallel to the boundary plates and the directors are aligned in the *y* direction. The second variation of the energy at the undeformed state, $\phi_0 = y$, and $\theta_0 = 0$, gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}D^2 \mathfrak{F}(\theta_0 + t\theta, \phi_0 + t\phi) &:= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \mathfrak{F}(\theta_0 + t\theta, \phi_0 + t\phi) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} ((\phi_x - \theta)^2 + \phi_y^2) \\ &+ |\nabla \theta|^2 - \kappa |\theta|^2) \, dx \, dy \end{split}$$

The undeformed state, (θ_0, ϕ_0) , is stable if the second variation is nonnegative at (θ_0, ϕ_0) . Setting

$$\mathscr{G}(\theta,\phi) := \int_{\Omega} ((\phi_x - \theta)^2 + \phi_y^2 + |\nabla \theta|^2) \, dx \, dy \qquad (8)$$

the critical field κ_c is defined by

$$\kappa_c = \inf_{(\theta,\phi)\in\mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(\theta,\phi) \tag{9}$$

Here, the admissible set \mathscr{A} is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(\theta, \phi) \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W^{1,2}(\Omega) \colon \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$$
$$\theta(x, \pm h) = 0 \text{ for all } x$$
$$\theta \text{ and } \phi \text{ periodic in the } x \text{ direction}\}$$

 θ and ϕ periodic in the x direction}

Thus, the undeformed state, (θ_0, ϕ_0) , is stable if $\kappa \leq \kappa_c$ and unstable if $\kappa > \kappa_c$. In Section 3 of Ref. [11], they proved that the critical field κ_c is achieved when Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed on $\partial\Omega$. Following their work, we consider ϕ as a function of θ and apply the standard calculus of variations to prove the existence of a minimizer of \mathcal{G} . For the reader's convenience, we present a short proof here.

PROPOSITION 2.1. There exists a minimizer for \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{A} .

PROOF: If (θ, ϕ) is a minimizer, then ϕ can be expressed in terms of θ . That is, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_c &= \inf \{ \mathscr{G}(\theta, \phi_{\theta}) \colon \theta \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= 1, \, \theta(x, \pm h) = 0 \text{ for all } x \\ \theta(-L, y) &= \theta(L, y) \text{ for all } y \} \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_{θ} is the solution of

$$\Delta \phi_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \qquad \int_{\Omega} \phi_{\theta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{\theta}}{\partial y}(x, \pm h) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x,$$

$$\phi(-L, y) = \phi(L, y) \quad \text{for all } y$$

(10)

Now we take a minimizing sequence $\{\theta_j\}$ for \mathcal{G} and write $\phi_{\theta_j} = \phi_j$. Since θ_j is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, it follows, for a subsequence, still labeled θ_j that

 $\theta_j \rightharpoonup \theta_\infty$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $\theta_j \rightarrow \theta_\infty$ almost everywhere in Ω .

For the L^2 convergence on the boundary, we used the estimate, from the proof of Theorem 1.5.1.10 in Ref. [7],

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 \, d\sigma \le C(\Omega) \{ \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \}$$

valid for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} - \theta_j \right)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right)^2 \le C$$

for some constant *C*. Then together with $\int_{\Omega} \phi_j = 0$, we have $\|\phi_j\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C$. The elliptic estimates on (10) with $\theta = \theta_j$ gives $\|\phi_j\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C$ and thus it follows, for a subsequence, still labeled ϕ_j that

$$\phi_j \rightarrow \phi_\infty$$
 in $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, $\phi_j \rightarrow \phi_\infty$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$

and ϕ_{∞} satisfies (10) with $\theta = \theta_{\infty}$, i.e., $\phi_{\infty} = \phi_{\theta_{\infty}}$. Thus $(\theta_{\infty}, \phi_{\infty}) \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\mathscr{G}(heta_{\infty}, \phi_{ heta_{\infty}}) \ \leq \ \liminf_{j o \infty} \mathscr{G}(heta_j, \phi_{ heta_j}) \ = \ \inf_{ heta \in \mathscr{A}} \mathscr{G}(heta, \phi_{ heta}) \quad \Box$$

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CRITICAL FIELD

In this section, we prove that the critical field in (9) satisfies $\kappa_c \sim 1/h$. In other words, we prove

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that $L \ge h \ge 1$. Then, there exist universal constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\frac{c_1}{h} \le \kappa_c \le \frac{c_2}{h}$$

Note that this statement is equivalent to (1), where the estimate is expressed in terms of the real parameters.

We prove this theorem in two steps: In the first step, we prove a lower bound for the energy with the abovementioned scaling. In the second step, we prove a matching upper bound.

The periodic boundary conditions allow us to use the Fourier series representation.

$$\theta(x,y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta_n(y) e^{i\mu_n x}$$
 and $\phi(x,y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(y) e^{i\mu_n x}$

where $\mu_n = 2\pi n/L$. Then (8) becomes

$$\mathscr{G}(\theta,\phi) = 2L \int_{-h}^{h} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (|\theta'_{n}|^{2} + \mu_{n}^{2}|\theta_{n}|^{2} + |\theta_{n} - i\mu_{n}\phi_{n}|^{2} + |\phi'_{n}|^{2}) \right) dy \quad (11)$$

We define

$$\kappa(\mu) = \inf_{\substack{(\theta,\phi)\in\mathscr{B} \\ (\theta,\phi)\in\mathscr{B}}} \int_{-h}^{h} (|\theta'|^2 + \mu^2 |\theta|^2 + |\theta - i\mu\phi|^2 + |\phi'|^2) dy$$
$$:= \inf_{\substack{(\theta,\phi)\in\mathscr{B} \\ (\theta,\phi)\in\mathscr{B}}} \mathscr{F}(\theta,\phi,\mu)$$
(12)

where

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ (\theta, \phi) \in W_0^{1,2}(-h,h) \\ \times W^{1,2}(-h,h) : \int_{-h}^{h} |\theta(y)|^2 \, dy = 1 \right\}$$

As in Ref. [2], it follows that

$$\mathcal{G}(\theta,\phi) \ge 2L \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa(\mu_n) \int_{-h}^{h} |\theta_n(y)|^2 dy$$
$$\ge 2L \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa_c \int_{-h}^{h} |\theta_n(y)|^2 dy$$
$$= \kappa_c \int_{\Omega} |\theta|^2 dx dy$$

for all $\theta \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Immediately we see that

$$\kappa_c = \inf_{\mu} \kappa(\mu) \tag{13}$$

3.1. Lower Bound

In following lemma we prove that there exists a constant c_1 such that $\kappa(\mu) \ge c_1/h$ for any μ . This implies $\kappa_c \ge c_1/h$ due to (13). As a result, the undeformed state is stable if $\kappa \le c_1/h$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let h > 1 and μ be given positive constants. Then there exists a universal positive constant c_1 such that

$$\int_{-h}^{h} (|\theta - i\mu\phi|^{2} + \mu^{2}|\theta|^{2} + |\theta'|^{2} + |\phi'|^{2}) dy$$

$$\geq \frac{c_{1}}{h} \int_{-h}^{h} |\theta|^{2} dy \qquad (14)$$

for all $(\theta, \phi) \in \mathcal{B}$.

PROOF: We may assume that $\mu \neq 0$, otherwise the inequality (14) is trivial with $c_1 = 1$. By using

$$\tilde{y} = \frac{y}{h}$$
, and $\varphi = i\mu\phi$

the claim (14) is equivalent to

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{h} |\theta'|^2 + \frac{1}{h\mu^2} |\varphi'|^2 + h\mu^2 |\theta|^2 + h|\theta - \varphi|^2 \right) dy$$

$$\geq c_1 \int_{-1}^{1} |\theta|^2 dy$$
(15)

The Euler-Lagrange equation for φ is

$$-\frac{1}{h^2\mu^2}\varphi'' + \varphi = \theta$$
$$\varphi'(\pm 1) = 0 \tag{16}$$

We will prove the inequality (15) for all θ and φ satisfying (16). We suppose that this inequality is false, i.e., there exists $\{C_n\}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n = 0$, for which we can find h_n , $\mu_n, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}^1(-1, 1)$ satisfying (16) and $\theta_n \in \mathcal{H}^1_0(-1, 1)$ with $\|\theta_n\|_2 = 1$ for each n = 1, 2, ... such that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{h_n} |\theta'_n|^2 + \frac{1}{h_n \mu_n^2} |\varphi'_n|^2 + h_n \mu_n^2 |\theta_n|^2 + h_n |\theta_n - \varphi_n|^2 \right) dy$$

$$\leq C_n$$
(17)

Then we have two small parameters,

$$h_n \mu_n^2 \le C_n \quad \text{and} \quad 1/h_n \le C_n$$
 (18)

where the second inequality follows from the Poincaré inequality. We integrate the Eq. (16) to obtain

$$\bar{\varphi_n} = \bar{\theta_n} \tag{19}$$

where $\bar{\varphi_n}$ and $\bar{\theta_n}$ are averages of φ_n and θ_n , respectively. In the following proof, we denote by *C* a universal positive constant which may differ from line to line. Also, by C_n

$$\frac{C_n}{h_n} \ge \int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \varphi_n)^2 = \int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta}_n - (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n))^2 dy$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta}_n)^2 dy - \int_{-1}^{1} (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}_n)^2 dy$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta}_n)^2 dy - C \int_{-1}^{1} (\varphi'_n)^2 dy$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta}_n)^2 dy - h_n \mu_n^2 C_n$$
(20)

Thus, we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta_n})^2 dy \le C_n \left(\frac{1}{h_n} + h_n \mu_n^2\right)$$
(21)

Using (21), together with (20), we obtain

$$\int_{-1}^{1} (\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi_n})^2 dy \le C_n \left(\frac{1}{h_n} + h_n \mu_n^2 \right)$$
(22)

Since $\|\theta_n\|_{L^2} = 1$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^{1} (\theta_n - \bar{\theta_n})^2 \, dy = 1 - 2\bar{\theta_n}^2$$

Together with (21), we see that $\bar{\theta_n}^2 \to 1/2$ as $n \to \infty$. By taking a subsequence, still labeled with *n*, we may assume $\bar{\theta_n} \to 1/\sqrt{2}$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, from (18), (19), and (22), we have

$$\|\eta_n\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \le C_n \left(\frac{1}{h_n} + h_n \mu_n^2\right) \le C_n^2$$
 (23)

where $\eta_n = \varphi_n - 1/\sqrt{2}$. This implies

$$\sup_{[-1,1]} |\eta_n| \le C_n \tag{24}$$

Setting $f_n = \theta_n - \eta_n$ and $\varepsilon_n = 1/h_n \le C_n$, we rewrite the first and the last terms of (17) as

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{-1}^{1} \left(f_n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 dy + \varepsilon_n \int_{-1}^{1} (f'_n + \eta'_n)^2 dy \le C_n \quad (25)$$

where η_n satisfies the estimate (23). The part on the left hand side is an Allen-Cahn functional with a single well potential. From the first integral in (25), one can see that for each *n*, there exists $a_n \in (-1, 1)$ such that $1/2 < |f_n(a_n)| < 1$. Finally we get, from (25),

$$C_{n} \geq \int_{-1}^{1} \left| f_{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| |f_{n}' + \eta_{n}'| dy$$

$$\geq \int_{-1}^{1} \left| f_{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| |f_{n}'| dy - \int_{-1}^{1} \left| f_{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| |\eta_{n}'| dy$$

$$\geq \left| \int_{-1}^{a_{n}} \left(f_{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) f_{n}' dy \Big| - \left\| f_{n} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}} \|\eta_{n}'\|_{L^{2}}$$

J. Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 7, 1-7, 2010

where we used the triangle inequality and Hölder's inequality. Doing the change of variables $r = f_n(y)$, it follows from (23) and (25) that

$$\left| \int_{-\eta_n(-1)}^{f_n(a_n)} \left(r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) dr \right| - C_n \sqrt{C_n \varepsilon_n} \le C_n \qquad (26)$$

This is a contradiction, since $1/2 < |f_n(a_n)| < 1$ and $|\eta_n(-1)| \le C_n$, which follows from (24). In fact, computing the integral in (26) we obtain

$$C_n(1+\sqrt{C_n\varepsilon_n})$$

$$\geq |(f_n(a_n)+\eta_n(-1))(f_n(a_n)+\eta_n(-1)-\sqrt{2})|$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}-C_n\right)(\sqrt{2}-1-C_n)$$

which is impossible since $C_n \rightarrow 0$. \Box

3.2. Upper Bound

We find an upper bound for the critical field by evaluating (3) for an appropriate test function. Specifically, we prove that $\kappa \le c_2/h$ for some $c_2 > 0$. This implies that if $\kappa > c_2/h$, the undeformed state, $(\theta_0 \equiv 0, \phi_0 = y)$, is no longer stable. It follows from (13) that it suffices to find an upper bound for the one-dimensional problem. That is the content of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $L \ge h \ge 1$. There exists a constant c_2 such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\mu}) \le \frac{c_2}{h} \int_{-h}^{h} |\tilde{\theta}|^2 dy$$
(27)

for some $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi}) \in \mathcal{B}$ and for some constant $\tilde{\mu}$.

PROOF: Define $\tilde{\theta}$, $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ by

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{2\pi}{L} n_0 \equiv \frac{2\pi}{L} \left[\frac{L}{\sqrt{h}} \right]$$
$$\tilde{\theta} = \cos \frac{\pi}{2h} y$$
$$\tilde{\phi} = -\frac{i}{\mu} \cos \frac{\pi}{2h} y$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function. Since $L \ge h \ge 1$, we may see that $n_0 \ge 1$ and then

$$n_0^2 \le \frac{L^2}{h} \le (n_0 + 1)^2 \le 4n_0^2.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\mu}) \leq \frac{1}{h} \left(4\pi^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\pi^2}{4h} \right) \int_{-h}^{h} |\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{y})|^2 d\mathbf{y}$$

The lemma is proved with $c_2 = 4\pi^2 + 1/4 + \pi^2/4$. \Box

Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the two Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.



C.Garcia-Cervera AND S. Joo

Fig. 1. Contour plots of ϕ , the solution of the system (28).

4. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

We have carried out two-dimensional simulations with model (3) to study the Helfrich-Hurault effect. The energy is minimized by solving the gradient flow:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \Delta \phi - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial t} = \Pi_{\mathbf{n}} (\Delta \mathbf{n} + \nabla \phi - \mathbf{n} + \kappa (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h}) \mathbf{h})$$
(28)

where $\Pi_n(f) = f - (\mathbf{n}, f)\mathbf{n}$ is the projection onto the plane orthogonal to \mathbf{n} , and (\mathbf{n}, f) denotes the usual L_2 inner product. This projection appears as a result of the constraint $|\mathbf{n}| = 1$. For numerical purposes, it is more convenient to write this term as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times (\Delta \mathbf{n} + \nabla \phi - \mathbf{n} + \kappa (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}))$$
(29)

Written in this way, the equation resembles the Landau-Lifshitz equation of micromagnetics in the high damping limit,⁵ and the heat-flow of harmonic maps.¹⁵



For the initial condition, we take a small perturbation from the undeformed state. More precisely, for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$,

$$\mathbf{n}(x, y, 0) = \frac{(\epsilon u_1, 1 + \epsilon u_2)}{|(\epsilon u_1, 1 + \epsilon u_2)|}$$
$$\phi(x, y, 0) = y + \epsilon \phi_0$$

where a small number $\epsilon = 0.001$ and u_1, u_2 , and ϕ_0 are arbitrarily chosen. As described in Section 2, we impose strong anchoring condition for the director field, (4), and natural boundary condition on ϕ at the top and the bottom plates,

$$\left.\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\nu=\pm h} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nu|_{\nu=\pm h}$$

Periodic boundary conditions are imposed for both **n** and ϕ on each side of the domain.

We use a Fourier spectral discretization in the x direction, and second order finite differences in the y direction. The fast Fourier transform is computed using the FFTW libraries 6. We use the fourth order Runge-Kutta method to solve the corresponding initial value problem.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

We take the domain size L=100 and h=25. A more physically relevant value for h in smectic A liquid crystals is 5×10^5 . However, the layer undulations can be observed if $h \gg 1$. In fact, the undulations in cholesteric liquid crystals occur with $h \approx 10$ Ref. [12]. The numbers of grid points in the x and y directions are 256 and 128, respectively.

In Figure 1 we show the layer structures in response to the various magnetic field strengths κ . The pictures are contour maps of ϕ since the level sets of ϕ represent the layer. One can see that the undeformed state is stable before the magnetic field κ reaches the threshold κ_c . If κ increases and reaches κ_c , the layer undulations occur. As κ increases beyond κ_c , the displacement amplitude increases as in the Figure 1. The maximum undulation occurs in the middle of the cell (y=0) and the displacement amplitude decreases as approaching the boundary ($y=\pm h$). In the classic Helfrich-Hurault theory, the layers are fixed at the boundary, i.e., no undulations at $y=\pm h$. However, Figure 1 indicates that the undulations may not vanish at the boundary even though we impose the strong anchoring condition.

Acknowledgments: The work of Carlos J. García-Cervera is supported by NSF grant DMS-0505738.

References

- P. Bauman, M. Carme Calderer, C. Liu, and D. Phillips, Arch. Rational mech. Anal. 165, 161 (2002).
- 2. P. Bauman, D. Phillips, and Q. Tang, Arch. Rational mech. Anal. 142, 1 (1998).
- 3. P. G. De Gennes, Solid State Commum. 10, 753 (1972).
- 4. P. G. De Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals, 2nd edn., Clarendon Press, Oxford (1993).
- 5. W. E and X. Wang, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 38, 1647 (2000).
- 6. M. Frigo and S. G Johnson, *The design and implementation of FFTW3, Proc. of the IEEE* 93, 216 (2005).
- 7. P. Grisvard, Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program (1985).
- 8. W. Helfrich, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 839 (1971).
- 9. J. P. Hurault, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 2068 (1973).
- T. Ishikawa and O. D. Lavrentovich, *Phys. Rev. E* 63, 030501(R) (2001).
- 11. F. H. Lin and X. B. Pan, Siam J. Math. Anal. 38, 1588 (2007).
- 12. B. I. Senyuk, I. I. Smalyukh, and O. D. Lavrentovich, *Phys. Rev. E* 74, 011712 (2006).
- 13. I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. E 58, 5926 (1998).
- **14.** I. W. Stewart, The Static and Dynamic Continuum Theory of Liquid Crystals, Taylor and Francis (**2004**).
- **15.** M. Struwe, Heat-Flow Methods for Harmonic Maps of Surfaces and Applications to Free Boundary Problems, Springer, Lect. Notes Math. Berlin (**1988**), Vol. 1324, p. 293.
- **16.** E. G. Virga, Variational Theories for Liquid Crystals, Chapman and Hall (**1994**).

Received: 11 October 2008. Accepted: 28 December 2008.