

# MATH 108B: NOTES ON JORDAN CANONICAL FORMS

WRITTEN BY: CINDY TSANG

---

**Note:** This is only a brief review and doesn't contain everything that you ought to know. You should read Chapter 7 in the textbook. Also, let me know if you find any mistakes.

---

**Motivation.** Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ . We say that  $T$  is *diagonalizable* if  $V$  has a basis  $\beta$  such that  $[T]_\beta$  is a diagonal matrix. In this case, the vectors in the basis are necessarily eigenvectors. In particular, we have

$$T \text{ is diagonalizable} \iff V \text{ has a basis consisting of eigenvectors.}$$

Let  $n = \dim(V)$ . If the eigenspaces are “too small” and we can't find  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, then we cannot diagonalize  $T$ . But if the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits, then we can write  $T$  as a *Jordan canonical Form*, which is very close to a diagonal matrix.

---

**Jordan Canonical Form.** A matrix is a Jordan canonical form if it looks like

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where each  $A_i$  is a *Jordan block*. In other words, we have

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

So it has  $\lambda_i$ , which will be an eigenvalue, on the diagonal and 1's on top of the diagonal. We want to find a basis  $\beta$  so that  $[T]_\beta$  is a Jordan canonical form.

Let's consider one single Jordan block, and for simplicity let's say it has dimension 3. So

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & \\ & \lambda_i & 1 \\ & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $v_1^i, v_2^i, v_3^i$  be the ordered basis with respect to which this matrix is written, and suppose that  $A_i$  is the matrix representation of  $T_i$ . We make the following important observations.

[Let's drop the index  $i$  for simplicity. So assume that  $A$  has one single block.]

- (a) Since the  $j$ -th column corresponds to the vector  $T(v_j)$  written in the basis  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ , to say that  $A$  has the above form is equivalent to saying that

$$T(v_1) = \lambda v_1 \quad T(v_2) = v_1 + \lambda v_2 \quad T(v_3) = v_2 + \lambda v_3.$$

- (b) The above equations imply that  $v_1$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$  and that  $v_2, v_3$  are *not* eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ .  
 (c) The above equations also imply that

$$v_2 = (T - \lambda I)(v_3) \quad v_1 = (T - \lambda I)(v_2) = (T - \lambda I)^2(v_3).$$

Hence, vectors in the basis  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  are of the form  $(T - \lambda I)^m(v_3)$ , where  $m = 2, 1, 0$ .

- (d) Since  $v_1$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ , we have  $(T - \lambda I)^3(v_3) = 0$ .

In general, suppose that  $A$  has dimension  $p$  and let  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  be the basis with respect to which  $A$  is written. Then, the above generalizes to the following.

- (a) Among the basis vectors,  $v_1$  and only  $v_1$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ .  
 (b) The basis  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  can be rewritten as

$$(T - \lambda I)^{p-1}(v_p), (T - \lambda I)^{p-2}(v_p), \dots, (T - \lambda I)(v_p), v_p.$$

In particular,  $p$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $(T - \lambda I)^p(v_p) = 0$ .

These observations lead to the definitions of *generalized eigenvectors* and *generalized eigenspace corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$* , which we shall denote by  $K_\lambda$ .

**Some Important Theorems/Facts/Observations.** Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ . Assume that *the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits*. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $T$  with algebraic multiplicity  $m$ .

- (a)  $\dim(K_\lambda) = m$ . In particular, one can find a basis  $\beta_\lambda$  of  $K_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda$ , and the union of them will be a basis for  $V$ , since the multiplicities add up to  $\dim(V)$ .  
 (b) Let  $d = \dim(E_\lambda)$ . Recall that in each Jordan block, the basis vector corresponding to and only to the first column is an eigenvector. Hence, there should be  $d$  blocks corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  in the matrix of  $T$  written as a Jordan canonical form.  
 (c) For example, if  $d = 2$  and  $m = 3$ , then there should be a  $1 \times 1$  block and a  $2 \times 2$  block. If  $d = m$ , then there are enough eigenvectors and the “big block” corresponding to  $\lambda$  or the subspace  $K_\lambda$  is a diagonal matrix.  
 (d) Let  $r$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $K_\lambda = N((T - \lambda I)^r)$ . Then, first for any  $v \in K_\lambda$  we have  $(T - \lambda I)^r(v) = 0$  and so the dimension of each block is at most  $r$ . And second, by minimality of  $r$  there exists  $v \in K_\lambda$  whose cycle has length  $r$ . In particular,

$$(T - \lambda I)^{r-1}(v), (T - \lambda I)^{r-2}(v), \dots, (T - \lambda I)(v), v$$

are linearly independent (cf. Theorem 7.6), and there exists a block of dimension  $r$ .

- (e) For example, say  $m = 5$ . If  $r = 5$  then there is one single block. If  $r = 1$  then  $E_\lambda = K_\lambda$  and the “big block” corresponding to  $K_\lambda$  is diagonal. Suppose further that  $d = 2$ , then we can have a  $1 \times 1$  block with a  $4 \times 4$  block, or a  $2 \times 2$  block with a  $3 \times 3$  block. So,  $r = 4$  or  $r = 3$ , which gives us the former and latter cases, respectively.

**Example.** Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 5 \\ -1 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the standard basis. The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $-(t - 3)(t - 2)^2$ .

$\lambda_1 = 3$  with multiplicity  $m_1 = 1$ :

$$A - 3I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -3 & 5 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $x_1 + x_3 = 0$  and  $x_2 - 2x_3 = 0$ , and  $x_3$  is the only free variable. Hence,  $\dim(E_\lambda) = 1$  and vectors in  $E_\lambda$  are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_3 \\ 2x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} x_3.$$

We choose  $\{(-1, 2, 1)^T\}$  to be a basis for  $E_{\lambda_1} = K_{\lambda_1}$ . Since  $\dim(E_{\lambda_1}) = m_1$ , we are done.

$\lambda_2 = 2$  with multiplicity  $m_2 = 2$ :

$$A - 2I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $x_1 + x_3 = 0$  and  $x_2 - 3x_3 = 0$ , and  $x_3$  is the only free variable. Hence,  $\dim(E_{\lambda_2}) = 1$ , which is less than  $m_2$ . Hence, we will have one  $2 \times 2$  block for the eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 2$  (one block because  $\dim(E_{\lambda_2}) = 1$ ) and we want a basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  which satisfies

$$(A - 2I)^2(v_2) = 0 \quad (A - 2I)(v_2) = v_1.$$

As there are only two vectors, we can first solve  $(A - 2I)^2(v_2) = 0$  and pick any such  $v_2$  with  $(A - 2I)(v_2) \neq 0$ . Then, define  $v_1 = (A - 2I)(v_2)$  and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  will be a basis for  $K_{\lambda_2}$  that gives a Jordan block (cf. Theorem 5.22 for linear independence of  $v_1, v_2$ ).

So, write  $v_2 = (a, b, c)$  and we solve the first equation. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $R_2 = -2R_1$  and  $R_3 = -R_1$ , doing row reduction eliminates  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , and we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = 0$  and  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are free variables. The solution space is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (x_3 - x_2)/2 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} x_3.$$

By trial and error, we see that  $x_2 = 2$  and  $x_3 = 0$  work. So, set  $v_2 = (-1, 2, 0)^T$  and

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, with respect to the basis

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the matrix  $A$  can be expressed as

$$[A]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & & \\ & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark.** For example, suppose that  $\lambda$  has multiplicity  $m = 3$  and  $\dim(E_\lambda) = 2$ . Since  $\dim(E_\lambda) = 2$ , there will be two blocks - a  $1 \times 1$  block and  $2 \times 2$  block. To find a basis such that the matrix becomes a Jordan canonical form, here is one approach.

- (1) Solve  $(T - \lambda I)^2(v_3) = 0$  and find such a  $v_3$  such that  $(T - \lambda I)(v_3) \neq 0$ .
- (2) Define  $v_2 = (T - \lambda I)(v_3)$ . Then  $\{v_2, v_3\}$  will give you the  $2 \times 2$  block.
- (3) Solve  $(T - \lambda I)(v_1) = 0$  and find such a  $v_1$  such that  $v_1 \notin \text{span}(v_2, v_3)$ .
- (4) Then, you can use the basis  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  and the matrix will have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \lambda & 1 \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Question.** What do you do in general?

**Question.** Consider different values of  $m, d$ , and  $r$  (notation as under *Some Important....Observations* and think of what can happen in each case.