

MATH 111A  
SECOND MIDTERM  
20 November 2013, 10:00-10:50

NAME Borge  
PERM NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**PLEASE WRITE NEATLY.** When giving proofs, be sure to sort out tentative ideas on scratch paper, and to put down your argument in a **logical sequence** on the test paper. Make sure to justify all steps. (Your scratch work will not be graded.)

1. In (a) – (d), give clean definitions of the following concepts. Throughout,  $G$  denotes a (multiplicatively written) group.

(a) If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $g \in G$ , then

$$gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$$

(b) A *normal subgroup* of  $G$ . (Your definition should be in terms of cosets. You may assume that the reader knows what a subgroup is.)

A normal subgroup of  $G$  is a subgroup  $N \leq G$  such that  $gN = Ng$  for all  $g \in G$ .

(c) The *quotient group*  $G/N$ , where  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . (You need to specify  $G/N$  as a set and define the binary operation on  $G/N$  which makes  $G/N$  into a group; but you need not check well-definedness of this operation, nor check that the group axioms are satisfied.)

$$G/N = \{gN \mid g \in G\}, \text{ with the binary operation}$$
$$G/N \times G/N \rightarrow G/N, (g_1N, g_2N) \mapsto g_1g_2N$$

(d) Suppose  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Define the index  $[G:H]$  of  $H$  in  $G$ .

The index  $[G:H]$  of  $H$  in  $G$  is the cardinality of the set  $\{gH \mid g \in G\}$  of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

(e) Carefully state Lagrange's Theorem.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H \leq G$  a subgroup. Then  $|G| = [G:H] \cdot |H|$ .  
Moreover,  $[G:H]$  equals the cardinality of the set  $\{Hg \mid g \in G\}$  of right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

2. Suppose  $G$  is a group,  $H \leq G$  a subgroup, and let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Prove the following equivalence:

$$g_1 H = g_2 H \iff g_2^{-1} g_1 \in H.$$

" $\implies$ " Suppose  $g_1 H = g_2 H$ . Then  $g_1 = g_1 \cdot 1 \in g_1 H$  shows  $g_1 \in g_2 H$ ; that is  $g_1 = g_2 h$  for some  $h \in H$ . We deduce  $g_2^{-1} g_1 = h \in H$  as required.

" $\impliedby$ " Suppose  $g_2^{-1} g_1 \in H$ . To show  $g_1 H \subseteq g_2 H$ , let  $g_1 h \in g_1 H$  for some  $h \in H$ . Then  $g_1 h = g_2^{-1} g_1 h = (g_2^{-1} g_1) h \in H$ , since both  $g_2^{-1} g_1$  and  $h$  belong to  $H$ , and  $H \leq G$ . This shows  $g_1 h = g_2 y \in g_2 H$ , thus proving  $g_1 H \subseteq g_2 H$ .

Since  $H \leq G$ , our hypotheses yields  $g_1^{-1} g_2 = (g_2^{-1} g_1)^{-1} \in H$ , and " $g_2 H \subseteq g_1 H$ " follows by symmetry.

3. Let  $H$  and  $K$  be subgroups of a group  $G$ .

(a) Suppose that  $K \trianglelefteq G$ . Prove that then  $H \cap K$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$ . (You need not prove that  $H \cap K$  is a subgroup of  $H$ , but only address normality. If you use a normality criterion from class, state it.)

Normality criterion proved in class: A subgroup  $N \leq G$  is normal in  $G$  iff  $g N g^{-1} \subseteq N$  for all  $g \in G$ .

To show that  $h(H \cap K)h^{-1} \subseteq H \cap K$  for all  $h \in H$ , let  $h \in H$  and  $x \in H \cap K$ . Since  $x \in H$  and  $H \leq G$ , we obtain  $hxh^{-1} \in H$ . Since  $x \in K$  and  $K \trianglelefteq G$ , we obtain  $hxh^{-1} \in K$  by normality of  $K$ . Thus  $hxh^{-1} \in H \cap K$ .

Using again the normality criterion, we conclude  $H \cap K \trianglelefteq H$ .

(b) **Extra Credit.** Now suppose that both  $H$  and  $K$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ , such that  $K \leq H$ . Prove that  $H/K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/K$ . (You need not show that  $H/K$  is a subgroup of  $G/K$ , but only address normality.)

Set  $\bar{G} = G/K$ ,  $\bar{H} = H/K$ , and  $\bar{g} = gK$  for  $g \in G$ . We again use the normality criterion quoted under 3(a) to prove  $\bar{H} \trianglelefteq \bar{G}$ .

For that purpose, let  $\bar{h} \in \bar{H}$  with  $h \in H$ , and  $\bar{g} \in \bar{G}$ . Then  $ghg^{-1} \in H$ , since  $H \trianglelefteq G$  by hypothesis, and hence

$$\bar{g} \bar{h} \bar{g}^{-1} = \overline{ghg^{-1}} = (ghg^{-1})K \in H/K = \bar{H}$$

(def. of quotient on  $G/H$ ) as required.

Thus  $\bar{g} \bar{H} \bar{g}^{-1} \subseteq \bar{H}$ , whence  $\bar{H} \trianglelefteq \bar{G}$  by the criterion.

4. Suppose that  $G$  and  $H$  are groups, and let  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. Prove the following implication: If  $\ker(\phi) = \{1_G\}$ , then  $\phi$  is an injection.

Suppose  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  with  $\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2)$ . We will show  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in \ker(\phi)$ : Indeed,  $\phi(g_2^{-1}g_1) = \phi(g_2^{-1}) \cdot \phi(g_1) = (\phi(g_2))^{-1} \phi(g_1) = 1_H$  from  $\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2)$ .

By hypothesis, this implies  $g_2^{-1}g_1 = 1_G$ , and thus  $g_1 = g_2$ .

This shows  $\phi$  to be an injection.

→ 5. Suppose  $G = \langle x \rangle$  is cyclic of finite order  $m$ . <sup>jointly</sup> Use the First Isomorphism Theorem to show that  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . (You may use what we proved about group elements of order  $m$  in early October, but must carefully state what you want to apply.)

From class we know:  $m \neq |G| = |x|$ , and hence  $x^i = 1$  iff  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .  
That is,  $\{x^i = 1 \text{ iff } i \in m\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

Consider the map  
 $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G, i \mapsto x^i$ .

1.  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, since  $\phi(i+j) = x^{i+j} = x^i x^j = \phi(i) \phi(j)$ .

power rules

2.  $\phi$  is a surjection, because  $G = \{x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  by hypothesis.

3.  $\text{Ker } \phi = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x^i = 1\} = m\mathbb{Z}$  by our initial remark.

In light of 1.-3., the First Isomorphism Theorem yields

$$\mathbb{Z}/\text{Ker } \phi = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong G.$$