## 1 Arithmetic and Algebra of Complex Numbers

- (a) (a)  $(1+i)^{100} = (\sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4})^{100} = 2^{50}$ .
  - (b)  $(1 + e^{i\theta})^n = e^{in\theta/2} (2^n \cos^n \theta/2).$

(c)  $\exp(e^{i\theta}) = \exp(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta) = e^{\cos\theta}e^{i\sin\theta} = e^{\cos\theta}(\cos(\sin\theta) + i\sin(\sin\theta))$ 

- (b) (i) The set of all points 4 units away from 1 is a circle of radius 4 centered at 1.
  - (ii) The set of points equidistant from i and 4 is the perpendicular bisector of the line segment joining i and 4.
  - (iii) Given  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , note that |z| is distance to 0 while  $\operatorname{Re}(z+2)$  is the distance to the vertical line y = -2. The set for which these are equal is a parabola.
- (c) (i)  $z^6 2z^3 + 2 = 0$  gives  $(z^3 1)^2 = -1$ , so that  $z^3 = 1 \pm i$ . Converting to polar,  $z^3 = \sqrt{2}e^{\pm i\pi/4}$  and hence  $z = 2^{1/6} \exp(2ik\pi/3 \pm i\pi/12)$ , where  $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ .
  - (ii)  $(z+1)^5 = z^5$  becomes  $(1+1/z)^5 = 1$  upon division. Hence  $z = 1/(\exp(2ik\pi/5)-1)$  with  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . We exclude k = 0 since it leads to division by 0.
  - (iii)  $e^z = 1 + i$  becomes  $e^z = \sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4}$  when we rewrite in polar. The real part of z = x + iy must satisfy  $e^x = \sqrt{2}$ , so that  $x = \ln(2)/2$ . The imaginary part must satisfy  $y = \pi/4 + 2\pi k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $z = \ln\sqrt{2} + i\pi/4 + 2ki\pi$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - (iv)  $z^4 = 5(z-1)(z^2-z+1)$  becomes  $z^4 5z^3 + 10z^2 10z + 5 = 0$  after rearranging. This is suspiciously familiar, so multiplying by z and subtracting 1 gives

$$(z-1)^5 = -1$$

That is,  $z = 1 + e^{(2k+1)i\pi/5}$  with  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . However, k = 0 leads to z = 0 which doesn't satisfy the original equation. So there are only 4 solutions (as can be expected from a quartic equation).

(d) *Proof.* Rewrite  $\cos(n\theta) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{in\theta})$  and evaluate the geometric series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\theta)}{2^n} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^n = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\theta}/2}.$$

The rest is algebra.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\theta)}{2^n} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\theta}/2} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{2}{2 - e^{i\theta}} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{2(2 - e^{-i\theta})}{(2 - e^{i\theta})(2 - e^{-i\theta})} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{4 - 2e^{-i\theta}}{5 - 2(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})}.$$

The denominator contains an expression for  $2\cos\theta$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\theta)}{2^n} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{4 - 2\cos\theta + 2i\sin\theta}{5 - 4\cos\theta} = \frac{4 - 2\cos\theta}{5 - 4\cos\theta},$$

and we're done.

- (e) *Proof.* TYPO ALERT: I should've written *nonconstant* in the problem statement. Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  be given. Then p(z) a is a nonconstant polynomial, and by the fundamental theorem of algebra, there exists  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  so that p(z) a = 0. Hence p(z) = a and p is surjective.
- (f) *Proof.* Define the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z - p}{1 - \overline{p}z}.$$

Clearly f(p) = 0. Furthermore, the denominator of f never vanishes; for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  we have  $|\overline{p}z| < 1$ . Finally, note that as  $|z| \to 1$  we have  $\overline{z} \to 1/z$  so that

$$|f(z)| = \left|\frac{z-a}{1-\overline{z}a}\right| \to \left|\frac{z-a}{1-a/z}\right| = |z| = 1$$

as desired.

## 2 Problem Solving with Complex Numbers

(a) *Proof.* Let  $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/n)$ . The product of the lengths we want is

$$P = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} |1 - \omega^k|.$$

Let  $f(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^{n-1}$ , whose roots are  $\omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{n-1}$ . Then g(z) = f(1-z) is a polynomial with roots  $1 - \omega, 1 - \omega^2, \dots, 1 - \omega^{n-1}$ . The product of the roots of a polynomial g with degree n-1 is  $(-1)^{n-1}g(0)$ , so we have

$$P = \left| \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \omega^k) \right| = |(-1)^{n-1} g(0)| = |f(1)| = n.$$

Now we want to compute

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |1 - \omega^k|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \omega^k)(1 - \omega^{n-k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - \omega^k - \omega^{n-k} + \omega^n).$$

The sum  $\omega + \omega^2 + \cdots + \omega^{n-1} = -1$ . Using this and the fact that  $\omega^n = 1$ ,

$$S = 2(n-1) - (-1) - (-1) = 2n,$$

and we're done.

(b) *Proof.* Let a, b, m, n be positive integers. Then we have

$$(a^{2} + b^{2})(m^{2} + n^{2}) = |a + bi|^{2}|m + ni|^{2} = |(am - bn) + i(an + bm)|^{2} = (am - bn)^{2} + (an + bm)^{2}.$$

Hence the product of two sums of 2 squares is itself a sum of 2 squares.

(c) Proof. Set  $z \mapsto \omega z$  to get

$$f(\omega z) + f(\omega^2 z) = \exp(\omega z). \tag{1}$$

In this equation again set  $z \mapsto \omega z$  to find

$$f(\omega^2 z) + f(\omega^3 z) = \exp(\omega^2 z).$$

The second term above simplifies since  $\omega^3 = 1$ , so we find

$$f(\omega^2 z) + f(z) = \exp(\omega^2 z).$$
(2)

If we take the given identity

$$f(z) + f(\omega z) = \exp(z)$$

subtracting (1), adding (2), and dividing by 3 gives

$$f(z) = \frac{\exp(z) - \exp(\omega z) + \exp(\omega^2 z)}{3}.$$

Proving uniqueness of f is immediate. Starting from the identity from f we derived exactly what f must be, so there can be no other f.

(d) *Proof.* We begin with a geometric series. When  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  the series

$$z^{a} + z^{a+d} + z^{a+2d} + \dots = z^{a}(1 + z^{d} + (z^{d})^{2} + \dots) = \frac{z^{a}}{1 - z^{d}}$$

is a convergent geometric series.

Now suppose that we find integers  $a_k, d_k$  so that each  $d_k$  is distinct and

$$\frac{z}{1-z} = \frac{z^{a_1}}{1-z^{d_1}} + \frac{z^{a_2}}{1-z^{d_2}} + \dots + \frac{z^{a_n}}{1-z^{d_n}}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Without loss of generality let  $d_1$  be the largest such  $d_k$ . Multiplying gives

$$\frac{z(1-z^{d_1})}{1-z} = z^{a_1} + (1-z^{d_1})\left(\frac{z^{a_2}}{1-z^{d_2}} + \dots + \frac{z^{a_n}}{1-z^{d_n}}\right)$$
(3)

Now we have to keep  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , but we can take the limit  $z \to e^{2i\pi/d_1}$  while z stays within the disk. Assuming  $d_1 \neq 1$  we deduce

$$0 = e^{2ia_1\pi/d_1}.$$

which is impossible. Hence the largest  $d_k$  is 1 and as all  $d_k$  were distinct, there are no others. That is, n = 1. Returning to equation (3) we see that  $z = z^{a_1}$ , so  $a_1 = 1$ . This proves the second result.

Finally, assume we can decompose  $\mathbb N$  into a disjoint collection of arithemtic progressions:

$$\mathbb{N} = \{a_1, a_1 + d_1, a_1 + 2d_1, \ldots\} \cup \cdots \cup \{a_n, a_n + d_n, a_n + 2d_n, \ldots\},\$$

with each  $d_k$  distinct. We can use this partition to write

$$z + z^{2} + z^{3} + \dots = (z^{a_{1}} + z^{a_{1}+d_{1}} + \dots) + \dots + (z^{a_{n}} + z^{a_{n}+d_{n}} + \dots)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Summing these series gives

$$\frac{z}{1-z} = \frac{z^{a_1}}{1-z^{d_1}} + \frac{z^{a_2}}{1-z^{d_2}} + \dots + \frac{z^{a_n}}{1-z^{d_n}}.$$

By the above reasoning,  $n = a_1 = d_1 = 1$ . That is, our partition of N must have been the trivial one.