

## Math 124B: PDEs

### Eigenvalue problems for differential operators

We want to find eigenfunctions of (linear) differential operators acting on functions on the interval  $[0, l]$  that satisfy boundary conditions at the endpoints. (In this discussion, we will assume that the function 0 solves  $A0 = 0$  and satisfies the boundary conditions.) For instance, we have often looked at the second-order differential operator  $A = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  with two boundary conditions.

The eigenvalue problem for such an  $A$  (with boundary conditions) is to find all the possible *eigenvalues* of  $A$ . In other words, we have to find all of the numbers  $\lambda$  such that there is a solution of the equation  $AX = \lambda X$  for some function  $X$  ( $X \neq 0$ ) that satisfies the boundary conditions at 0 and at  $l$ . When  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, all of these non-zero solutions are *eigenfunctions* corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

If we have the right number of boundary conditions, we often find that only some special set of numbers will be eigenvalues. Imagine picking any number  $\lambda$  you want. You can always solve the ordinary differential equation  $AX = \lambda X$ . There will be actually be many solutions of the ODE! For example, if the ODE is second order, then the general solution will have two arbitrary constants  $A$  and  $B$ . We want to find out which of these solutions also satisfy the boundary conditions. If there are *two* boundary conditions, you will have two equations involving the constants  $A$  and  $B$ . Most of the time, there will be only one possible solution of these two equations with two unknowns – which means most of the time, 0 is the only function that solves the ODE and satisfies the boundary conditions! Therefore, most of the time, the  $\lambda$  you picked is *not* an eigenvalue. The number  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue only if it happens to be a number that somehow allows your two equations to have more than one possible solution for  $A$  and  $B$ .

Let's see an example of this: Let  $A$  be the operator  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  that acts on functions on  $[0, l]$  with boundary conditions  $X(0) = 0$  and  $X'(l) = 0$ . We want to find all the  $\lambda$  such that

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(X) = \lambda X; \quad X(0) = 0; \quad X'(l) = 0 \quad (\star)$$

has a non-zero solution. When we write down the general solution, the two boundary conditions will give us equations for the arbitrary constants  $A$  and  $B$  and the number  $\lambda$ . Our goal is to find all the numbers  $\lambda$  such that when we solve these two equations for  $A$  and  $B$ , we do *not* get that the general solution must become  $X = 0$ . However, for this particular ODE, we can not write down the general solution without first knowing if  $\lambda$  is equal to zero, is positive, or is negative. Therefore, we consider each of these three cases separately.

- Case (i):  $\lambda = 0$

In this case,  $\lambda$  is a specific number, so we're really just checking whether or not 0

is an eigenvalue. The general solution is  $X(x) = Ax + B$ . The two boundary conditions give the equations

$$\begin{aligned} X(0) &= B = 0 \\ X'(l) &= A = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the only solution of these equations is  $A = 0$  and  $B = 0$ . Therefore, the only solution of  $(\star)$  is  $X = 0$ , which means 0 is not an eigenvalue.

- Case (ii):  $\lambda < 0$

When  $\lambda$  is a negative number,  $\lambda = -\beta^2$  for some  $\beta > 0$  and the general solution is  $X(x) = A\cosh(\beta x) + B\sinh(\beta x)$ . Using the boundary conditions, the two equations are

$$\begin{aligned} X(0) &= A = 0 \\ X'(l) &= \beta A\sinh(\beta l) + \beta B\cosh(\beta l) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  must be 0, this system of two equations has a solution only when  $\beta B\cosh(\beta l) = 0$ . Remember  $\beta > 0$  and  $\cosh(a)$  never equals 0 for any number  $a$ . Therefore,  $B$  must be 0. The only solution is again  $A = B = 0$ , so  $X(x) = 0$ , and  $\lambda$  cannot be an eigenvalue. (I.e., there can be no negative eigenvalues.)

- Case (iii):  $\lambda > 0$

In this case,  $\lambda = \beta^2$  for some  $\beta > 0$  and the general solution is  $X(x) = A\cos(\beta x) + B\sin(\beta x)$ . The two boundary conditions give us the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} X(0) &= A = 0 \\ X'(l) &= -\beta A\sin(\beta l) + \beta B\cos(\beta l) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A = 0$ , this system is solved only when  $A = 0$  and  $\beta B\cos(\beta l) = 0$ . For *most*  $\beta$ , this means  $B = 0$ , so  $X = 0$  and  $\beta^2$  is not an eigenvalue. However, when  $\beta$  is  $\frac{\pi}{2l}$ ,  $\frac{3\pi}{2l}$ ,  $\frac{5\pi}{2l}$ , etc, then  $\cos(\beta l) = 0$ , and  $B$  does not have to be 0! These means that if  $\lambda = \lambda_n = \left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2l}\right)^2$  for some  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, and the eigenfunction is  $X_n(x) = B\sin\left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2l}x\right)$  ( $A$  must still be 0, but  $B$  could be anything and  $X_n$  will still satisfy the boundary conditions!). If  $\lambda$  is any other positive number, it's not an eigenvalue.

We've solved the eigenvalue problem: The only eigenvalues are the  $\lambda_n$ , for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ! (In the process of figuring out which numbers were eigenvalues, notice that we had to solve for the eigenfunctions  $X_n$  as well! This is because the definition of eigenvalue involves the existence of an eigenfunction.)