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D. D. LONG, A. W. REID, AND M. WOLFF

Abstract. We prove that generic Hitchin representations are strongly dense: every pair of non-commuting elements in their image generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The proof uses a theorem of Rapinchuk, Benyash-Krivetz and Chernousov, to show that the set of Hitchin representations is Zariski-dense in the variety of representations of a surface group in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

1. Introduction

Following Breuillard, Green, Guralnick and Tao [2], we say that a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is strongly dense if any pair of non-commuting elements of $\Gamma$ generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. They proved that, among many other semisimple algebraic groups, the group $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ contains a strongly dense non abelian free subgroup [2, Theorem 4.5]. In this note, we extend the Breuillard, Green, Guralnick and Tao result to certain (discrete and) faithful representations of surface groups of genus at least two into $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

To describe this more carefully, we introduce some background and terminology. For fixed $g \geq 2$, and base field $k$, the set of representations of the surface group $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ to $\mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(k))$ and is naturally an affine subvariety of $k^{2gn^2}$ known as the representation variety. In the case of $k = \mathbb{R}$, those representations of interest to us, the Hitchin representations, are of particular geometric importance and can be defined as follows.

The Teichmüller representations in $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ are those obtained by composing any faithful and discrete representation $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \to \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with an irreducible representation $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The Hitchin representations are those that lie in the same connected component (for the usual, Euclidean topology) of $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ as a Teichmüller representation. Note that, depending on the parity of $n$, there may be more than one such component, but we simply choose one and denote it by $\text{HIT}_n$. \footnote{We note that a Hitchin component more usually refers to a connected component of the character variety $X(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ and the notation $\text{Hit}_n$ is frequently used, but in this note it will be technically simpler to work at the level of representations.}

We say that a representation is strongly dense if its image is a strongly dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and we say that a subset of $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is generic if its complement consists of a countable union of proper subvarieties. The main result of this note is:

Theorem 1.1. Let $n \geq 3$. Then the set of strongly dense representations of $\pi_1\Sigma_g$ is generic in $\text{HIT}_n$.

It is known that all the representations in $\text{HIT}_n$ are faithful and discrete (see [8, Theorem 1.5]), so this provides the representations promised in the first paragraph. In fact, it is also known that generic Hitchin representations are Zariski-dense (see [7, 12]). We note that the result of Theorem 1.1 was obtained recently in [9] in the
case of $n = 3$ by direct geometric methods.

To prove Theorem 1.1 we prove the following result, which seems independently interesting, and uses a result of Rapinchuk, Benyash-Krivetz and Chernousov [11], that $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{2gn^2}$; in fact, it is connected for the Zariski topology and for the classical (Euclidean) topology.

**Theorem 1.2.** For all $n \geq 2$, the set $\text{HIT}_n$ is Zariski-dense in the affine algebraic set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$.

The case $n = 2$ was already essentially observed in [5, Chapter 3].

As we describe below, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2 together with [2] and the fact that surface groups are residually free [1]. The idea of combining the irreducibility of representation spaces with residual properties of surface groups was already used, for example in [3, 4].
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2. Proofs.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* As noted in §1, $R(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is an affine subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^{2gn^2}$, and it was proved in [11, Theorem 3] to be irreducible of dimension $(2g-1)(n^2-1)$.

The set $\text{HIT}_n$ is, by definition, a (topological) connected component of $R(\mathbb{R})$, which is a real algebraic variety, and hence $\text{HIT}_n$ is open. We claim that it contains smooth points of $R(\mathbb{R})$, or equivalently, of $R(\mathbb{C})$: in fact, we will show that all its points are regular.

Indeed, by a result of Goldman [6, Proposition 1.2], at each point $\rho$ of $R(\mathbb{R})$, the dimension of the Zariski tangent space at $\rho$ equals $(2g-1)(n^2-1)+\dim(\zeta(\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))))$, where $\zeta(\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)))$ is the centralizer of the image group $\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))$ in $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

We will make use of the following facts proved by Labourie (see [8, Theorem 1.5 and Paragraph 10]). First, if $\rho \in \text{HIT}_n$, then $\rho$ is irreducible, and second, for all nonidentity elements $\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$, the matrix $\rho(\gamma)$ is diagonalizable with pairwise distinct real eigenvalues.

Fix such a $\gamma_0$; by conjugating the image of $\rho$ in $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, we may suppose that $\rho(\gamma_0)$ is diagonal. Let $\xi$ be an element of $\zeta(\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)))$. Since $\xi$ commutes with $\rho(\gamma_0)$, it is also diagonal, and if $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $\xi$, the matrix $\xi - \lambda I$ also commutes with $\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))$. Hence $\ker(\xi - \lambda I)$ is invariant by $\rho(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))$. However, $\rho$ is irreducible, and so this implies that $\xi$ is a scalar matrix, that is to say, $\xi = \pm I$.

Thus, the Zariski tangent space at any representation $\rho \in \text{HIT}_n$ has minimal dimension, $(2g-1)(n^2-1)$, in other words, these are regular points of the varieties $R(\mathbb{R})$ and $R(\mathbb{C})$.

Now, the result follows from the following general fact from real algebraic geometry: suppose $V$ is an irreducible complex affine variety defined by real polynomials, and suppose $H$ is a connected component of $V(\mathbb{R})$ which has a smooth real point. Then $H$ is Zariski-dense in $V$. This is a slight variation of the statement [10, Theorem 2.2.9] (with the same proof).
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For every pair of non commuting elements \(a, b \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)\), let \(\text{Bad}(a, b)\) denote the subset of \(\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))\) consisting of representations \(\rho\) such that \(\rho(a)\) and \(\rho(b)\) do not generate a Zariski-dense subgroup of \(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\), and let \(\text{Good}(a, b)\) denote its complement.

The proof will be complete once we know that for every pair of non commuting elements \(a, b \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)\), the set \(\text{Bad}(a, b) \cap \text{HIT}_n\) is Zariski-closed, and that it is a proper subset of \(\text{HIT}_n\).

The fact that the sets \(\text{Bad}(a, b)\) are Zariski-closed follows from [2, Theorem 4.1].

Now let us check that \(\text{Bad}(a, b) \cap \text{HIT}_n\) is a proper subset of \(\text{HIT}_n\), or equivalently, that \(\text{Good}(a, b) \cap \text{HIT}_n\) is nonempty. Since \(\text{Good}(a, b)\) is Zariski-open, and since \(\text{HIT}_n\) is Zariski-dense in \(\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g), \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))\) by Theorem 1.2, it suffices to check that \(\text{Good}(a, b)\) is nonempty.

By [2, Theorem 4.5], there exists a strongly dense representation \(\rho_0 : F_2 \to \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\). Let \(a, b \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)\) be a pair of non commuting elements. Since \(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)\) is residually free (see Baumslag [1]) and \([a, b] \neq 1\), there exists a surjective morphism \(\psi \) from \(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)\) onto a free group \(F\), such that \(\phi([a, b]) \neq 1\). By composing \(\psi\) with an injective morphism \(F \to F_2\), this yields a morphism \(\varphi : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \to F_2\) such that \(\varphi([a, b]) \neq 1\). Thus, \(\varphi(a)\) and \(\varphi(b)\) do not commute, hence \(\rho_0(\varphi(a))\) and \(\rho_0(\varphi(b))\) generate a Zariski dense subgroup of \(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\). In other words, \(\rho_0 \circ \varphi\) lies in \(\text{Good}(a, b)\), so this set is non empty. \(\square\)
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