

# Generalized Dedekind sums.

D. D. Long\* & A. W. Reid†

*Dedicated to Andrew Casson on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

November 19, 2003

## 1 Introduction

A classical and important construction which arises in many contexts is that of the *Dedekind sum* which is defined for coprime integers  $a$  and  $c$  by

$$s(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^{|b|-1} \left( \left( \frac{k}{b} \right) \right) \left( \left( \frac{ka}{b} \right) \right)$$

where  $((x)) = x - [x] - 1/2$ . Dedekind sums arise naturally in various topological settings, one of the most famous being Hirzebruch's description of  $4s(b, a)$  as the signature defect of the Lens space  $L(a, b)$  coming from Rademacher's cotangent formula

$$s(a, b) = \frac{1}{4|b|} \sum_{k=1}^{|b|-1} \cot\left(\frac{k\pi}{b}\right) \left( \left( \frac{ka\pi}{b} \right) \right),$$

as well as in Walker's formula for the generalized Casson invariant.

From the point of view of this note, it is the beautiful construction in [1] of Dedekind sums based upon the classical modular group  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  that is of interest. We describe some this briefly, as it is useful in the development of what follows. It is shown in [1] that there exists a 2-cocycle  $\epsilon : \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  and a function  $\phi : \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  (the Rademacher  $\phi$  function) which satisfy  $\delta\phi = 3\epsilon$  (where  $\delta$  is the coboundary operator). Furthermore, it is shown in [1] that the function  $\phi$  is closely related to the Dedekind sums mentioned above. Namely, in [1] the authors define a Dedekind symbol  $S$  on  $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$  which maps  $\infty$  to  $\infty$  and otherwise,  $S(\frac{a}{c}) = \phi(M) + \chi(M)$  where  $M \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  satisfies  $M(\infty) = \frac{a}{c}$  and  $\chi$  is

---

\*This work was partially supported by the N. S. F.

†This work was partially supported by the N. S. F., and a grant from the Texas Advanced Research Program.

a function depending on the entries of  $M$  (see §2.2). As pointed out in (0.8) of [1], the relationship between  $S$  and the Dedekind sum  $s$  above is  $S(\frac{a}{c}) = 12\text{sign}(c)s(a, c)$ .

For us, since  $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$  coincides with the cusp set (that is the set of all parabolic fixed points) of  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $S$  can be viewed as a function defined on the cusp set of  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ . In [2] it was shown that there exist finite coarea Fuchsian groups not commensurable with the modular group but whose cusp set is precisely  $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$ . The purpose of this note is to show that these groups give rise to very natural generalizations of Dedekind sums.

We begin by recalling briefly the construction of [2]. The starting point of that paper was to take the two generator group  $\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  generated by elements  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  as below

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (-1+t)/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} & u^2/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} \\ 1/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} & 1/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} u/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} & u/\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} \\ 1/(u\sqrt{-1+t-u^2}) & (t-u^2)/u\sqrt{-1+t-u^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the parameters  $u^2$  and  $t$  are real and satisfy  $t > u^2 + 1$ .

One sees easily that in the hyperbolic plane,  $g_1$  maps the directed edge  $\{-1, 0\}$  to the directed edge  $\{\infty, u^2\}$  and  $g_2$  mapping  $\{\infty, -1\}$  to  $\{u^2, 0\}$ , and moreover the commutator

$$g_1 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2t \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is parabolic and generates the stabiliser of infinity. It follows that  $\mathbf{H}^2/\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  is a complete finite area once punctured torus. This family includes a modular torus as  $\Delta(1, 6)$ , as well as other arithmetic once punctured tori, and if  $u^2$  and  $t$  are chosen to be rational the set of cusps of these groups must be a subset of  $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$ . In the arithmetic cases, the cusp set is precisely  $\mathbf{Q} \cup \infty$ , although this is not always the case for rational pairs  $(u^2, 2t)$ . (See [2]).

Despite the apparently complicated nature of the entries in these matrices because of the presence of square roots, an easy computation shows that if one considers  $G = \ker\{\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2\}$ , then the trace-field of  $G$ , and hence the invariant trace-field of  $\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  is the field  $\mathbf{Q}(u^2, t)$ . In fact all the entries of the matrix representatives for  $G$  lie in the field  $\mathbf{Q}(u^2, t)$ . This real field will be called the *invariant field of definition* of  $\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  as it is the most germane field for our considerations. In particular, the cusp set of  $\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  can clearly be no larger than the field  $\mathbf{Q}(u^2, t) \cup \infty$

The main result of [2] is that there are rational choices of parameters  $(u^2, 2t)$  which give rise to nonarithmetic groups whose cusp sets are precisely the rationals. Such

groups we call *pseudomodular*. There is a good deal of evidence that such groups exist for fields more general than the rationals, that is to say, their cusp sets are equal to their invariant field of definition - such groups we will describe as *maximally cusped*. It is these groups which we will use to construct Dedekind sums; since our family includes the modular group, it will include a construction of the classical Dedekind sum. In this note we will show

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that  $\Delta$  as above has invariant field of definition  $K$  and is maximally cusped. Then associated to  $\Delta$  is a function*

$$S_{\Delta} : K \cup \infty \rightarrow K \cup \infty$$

Such functions we say are **generalized Dedekind sums**.

## 2 The Construction.

Following [1], we first construct an analogue of the Rademacher  $\phi$  function. Fix one of the groups  $\Delta(u^2, 2t)$  of [2]; (at this stage it is not necessary that the group be pseudomodular) and suppose that its invariant field of definition is  $K$ .

All once punctured tori are hyperelliptic so we can adjoin to this group the orientation preserving involution  $\tau$  which conjugates the generators to their inverses, to form a new discrete group  $\Gamma$ . The surface  $F = \mathbf{H}^2/\Gamma$  is a sphere with three cone points of angle  $\pi$  and a cusp. Note that as an element of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\tau$  is represented by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2u \\ -2/u & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\tau(\infty) = 0$ .

Following [1], we define an area 2-cocycle

$$\epsilon : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$$

by setting  $\epsilon(A, B) = \text{area}(\infty, A\infty, AB\infty)/\pi$  where this area is to be regarded as oriented, it follows that  $\epsilon$  takes on the values  $0, \pm 1$ .

Equivalently, one can usefully think of  $\epsilon(A, B)$  as the sign of  $AB\infty - A\infty$ , where this is to be interpreted as zero if either term of the difference is infinite.

Notice that  $\epsilon$  is a cocycle, because the coboundary

$$\delta\epsilon(A, B, C) = \epsilon(B, C) - \epsilon(AB, C) + \epsilon(A, BC) - \epsilon(A, B)$$

involves four triangular areas and the first has vertices  $(\infty, B\infty, BC\infty)$  which has same oriented area as  $(A\infty, AB\infty, ABC\infty)$ , so that taken together with other three this forms a tetrahedron, and hence the total area is 0.

**Lemma 2.1** *There is a unique  $K$ -valued 1-cochain  $\Gamma \rightarrow K$  with coboundary  $\epsilon$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 * \mathbf{Z}/2 * \mathbf{Z}/2$  so that

$$H^1(\Gamma; \mathbf{Z}) \cong 0$$

and

$$H^2(\Gamma; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$$

since the integral homology of  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  is zero in odd dimensions and  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  in even dimensions. For our purposes, we need only use that  $H^2(\Gamma; K) = H^1(\Gamma; K) = 0$ . The fact that  $H^2(\Gamma; K) = 0$  implies immediately the existence of a  $K$ -valued 1-cochain with coboundary  $\epsilon$ .

We prove uniqueness as follows. If  $\delta(\phi_1) = \epsilon = \delta(\phi_2)$ , then  $\phi_i$  are both cocycles and hence since  $H^1(\Gamma; K) = 0$ , both are coboundaries. It follows that there is a 0-cochain  $\beta$  with  $\delta(\beta) = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ . We are computing group cohomology with trivial coefficients, so that this coboundary map is zero and  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$  as required.  $\square$

**Definition.** We shall denote this  $K$ -valued 1-cochain by  $\phi$ .

## 2.1 Computation of $\phi$

It will be useful to have a computation of the cochain  $\phi$ . A consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that there is a function  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow K$  which satisfies

$$\phi(AB) - \phi(A) - \phi(B) = -\lambda \text{sign}(AB\infty - A\infty) \quad (*)$$

for some  $\lambda \in K$  which will be determined.

Taking  $A = B = I$  we see that  $\phi(I) = 0$ . Taking  $A = B = -I$ , we also get  $\phi(-I) = 0$ . Taking  $A = -I$  and  $B = g$ , we deduce from (\*) that  $\phi(g) = \phi(-g)$  for every  $g \in \Gamma$ .

More generally, if  $A$  and  $B$  both stabilise  $\infty$ , then the relation says

$$\phi(AB) - \phi(A) - \phi(B) = 0$$

that is to say,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism on  $\text{stab}(\infty)$ .

Note that in the group  $\Gamma$ , we have that  $g_1 g_2^{-1} \tau$  stabilises infinity and one checks easily that this is the generating matrix for the parabolic subgroup and is given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By scaling by an appropriate element of  $K$ , we may assume that  $\lambda$  is chosen so that  $\phi$  maps this generating parabolic matrix to  $t$ , so that  $\phi$  is now determined on the parabolic subgroup.

It also follows from (\*) that

$$\phi(\alpha^{-1}) = -\phi(\alpha) = -\phi(-\alpha)$$

for any element  $\alpha$ , in particular, if  $\xi$  is any projective involution in  $\Gamma$ , (that is to say  $\xi^2 = \pm I$ ) we deduce that  $\phi(\xi) = 0$ .

Now in the notation introduced above we have

$$\phi(g_1\tau) - \phi(g_1) - \phi(\tau) = -\lambda \text{sign}(u^2 - t + 1)$$

Since  $g_1\tau$  and  $\tau$  are both projective involutions and recalling that the groups in question are required to have  $0 > 1 + u^2 - t$  we get

$$\phi(g_1) = -\lambda$$

By considering  $\tau g_2$ , a similar computation also shows  $\phi(g_2) = -\lambda$ .

Now for any  $k \in K$ , for which the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  lies in  $\Gamma$ , we have that

$$\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau\right) - \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) - 0 = 0$$

In the special case that  $k = t$ , the leftmost term is the product  $(g_1 g_2^{-1} \tau) \cdot \tau = -g_1 g_2^{-1}$ , so we deduce from the properties described above that  $\phi(g_1 g_2^{-1}) = t$ .

Since  $\begin{pmatrix} t/u & -u \\ 1/u & 0 \end{pmatrix} = g_1 g_2^{-1}$ , (or from purely geometric considerations) we see that  $g_2 g_1^{-1} \infty = 0$ . Finally, noting that  $g_2 \infty = u^2 > 0$  together with the relation

$$\phi(g_2 g_1^{-1}) - \phi(g_2) + \phi(g_1) = -\lambda \text{sign}(0 - u^2)$$

it follows that  $\lambda = -t$ , since the leftmost term is  $-t$  by the previous calculation and the inverse rule.

To sum up, we now have a complete inductive description of  $\phi$  on the group  $\Gamma$ , namely it satisfies

$$\phi(AB) - \phi(A) - \phi(B) = t \cdot \text{sign}(AB\infty - A\infty)$$

and

$$\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2) = \phi(g_2 g_1^{-1}) = t$$

**Remark** This is in keeping with the computations of [1] which are for the modular group and have  $\lambda = -3$ .

## 2.2 Generalized Dedekind sums.

Now fix some maximally cusped  $\Delta = \Delta(u^2, 2t)$  defined over the field  $K$ .

For any  $M \in \Delta$ , by applying the cocycle condition we have

$$\phi\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) - \phi(M) - k = t \cdot \text{sign}(M\infty - M\infty) = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$\phi\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \phi(M) + k \quad (**)$$

For  $M \in \Delta \setminus \text{stab}(\infty)$ , set

$$\chi(M) = (M_{1,1} + M_{2,2})/M_{2,1}.$$

Since  $M_{2,1} \neq 0$ , the value  $\chi(M)$  is an element of the field  $K$ , since the groups  $\Delta$  consist of matrices of the shape  $\sqrt{r}X$  for a matrix  $X \in \text{GL}(2, K)$  and  $r \in K$ . Now a matrix computation shows that

$$\chi\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \chi(M) + k$$

so that by taking the difference between this and (\*\*) we get

$$\phi\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) - \chi\left(M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \phi(M) - \chi(M)$$

which is to say the function

$$S(M) = \phi(M) - \chi(M)$$

is invariant under right multiplication by the parabolic subgroup.

These observations are independent of whether  $\Delta$  is maximally cusped or not. If we now assume that it is, we can define a **generalized Dedekind sum** as follows.

Given any element  $\kappa \in K$ , since  $\Delta$  is maximally cusped, there is an element  $M \in \Delta$  with  $M(\infty) = \kappa$  and we may set

$$S_{\Delta}(\kappa) = S(M)$$

The ambiguity in such  $M \in \Delta$  is accounted for by right multiplication by elements of the parabolic subgroup  $\text{stab}(\infty)$  so that this function depends only on  $\kappa$ . We will define  $S_{\Delta}(\infty) = \infty$ , and this defines the advertized function in Theorem 1.1.

**Remark.** This construction gives is a scalar multiple of the classical Dedekind sum when  $(u^2, 2t) = (1, 6)$ . (See [1], (0.8) )

**Examples.** It is proved in [2] that the group  $\Delta(3/5, 4)$  is pseudomodular so provides an example of a generalized Dedekind sum of this type. It is not difficult to write a computer program which computes its values based upon the iterative procedure outlined above. A table of the groups currently proven to be pseudomodular (and some conjectural examples) is provided in [2].

In subsequent work, the authors have extended this table of conjectural examples to groups which are maximally cusped for real quadratic number fields, for example  $\Delta(1, 2((1+\sqrt{13})/2))$  appears to be maximally cusped. Questions about whether there are analogues of, for example, Dedekind reciprocity and formulae of the classical type seem interesting and appear worthy of further investigation.

## References

- [1] R. Kirby and P. Melvin, *Dedekind sums,  $\mu$ -invariants and the signature cocycle*, Math. Annalen **299** (1994), pp. 231–267.
  
- [2] D. D. Long and A. W. Reid, *Pseudomodular surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **552** (2002), pp. 77–100.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Texas  
Austin, TX 78712