

CONTINUOUS SYMMETRIC SOBOLEV INNER PRODUCTS

M. Isabel Bueno⁽¹⁾, Francisco Marcellán⁽²⁾

Departamento de Matemáticas

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Avenida Universidad, 30. 28911 Leganés. Spain

⁽¹⁾ mbueno@math.uc3m.es

⁽²⁾ pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es

January 23, 2003

Key words and phrases: Sobolev inner products, orthogonal polynomials, semiclassical linear functionals, recurrence relations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. **42C05**

Abstract

In this paper we consider the sequence of monic polynomials (Q_n) orthogonal with respect to a symmetric Sobolev inner product. If $Q_{2n}(x) = P_n(x^2)$ and $Q_{2n+1}(x) = xR_n(x^2)$, then we deduce the integral representation of the inner products such that (P_n) and (R_n) are, respectively, the corresponding sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials. In the semiclassical case, algebraic relations between such sequences are deduced. Finally, an application of the above results to Freud-Sobolev polynomials is given.

1 Introduction

Let U be a linear functional in the linear space \mathbb{P} of polynomials with real coefficients. The sequence of real numbers $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\mu_n = U(x^n)$ is said

to be the sequence of the moments associated with the linear functional.

Let consider the bilinear functional $\varphi_U : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(p, q) = U(pq), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{P}.$$

The Gram matrix of φ_U with respect to the canonical basis $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Hankel matrix (see [3]). If the principal submatrices of the Hankel matrix are nonsingular, then the linear functional U is said to be quasi-definite.

For a quasi-definite linear functional U there exists a sequence of monic polynomials $\{T_n\}$ such that ([3])

1. $\deg(T_n) = n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$
2. $\varphi_U(T_n, T_m) = k_n \delta_{nm}, \quad k_n \neq 0.$

This sequence of polynomials satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$xT_n(x) = T_{n+1}(x) + b_n T_n(x) + c_n T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions

$$T_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad T_0(x) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad c_n \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The linear functional is said to be positive definite if the principal submatrices of the associated Hankel matrix are positive definite. In such conditions, there exists a positive Borel measure μ supported in the real line such that the following integral representation for the linear functional U holds:

$$U(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) d\mu(x), \quad p \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (1.1)$$

A linear functional U is said to be symmetric if $U(x^{2n+1}) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$ In particular, if U is positive definite and symmetric, then the support of the measure μ in (1.1) is a symmetric set with respect to the origin in the real line and the measure μ is associated with an even function in $\mathbb{R}.$

If U is a quasi-definite linear functional and (T_n) denotes the corresponding sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials, then

$$T_{2n}(x) = S_n(x^2), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$T_{2n+1}(x) = xS_n^*(x^2), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here (S_n) and (S_n^*) are, respectively, sequences of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to two quasi-definite linear functionals V and V^* such that

$$\begin{aligned} V(x^n) &= U(x^{2n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ V^*(x^n) &= V(x^{n+1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

(see [3]).

Conversely, given a quasi-definite linear functional V such that $S_n(0) \neq 0$ for the corresponding sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials, the linear functional U satisfying

$$U(x^{2n}) = V(x^n), \quad U(x^{2n+1}) = 0$$

is said to be the symmetrized linear functional associated with U . Notice that in this situation the sequence (T_n) satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$xT_n(x) = T_{n+1}(x) + c_n T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions

$$T_0(x) = 1, \quad T_1(x) = x, \quad \text{and} \quad c_n \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As a very well known example of symmetrization process, the Hermite polynomials are the symmetrized of Laguerre polynomials with parameter $\alpha = -1/2$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}(x) &= L_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2) \\ H_{2n+1}(x) &= xL_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(x^2) \end{aligned}$$

In a recent work [1], the symmetrized linear functionals associated with semiclassical linear functionals are studied. A semiclassical linear functional U satisfies a distributional Pearson equation $D(\phi U) = \tau U$ where ϕ and τ are polynomials with $\deg(\tau) \geq 1$. They constitute an extension of classical linear functionals (Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi, and Bessel) and they have been extensively analyzed during the last two decades (see [4], [6]).

The aim of our contribution is to analyze the symmetrization process for a kind of inner products which have received some attention very recently, the so-called Sobolev inner products. Consider two positive definite linear functionals U_0 and U_1 in the linear space \mathbb{P} of the polynomials with real coefficients. We introduce a bilinear functional $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$

$$\langle p, q \rangle = U_0(pq) + U_1(p'q') \tag{1.2}$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$.

Using the Gram-Schmidt method for the canonical basis $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{P} , we obtain a sequence (Q_n) of monic polynomials with $\deg(Q_n) = n$ which are orthogonal with respect to the inner product (1.2).

Unfortunately, these polynomials do not satisfy recurrence relations as those associated with a linear functional. Nevertheless, under some assumptions for the linear functionals U_0 and U_1 it is possible to deduce some higher order recurrence relations (see [5]) for the polynomials Q_n .

The starting point of our contribution is to assume that U_0 and U_1 are symmetric positive definite linear functionals. Then, $Q_{2n}(x) = P_n(x^2)$ as well as $Q_{2n+1}(x) = xR_n(x^2)$. In section 3 we deduce the integral representation for the inner products such that (P_n) and (R_n) are, respectively, the corresponding sequences of monic orthogonal polynomials. Thus, non-diagonal Sobolev inner products appear in a natural way.

In section 4 we assume that $U = U_0 = U_1$ and U is a semiclassical linear functional. Then, algebraic relations between (P_n) and (R_n) are deduced as well as higher order recurrence relations for (P_n) and (R_n) . Finally, as an example, we show the application of our results and techniques for the so-called Freud-Sobolev orthogonal polynomials [2].

2 Semiclassical Orthogonal Polynomials. Symmetrization and class.

Consider a quasi-definite linear functional U in the linear space \mathbb{P} of polynomials with real coefficients and let $\{P_n\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to U .

U is said to be a *semiclassical linear functional* if

$$D(\phi U) = \tau U \tag{2.1}$$

where ϕ and τ are polynomials with $\deg(\phi) = t \geq 0$ and $\deg(\tau) = p \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1 [1] *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. U is a semiclassical linear functional.
2. The Stieltjes function $S_U(z) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{z^{n+1}}$ with $\mu_n = U(x^n)$ satisfies

$$\phi(z)S'_U(z) = C(z)S_U(z) + D(z) \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$C(z) = -\phi'(z) + \tau(z) \quad (2.3)$$

$$D(z) = -(U\theta_0\phi)'(z) + (U\theta_0\tau)(z) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$(U\theta_0p)(c) = \langle U, \theta_cp \rangle, \quad (U\theta_0p)'(c) = \langle U, \theta_c^2p \rangle$$

$$\theta_cp = \frac{p(z) - p(c)}{z - c}.$$

The condition of being semiclassical can also be characterized in terms of a weight function.

Proposition 2.2 [4] *Let U be a semiclassical linear functional with integral representation*

$$U(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p\omega(x)dx$$

where ω is a continuously differentiable function in an interval $[a, b]$ satisfying some extra boundary conditions and such that $D(\phi U) = \tau U$. Then

$$(\phi\omega)' = \tau\omega \quad (2.5)$$

and ω is said to be a semiclassical weight function.

Remark 2.3 *Observe that (2.1) holds for an infinite family of pairs of polynomials (ϕ, τ) . In particular, if (ϕ_1, τ_1) satisfies (2.1), $(\pi\phi_1, \pi\tau_1 + \pi'\phi_1)$ with π any polynomial, will also satisfy (2.1).*

Definition 2.1 [1] *Let (ϕ, τ) be the pair of polynomials with minimum degree that satisfy (2.1). Then, the class of U is defined as*

$$s = \max\{\deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\tau) - 1\}. \quad (2.6)$$

It is possible to characterize those pairs of polynomials (ϕ, τ) that define the class of a semiclassical functional.

Proposition 2.4 [1] *Let C and D be the polynomials defined in (2.3) and (2.4). Then, (ϕ, τ) is the pair of polynomials of minimum degree that satisfy (2.1) if and only if (ϕ, C, D) are coprime.*

Theorem 2.5 [1] *Let Ψ be a semiclassical linear functional of class s such that $D(\phi\Psi) = \tau\Psi$ and let U be its symmetrized. Then, U is also semiclassical of class \tilde{s} and*

1. $\tilde{s} = 2s$ if $\phi(0) = 0$, $[\phi(z) = zE(z)]$ and $2C(0) + E(0) = 0$,
 $[2C(z) + E(z) = zG(z)]$.
 Furthermore, $D(\tilde{\phi}U) = \tilde{\tau}U$ and

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = E(z^2) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}(z) = z[G(z^2) + 2E'(z^2)]. \quad (2.8)$$

2. $\tilde{s} = 2s + 1$ if $\phi(0) = 0$, $[\phi(z) = zE(z)]$ and $2C(0) + E(0) \neq 0$.
 Moreover

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = zE(z^2) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}(z) = 2[E(z^2) + z^2E'(z^2) + C(z^2)]. \quad (2.10)$$

3. $\tilde{s} = 2s + 3$ if $\phi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = z\phi(z^2) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}(z) = 2[\phi(z^2) + z^2\phi'(z^2) + z^2C(z^2)]. \quad (2.12)$$

Proposition 2.6 *Let \mathbf{U} be a symmetric and semiclassical linear functional of class \tilde{s} such that:*

$$D(\tilde{\phi}\mathbf{U}) = \tilde{\tau}\mathbf{U}.$$

If $\tilde{s} = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is an even polynomial. If $\tilde{s} = 2k + 1$, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.

Proof

1. Suppose that \mathbf{U} is the symmetrized of a linear functional \mathbf{L} of class s . Moreover, assume that \tilde{s} is even. Then, from Theorem 2.5 we get

$$\tilde{s} = 2s, \quad \tilde{s} = 2s + 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{s} = 2s + 3. \quad (2.13)$$

It is easy to prove that, if $\tilde{s} = 2k$, then, necessarily $s = k$. Then, \mathbf{L} is of class k and $D(\phi\mathbf{L}) = \tau\mathbf{L}$ for certain polynomials ϕ, τ , and from (2.7)

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = E(x^2)$$

i.e., $\tilde{\phi}$ is an even polynomial.

2. Suppose now that \tilde{s} is odd, namely, $\tilde{s} = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, because of (2.13) it may happen that $s = k$ or $s = k - 1$ and \mathbf{L} can be of class k or $k - 1$.

- If $s = k$, from (2.9) it holds that

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = xE(x^2).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.

- If $s = k - 1$, then from (2.11)

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = x\phi(x^2)$$

and $\tilde{\phi}$ is an odd polynomial.

Proposition 2.7 *Let \mathbf{U} be a symmetric, semiclassical linear functional of class \tilde{s} . Assume \mathbf{U} is the symmetrized of the semiclassical linear functional \mathbf{L} of class s . If $D(\tilde{\phi}U) = \tilde{\tau}U$, where $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are polynomials, then*

1. For \tilde{s} even, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an odd polynomial.
2. For \tilde{s} odd, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial.

Proof

1. If \tilde{s} is even, namely, $\tilde{s} = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $s = k$ (see proposition 2.6). Moreover, for (2.8)

$$\tilde{\tau}(x) = x[G(x^2) + 2E'(x^2)]$$

for certain polynomials $G(x)$ and $E(x)$. Thus $\tilde{\tau}$ is an odd polynomial.

2. If \tilde{s} is odd, namely, $\tilde{s} = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then one of the following statements holds

- $s = k$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x) = 2[E(x^2) + x^2E'(x^2) + C(x^2)]$ for certain polynomials $E(x)$ and $C(x)$. As a consequence, $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial.
- $s = k - 1$ and $\tilde{\tau}(x) = 2[\phi(x^2) + x^2\phi'(x^2) + x^2C(x^2)]$ for certain polynomials $\phi(x), C(x)$. Thus $\tilde{\tau}$ is an even polynomial. ■.

3 Symmetric Sobolev Inner products.

Consider two positive Borel measures μ_0, μ_1 supported on the real line such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu_i < \infty \quad i = 0, 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider an inner product in the linear space \mathbb{P} of polynomials with real coefficients

$$\langle p, q \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} pq d\mu_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} p'q' d\mu_1. \quad (3.1)$$

This product is said to be a *Sobolev inner product*.

Furthermore, assume that μ_0 and μ_1 are supported on a subset of the real line which is symmetric with respect to the origin as well as the corresponding sequences of moments

$$c_n^{(i)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n d\mu_i, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

satisfy $c_{2n+1}^{(i)} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Under these conditions, if we denote $\{Q_n\}$ the corresponding sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (3.1), then

$$Q_{2n}(x) = P_n(x^2), \quad Q_{2n+1}(x) = xR_n(x^2)$$

for certain sequences of monic polynomials $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$.

We are interested in the study of the orthogonality properties of the sequences $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$, respectively.

First, observe that for $n \neq m$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2m} \rangle_s &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x^2)P_m(x^2)d\mu_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 4x^2P'_n(x^2)P'_m(x^2)d\mu_1 = \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_n(x)P_m(x)d\hat{\mu}_0 + \int_0^\infty P'_n(x)P'_m(x)d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d\hat{\mu}_0 = x^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_0(x^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad d\hat{\mu}_1 = 4x^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_1(x^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

On the other hand,

$$0 \neq \langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2n} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} P_n^2(x)d\hat{\mu}_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [P'_n(x)]^2d\hat{\mu}_1.$$

This means that $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} pq d\hat{\mu}_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} p'q' d\hat{\mu}_1. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, if $n \neq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle Q_{2n+1}, Q_{2m+1} \rangle = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 R_n(x^2) R_m(x^2) d\mu_0 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [R_n(x^2) + 2x^2 R_n'(x^2)] [R_m(x^2) + 2x^2 R_m'(x^2)] d\mu_1 = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \begin{bmatrix} R_n(x^2) & R_n'(x^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^2 d\mu_0 + d\mu_1 & 2x^2 d\mu_1 \\ 2x^2 d\mu_1 & 4x^4 d\mu_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_m(x^2) \\ R_m'(x^2) \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \begin{bmatrix} R_n(x) & R_n'(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x d\hat{\mu}_0 + \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{4x} & \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} \\ \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} & x d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_m(x) \\ R_m'(x) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \neq \langle Q_{2n+1}, Q_{2n+1} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \begin{bmatrix} R_n(x) & R_n'(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x d\hat{\mu}_0 + \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{4x} & \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} \\ \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} & x d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_n(x) \\ R_n'(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means that $\{R_n\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the non-diagonal Sobolev inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \begin{bmatrix} p & p' \end{bmatrix} d\Omega_2 \begin{bmatrix} q \\ q' \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{where } d\Omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x d\hat{\mu}_0 + \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{4x} & \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} \\ \frac{d\hat{\mu}_1}{2} & x d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that $d\Omega_2$ is a matrix of measures related to the diagonal matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} d\hat{\mu}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the following way

$$d\Omega_2 = M d\Omega_1 M^t$$

$$\text{with } M = \begin{bmatrix} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & \frac{1}{2x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ 0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

namely,

$$d\Omega_2 = N \begin{bmatrix} x d\hat{\mu}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix} N^t$$

with $N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, or equivalently,

$$d\Omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x}d\hat{\mu}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x}d\hat{\mu}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & x \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we will analyze the particular case when $d\mu_0$ and $d\mu_1$ are equal and absolutely continuous measures. Moreover

- We will specify the orthogonality measures related to the sequences $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$.
- We will look for explicit algebraic relations between $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$.
- We will determine a recurrence relation that such sequences satisfy.

4 Symmetric Sobolev inner products with equal and absolutely continuous measures

The study of Sobolev inner products with respect to a measure was considered by F.Marcellán, T.E.Pérez, M.A.Piñar, and A.Ronveaux in [5]. Moreover, they took in consideration a semiclassical, positive definite linear functional U (2.1) to define the *Nth Sobolev inner product*

$$\langle p, q \rangle_s^{(N)} = U(pq) + \sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m U(p^{(m)}q^{(m)}), \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (4.1)$$

Denote by

$$\langle p, q \rangle = U(pq)$$

the standard inner product associated with U .

Considering $\{P_n\}$ the monic orthogonal polynomial sequence associated with the linear functional U and denoting $\{Q_n\}$ the MOPS with respect to the Sobolev inner product (4.1), they proved the following result:

Proposition 4.1 *For every nonnegative integer number $n \geq Ns$, we get*

$$\phi(x)^N P_n(x) = \sum_{i=n-t}^{n+Ns} \alpha_{n,i} Q_i(x) \quad (4.2)$$

where $s = \deg(\phi)$, $\alpha_{n,n-t} \neq 0$ and $t = \deg(F^{(N)}(x^n)) - n$. (Here $F^{(N)}$ denotes a differential operator introduced in [5]).

We will consider the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} pq\omega(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} p'q'\omega(x)dx \quad (4.3)$$

where $\omega(x)$ is an even weight function supported on an interval of the real line symmetric with respect to the origin. In this case, the corresponding odd moments satisfy

$$\mu_{2n+1} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, suppose that $\omega(x)$ is a semiclassical weight, i.e.,

$$(\phi\omega)' = \tau\omega \quad (4.4)$$

where ϕ, τ are the polynomials of minimum degree that satisfy (4.4) with $\deg(\phi) = s' \geq 0$ and $\deg(\tau) = t > 0$.

Let $\{Q_n\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the inner product (4.3). Then,

$$Q_{2n}(x) = P_n(x^2), \quad Q_{2n+1}(x) = xR_n(x^2) \quad (4.5)$$

for certain sequences of monic polynomials $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$.

Consider the standard inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} pq\omega(x)dx \quad (4.6)$$

and let $\{T_n\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.6). Then

Proposition 4.2

$$\phi(x)T_n(x) = \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+s'} \alpha_{nj}Q_j(x) \quad (4.7)$$

with $\alpha_{n,n-s} \neq 0$, where $s = \max\{\tilde{s}, s'\}$ and \tilde{s} is the class of the semiclassical linear functional defined by ω .

Proof Let consider the Fourier expansion of ϕT_n in terms of $\{Q_n\}$

$$\phi(x)T_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+s'} \alpha_{nj}Q_j(x)$$

Here $\alpha_{nj} = \frac{\langle \phi T_n, Q_j \rangle_s}{\|Q_j\|_s^2}$. But

$$\langle \phi T_n, Q_j \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_n Q_j \omega(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' T_n Q_j' \omega(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_n' Q_j' \omega(x) dx.$$

Applying integration by parts to the third integral we get

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_n Q_j \omega(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' T_n Q_j' \omega(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_n (\phi Q_j')' dx.$$

Since ω is a semiclassical weight, we obtain

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi T_n (Q_j - Q_j') \omega(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} T_n Q_j' (\tau - \phi') \omega(x) dx.$$

The first integral will vanish if $j < n - s'$, and the second one will vanish if $j < n - \tilde{s}$. Then $\langle \phi T_n, Q_j \rangle_s = 0$ if $j < n - \max\{s', \tilde{s}\}$. ■

Observe that $\phi(x)$ in (4.7) can be chosen in such a way that $\alpha_{n, n+s'} = 1$.

4.1 Orthogonality Measures for $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$

Taking into account that $\{Q_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product (4.3), for $n \neq m$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2m} \rangle_s &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x^2) P_m(x^2) \omega(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} 4x^2 P_n'(x^2) P_m'(x^2) \omega(x) dx = \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_n(t) P_m(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) dt + \int_0^\infty 4P_n'(t) P_m'(t) t^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) dt, \end{aligned}$$

then $\{P_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4t \end{bmatrix} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) dt.$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq m$

$$0 = \langle Q_{2n+1}, Q_{2m+1} \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 R_n(x^2) R_m(x^2) \omega(x) dx +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [R_n(x^2) + 2x^2 R'_n(x^2)][R_m(x^2) + 2x^2 R'_m(x^2)]\omega(x)dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2 + 1)R_n(x^2)R_m(x^2)\omega(x)dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} [R_n(x^2)R_m(x^2)]'x^2\omega(x)dx + \\
& \quad + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} R'_n(x^2)R'_m(x^2)x^4\omega(x)dx .
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable $t = x^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty (t + 1)R_n(t)R_m(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt + 2 \int_0^\infty [R_n(t)R_m(t)]'t^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt + \\
& \quad + 4 \int_0^\infty R'_n(t)R'_m(t)t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt . \quad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{R_n\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1+t & 2t \\ 2t & 4t^2 \end{bmatrix} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t)dt .$$

The support of both measures, $d\Omega_1, d\Omega_2$ is contained in \mathbb{R}^+ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1(t) &= t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
\pi_2(t) &= t^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Omega_1 &= \pi_1(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t)dt \\
d\Omega_2 &= \pi_2(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t)dt .
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the calculations done in (4.8) and applying integration by parts to the second integral

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_0^\infty tR_nR_mt^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt - \int_0^\infty R_nR_m\omega'(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt + \\
& \quad + 4 \int_0^\infty R'_n(t)R'_m(t)t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt .
\end{aligned}$$

If ω satisfy $\omega' = \tau\omega$, (Freud weights), then

$$0 = \int_0^\infty [t - \tau(t^{\frac{1}{2}})t^{\frac{1}{2}}]R_n R_m t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt + \\ + 4 \int_0^\infty R'_n R'_m t^{\frac{3}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt .$$

In such a case, $\{R_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to a diagonal Sobolev inner product with matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} t - \tau(t^{\frac{1}{2}})t^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 4t^2 \end{bmatrix} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega(t^{\frac{1}{2}})dt .$$

If $\omega' = \tau\omega$, then the semiclassical functional defined by $\omega(t)$ is of even class. Thus, from Proposition 2.7, $\tau(x)$ is an odd polynomial and so $\tau(t^{\frac{1}{2}})t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a polynomial in t .

4.2 Explicit Algebraic Relations between $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$

The sequence $\{T_n\}$, which is orthogonal with respect to the inner product(4.6), satisfies a three-term recurrence relation

$$xT_n(x) = T_{n+1}(x) + c_n T_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.9)$$

$$T_{-1}(x) \equiv 0, \quad T_0(x) \equiv 1, \quad c_n > 0 .$$

Furthermore,

$$T_{2n}(x) = S_n(x^2), \quad T_{2n+1}(x) = xS_n^*(x^2) \quad (4.10)$$

for a certain sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\{S_n\}$, where $\{S_n^*\}$ is the sequence of kernel polynomials $\{K_n(x; 0)\}$ associated with the sequence $\{S_n\}$ [3].

Taking into account (4.7) for $n = 2m$ we get

$$\phi(x)T_{2m}(x) = Q_{2m+s'}(x) + \alpha_{2m,2m+s'-1}Q_{2m+s'-1}(x) + \dots + \alpha_{2m,2m-s}Q_{2m-s}(x) \quad (4.11)$$

- Suppose that \tilde{s} is even, then, s' and s are even, i.e., $s = 2k$ and $s' = 2k'$ with $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, because of Proposition 2.6, ϕ is an even polynomial. Taking into account this result,(4.11) may be simplified

$$\phi(x)T_{2m}(x) = Q_{2m+2k'}(x) + \alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-2}Q_{2m+2k'-2}(x) + \dots$$

$$\cdots + \alpha_{2m,2m-2k} Q_{2m-2k}(x).$$

From (4.5) and (4.10) we get

$$\phi(x)S_m(x^2) = P_{m+k'}(x^2) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j} P_j(x^2).$$

Since ϕ is an even polynomial, $\phi(x) = \tilde{\phi}(x^2)$ for a certain polynomial $\tilde{\phi}$ and then

$$\tilde{\phi}(x)S_m(x) = P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j} P_j(x). \quad (4.12)$$

For $n = 2m + 1$ (4.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x)T_{2m+1}(x) &= Q_{2m+2k'+1}(x) + \alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'-1} Q_{2m+2k'-1}(x) + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + \alpha_{2m+1,2m+1-2k} Q_{2m-2k+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Because of (4.5) and (4.10)

$$\phi(x)xS_m^*(x^2) = xR_{m+k'}(x^2) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2j+1} xR_j(x^2).$$

Then,

$$\tilde{\phi}(x)S_m^*(x) = R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2j+1} R_j(x). \quad (4.13)$$

Taking into account the recurrence relation (4.9) for $n = 2m$, it holds

$$xT_{2m}(x) = T_{2m+1}(x) + c_{2m}T_{2m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Because of (4.10)

$$S_m(x) = S_m^*(x) + c_{2m}S_{m-1}^*(x). \quad (4.14)$$

Multiplying both hand sides of (4.14) by $\tilde{\phi}$ and applying (4.12) and (4.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j} P_j(x) &= R_{m+k'}(x) + [\alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'-1} + c_{2m}] R_{m+k'-1}(x) + \\
&+ \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-2} [\alpha_{2m+1,2j+1} + c_{2m} \alpha_{2m-1,2j+1}] R_j(x) + \dots \\
&\dots + c_{2m} \alpha_{2m-1,2m-2k-1} R_{m-k-1}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

- Assume now that \tilde{s} is odd. Let $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $s = 2k + 1$ and $s' = 2k' + 1$. Furthermore, ϕ is an odd polynomial from Proposition 2.7 and, as a consequence, (4.11) may be simplified to get
$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) T_{2m}(x) &= Q_{2m+2k'+1}(x) + \alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-1} Q_{2m+2k'-1}(x) + \dots \\
&\dots + \alpha_{2m,2m-2k-1} Q_{2m-2k-1}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (4.5) and (4.10)

$$\phi(x) S_m(x^2) = x R_{m+k'}(x^2) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} x R_j(x^2).$$

Since ϕ is an odd polynomial, $\phi(x) = x \hat{\phi}(x^2)$, then

$$\hat{\phi}(x) S_m(x) = R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} R_j(x). \tag{4.16}$$

Writing (4.7) for $n = 2m + 1$,

$$\phi(x) T_{2m+1}(x) = Q_{2m+2k'+2}(x) + \alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'} Q_{2m+2k'}(x) + \dots + \alpha_{2m,2m-2k} Q_{2m-2k}(x).$$

Because of (4.5) and (4.10)

$$\phi(x) x S_n^*(x^2) = P_{m+k'+1}(x^2) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+1,2j} P_j(x^2).$$

Then we get

$$x \hat{\phi}(x) S_n^*(x) = P_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+1,2j} P_j(x). \tag{4.17}$$

On the other hand, from

$$xT_{2n+1}(x) = T_{2n+2}(x) + c_{2n+1}T_{2n}(x), n \geq 0$$

we get

$$xS_n^*(x) = S_{n+1}(x) + c_{2n+1}S_n(x). \quad (4.18)$$

Multiplying both sides by $\hat{\phi}$ and applying (4.16) and (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+1,2j} P_j(x) &= R_{m+k'+1}(x) + [\alpha_{2m+2,2m+2k'+1} + \\ c_{2m+1}] R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-1} [\alpha_{2m+2,2j+1} + c_{2m+1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1}] R_j(x) &+ \dots \\ \dots + \alpha_{2m,2m-2k-1} c_{2m+1} R_{m-k-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

4.3 Recurrence Relations

If the linear functional associated with the weight function $\omega(x)$ is of class \tilde{s} and \tilde{s} is even, (4.15) holds as well as (4.18). Multiplying both hand sides of (4.18) by $\tilde{\phi}$ and considering (4.13) and (4.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} x[R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} R_i(x)] &= [P_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+2,2i} P_i(x)] + \\ \dots + c_{2m+1} [P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2i} P_i(x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Substituting (4.15) in (4.20) in a convenient way

$$\begin{aligned} x[R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} R_i(x)] &= R_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+3,2i+1} R_i(x) + \\ + c_{2m+2} [R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} R_i(x)] &+ c_{2m+1} [R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} R_i(x) + \\ + c_{2m} (R_{m+k'-1}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k-1}^{m+k'-2} \alpha_{2m-1,2i+1} R_i(x))] &. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get the following $(k + k' + 2)$ -term recurrence relation for $\{R_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{m+k'+1}(x) &= (x - \alpha_{2m+3,2m+2k'+1} - c_{2m+2} - c_{2m+1})R_{m+k'}(x) + \\
&+ [x\alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'-1} - \alpha_{2m+3,2m+2k'-1} - (c_{2m+2} + c_{2m+1})\alpha_{2m+1,2m+k'-1} - \\
&- c_{2m+1}c_{2m}]R_{m+k'-1}(x) + \sum_{m-k+1}^{m+k'-2} [x\alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} - \alpha_{2m+3,2i+1} - \\
&- (c_{2m+2} + c_{2m+1})\alpha_{2m+1,2i+1} - c_{2m+1}c_{2m}\alpha_{2m-1,2i+1}]R_i(x) + [x\alpha_{2m+1,2m-2k+1} - \\
&- (c_{2m+2} + c_{2m+1})\alpha_{2m+1,2m-2k+1} - c_{2m+1}c_{2m}\alpha_{2m-1,2m-2k-1}]R_{m-k}(x) + \\
&+ c_{2m}c_{2m+1}\alpha_{2m-1,2m-2k-1}R_{m-k-1}(x). \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.15) by x and replacing (4.20) in (4.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
x[P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2i}P_i(x)] &= P_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+2,2i}P_i(x) + \\
+ c_{2m+1}[P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2i}P_i(x)] &+ c_{2m}[P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2i}P_i(x) + \\
+ c_{2m-1}(P_{m+k'-1}(x) + \sum_{i=m-k-1}^{m+k'-2} \alpha_{2m-2,2i}P_i(x))] &.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus a $(k + k' + 2)$ -term recurrence relation for $\{P_n\}$ follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{m+k'+1}(x) &= [x - \alpha_{2m,2m+2k'} - c_{2m+1} - c_{2m}]P_{m+k'}(x) + \\
&+ [x\alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-2} - \alpha_{2m+2,2m+2k'-2} - (c_{2m-1} + c_{2m})\alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-2} - c_{2m}c_{2m-1}]P_{m+k'-1}(x) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=m-k+1}^{m-k'-2} [x\alpha_{2m,2i} - \alpha_{2m+2,2i} - (c_{2m+1} + c_{2m})\alpha_{2m,2i} - c_{2m}c_{2m-1}\alpha_{2m-2,2i}]P_i(x) + \\
&+ [x\alpha_{2m,2m-2k} - c_{2m+1}\alpha_{2m,2m-2k} - c_{2m}\alpha_{2m,2m-2k} - c_{2m}c_{2m-1}\alpha_{2m-2,2m-2k}]P_{m-k}(x) + \\
&c_{2m}c_{2m-1}\alpha_{2m-2,2m-2k-2}P_{m-k-1}(x). \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it has also been proved that if \tilde{s} is odd and $s = 2k + 1$, $s' = 2k' + 1$, then (4.19) holds. Let also remember (4.14). Multiplying both hand sides by $\hat{\phi}$ and substituting (4.16) and (4.17) there, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x[R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} R_j(x)] &= P_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+1,2j} P_j(x) + \\
&+ c_{2m} [P_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m-1,2j} P_j(x)]. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing (4.19) in (4.23)

$$\begin{aligned}
x[R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} R_j(x)] &= [R_{m+k'+1}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'} \alpha_{2m+2,2j+1} R_j(x)] + \\
+c_{2m+1} [R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} R_j(x)] &+ c_{2m} [R_{m+k'}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-1}^{m+k'-1} \alpha_{2m,2j+1} R_j(x)] \\
+c_{2m-1} [R_{m+k'-1}(x) + \sum_{j=m-k-2}^{m-k'-2} \alpha_{2m-2,2j+1} R_j(x)]. &
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{m+k'+1}(x) &= [x - \alpha_{2m+2,2m+2k'+1} - c_{2m+1} - c_{2m}] R_{m+k'}(x) + [x \alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-1} - \\
-\alpha_{2m+2,2m+2k'-1} - (c_{2m+1} + c_{2m}) \alpha_{2m,2m+2k'-1} - c_{2m} c_{2m-1}] R_{m+k'-1}(x) &+ \\
+ \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-2} [x \alpha_{2m,2j+1} - \alpha_{2m+2,2j+1} - (c_{2m+1} + c_{2m}) \alpha_{2m,2j+1} - & \\
-c_{2m} c_{2m-1} \alpha_{2m-2,2j+1}] R_j(x) + [x \alpha_{2m,2m-2k-1} - (c_{2m+1} + c_{2m}) \alpha_{2m,2m-2k-1} - & \\
-c_{2m} c_{2m-1} \alpha_{2m-2,2m-2k-1}] R_{m-k-1}(x) - c_{2m} c_{2m-1} \alpha_{2m-2,2m-2k-3} R_{m-k-2}(x) & \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both hand sides of (4.19) by x and substituting (4.23) in the resulting expression, a $(k + k' + 3)$ -term recurrence relation for $\{P_n\}$ is obtained.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{m+k'+2}(x) &= [x - \alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'} - c_{2m} - c_{2m-1}] P_{m+k'}(x) + [x \alpha_{2m-1,2m+2k'-2} - \\
-\alpha_{2m+1,2m+2k'-2} - (c_{2m} + c_{2m-1}) \alpha_{2m-1,2m+2k'-2} - c_{2m-1} c_{2m-2}] P_{m+k'-1}(x) &+ \\
+ \sum_{j=m-k}^{m+k'-2} [x \alpha_{2m-1,2j} - \alpha_{2m+1,2j} - (c_{2m} + c_{2m+1}) \alpha_{2m-1,2j} - & \\
-c_{2m-1} c_{2m-2} \alpha_{2m-3,2j}] P_j(x) + [x \alpha_{2m-1,2m-2k-2} - (c_{2m} + c_{2m-1}) \alpha_{2m-1,2m-2k-2} - & \\
-c_{2m-1} c_{2m-2} \alpha_{2m-3,2m-2k-2}] P_{m-k-1}(x) - c_{2m-1} c_{2m-2} \alpha_{2m-3,2m-2k-4} P_{m-k-2}(x). & \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

4.3.1 Recurrence Relation for $\{Q_n\}$

Let start from (4.7). Multiplying both hand sides of (4.7) by x and using the three-term recurrence relation for $\{T_n\}$,

$$\phi(x)(T_{n+1}(x) + c_n T_{n-1}(x)) = \sum_{j=n-s}^{n+s'} x \alpha_{nj} Q_j(x), \tag{4.26}$$

thus, the substitution of (4.7) in (4.26) yields a recurrence relation for $\{Q_n\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+s'+1}(x) &= [x - \alpha_{n+1, n+s'}]Q_{n+s'}(x) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=n-s+1}^{n+s'-1} [x\alpha_{nj} - \alpha_{n+1, j} - c_n\alpha_{n-1, j}]Q_j(x) + \\ &+ [x\alpha_{n, n-s} - c_n\alpha_{n-1, n-s}]Q_{n-s}(x) - c_n\alpha_{n-1, n-s-1}Q_{n-s-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

4.4 Application: Freud-Sobolev Polynomials

A particular example of the Sobolev inner product given in (4.3) is

$$\langle p, q \rangle_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}} pqe^{-x^4} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} p'q'e^{-x^4} dx \quad (4.28)$$

This kind of inner product has been introduced in [2]. Let $\{Q_n\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.28). These polynomials are called *Freud-Sobolev Polynomials*.

Obviously (4.28) is a symmetric inner product, hence $\{Q_n\}$ satisfy (4.5).

4.4.1 Orthogonality Measures associated with $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$ in the Freud-Sobolev Case.

Taking into account that $\{Q_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product (4.28), for $n \neq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2m} \rangle_s = \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_n P_m t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt + \int_0^\infty P_n' P_m' 4t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thereupon, $\{P_n\}$ is orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product given by the matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4t \end{bmatrix} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt. \quad (4.29)$$

On the other hand, for $n \neq m$

$$0 = \langle Q_{2m+1}, Q_{2n+1} \rangle_s =$$

$$= \int_0^\infty R_n R_m (t + 4t^2) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt + 4 \int_0^\infty R'_n R'_m t^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt. \quad (4.30)$$

Hence, $\{R_n\}$ is a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the diagonal Sobolev inner product given by the matrix of measures

$$d\Omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 4t & 0 \\ 0 & 4t \end{bmatrix} t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt. \quad (4.31)$$

Moreover, the support of the measures $d\Omega_1$ and $d\Omega_2$ is \mathbb{R}^+ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(t) &= t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \pi_2(t) &= t^2 \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega_1 &= \pi_1(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt, \\ d\Omega_2 &= \pi_2(t) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next we give an explicit relation between the sequences $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$. Consider the standard inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p q e^{-x^4} dx \quad (4.32)$$

and let $\{T_n\}$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to (4.32), namely, the sequence of the so-called *Freud Polynomials*. Then, it can be proved (see [2]) that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x) &= Q_n(x) + a_n Q_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 3, \\ T_0(x) &= Q_0(x), \quad T_1(x) = Q_1(x), \quad T_2(x) = Q_2(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= 4n \frac{\|T_{n+2}\|^2}{\|Q_n\|_s^2}, \quad n \geq 1, \\ a_0 &= a_{-1} = a_{-2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$T_{2n}(x) = S_n(x^2), \quad T_{2n+1}(x) = x S_n^*(x^2), \quad (4.34)$$

for a certain sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\{S_n\}$ where S_n^* denotes the n th kernel polynomial $K_n(x; 0)$ normalized to be monic, associated with the sequence $\{S_n\}$.

Overwriting (4.33) for $n = 2m$ and for $n = 2m + 1$, respectively,

$$S_m(x) = P_m(x) + a_{2m}P_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 2, \quad (4.35)$$

and $S_0(x) = P_0(x)$, $S_1(x) = P_1(x)$.

$$S_m^*(x) = R_m(x) + a_{2m+1}R_{m-1}(x), \quad m \geq 1, \quad (4.36)$$

and $S_0^*(x) = R_0(x)$.

On the other hand, the sequence $\{T_n\}$ satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} xT_n(x) &= T_{n+1}(x) + c_nT_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \\ T_0(x) &= 1, \quad T_1(x) = x \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

where

$$n = 4c_n(c_{n+1} + c_n + c_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 1,$$

with initial conditions $c_0 = 0$, $c_1 = \frac{\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(1/4)}$.

For $n = 2m + 1$, the expression (4.37) yields

$$xS_m^*(x) = S_{m+1}(x) + c_{2m+1}S_m(x).$$

Taking into account (4.35) and (4.36), for $m \geq 2$ we get

$$x[R_m(x) + a_{2m+1}R_{m-1}(x)] = P_{m+1}(x) + (a_{2m+2} + c_{2m+1})P_m(x) + a_{2m}c_{2m+1}P_{m-1}(x), \quad (4.38)$$

Repeating the above procedure for $n = 2m$, $m \geq 2$

$$P_m(x) + a_{2m}P_{m-1}(x) = R_m(x) + (a_{2m+1} + c_{2m})R_{m-1}(x) + c_{2m}a_{2m-1}R_{m-2}(x), \quad (4.39)$$

4.4.2 Recurrence Relations in Freud-Sobolev Case

Consider again (4.38) and (4.39). Substituting (4.39) in (4.38), we get

$$\begin{aligned} xR_m(x) &= R_{m+1}(x) + [a_{2m+3} + c_{2m+1} + c_{2m+2}]R_m(x) + [c_{2m}c_{2m+1} \\ &\quad + a_{2m+1}(c_{2m+1} + c_{2m+2} - x)]R_{m-1}(x) + a_{2m-1}c_{2m}c_{2m+1}R_{m-2}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

for $m \geq 1$ with fixed initial conditions.

Multiplying (4.39) by x

$$x[P_m(x) + a_{2m}P_{m-1}(x)] = x[R_m(x) + a_{2m+1}R_{m-1}(x)] + xc_{2m}[R_{m-1}(x) + a_{2m-1}R_{m-2}(x)].$$

Because of (4.38),

$$xP_m(x) = P_{m+1}(x) + (a_{2m+2} + c_{2m} + c_{2m+1})P_m(x) + [c_{2m-1}c_{2m} + a_{2m}(c_{2m} + c_{2m+1} - x)]P_{m-1}(x) + c_{2m-1}c_{2m}a_{2m-2}P_{m-2}(x).$$

As a conclusion, the sequences $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$ satisfy the following recurrence relations

$$P_{m+1}(x) = [x - (a_{2m+2} + c_{2m} + c_{2m+1})]P_m(x) + [a_{2m}(x - c_{2m} - c_{2m+1}) - c_{2m-1}c_{2m}]P_{m-1}(x) - c_{2m-1}c_{2m}a_{2m-2}P_{m-2}(x), \quad (4.41)$$

$$R_{m+1}(x) = [x - (a_{2m+3} + c_{2m+1} + c_{2m+2})]R_m(x) + [a_{2m+1}(x - c_{2m+1} - c_{2m+2}) - c_{2m}c_{2m+1}]R_{m-1}(x) - c_{2m}c_{2m+1}a_{2m-2}R_{m-2}(x). \quad (4.42)$$

We can summarize our main results

- We have identified $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$ as sequences of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a diagonal Sobolev inner product.
- We have proved two algebraic relations between $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$.
- We have proved that $\{P_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$ satisfy four-term recurrence relations.

Finally, observe that multiplying (4.33) by x and applying the recurrence relation (4.37)

$$T_{n+1}(x) + c_n T_{n-1}(x) = xQ_n(x) + a_n x Q_{n-2}(x).$$

Replacing (4.33) in the previous equation

$$Q_{n+1}(x) + a_{n+1}Q_{n-1}(x) + c_n[Q_{n-1}(x) + a_{n-1}Q_{n-3}(x)] = xQ_n(x) + a_n x Q_{n-2}(x).$$

Then, $\{Q_n\}$ satisfy the following five-term recurrence relation

$$Q_{n+1}(x) = xQ_n(x) - (a_{n+1} + c_n)Q_{n-1}(x) + xa_nQ_{n-2}(x) - c_na_{n-1}Q_{n-3}(x). \quad (4.43)$$

Acknowledgements

The work of the second author was partially supported by Dirección General de Investigación (Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología) of Spain under grant BFM 2000-0206-C04-01 and INTAS project INTAS 2000-272.

References

- [1] J.Arvesú, J.Atia and F.Marcellán, *On Semiclassical Linear Functionals: The Symmetric Companion*, Comm. Anal. Theory of Continued Fractions 10 (2002)13-29.
- [2] A.Cachafeiro, F.Marcellán and J.J.Moreno-Balcázar, *On Asymptotic properties of Freud-Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials*, 2001. Submitted.
- [3] T.S.Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [4] E.Hendriksen, H.van Rossum *Semiclassical Orthogonal Polynomials*. In Polynômes Orthogonaux et Applications, C. Brezinski et al Editors. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1171. Springer Verlag. Berlin (1985) 354-361.
- [5] F.Marcellán, T.E.Pérez, M.A.Piñar, and A.Ronveaux, *General Sobolev orthogonal polynomials*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 200 (1996)614-634.
- [6] P.Maroni, *Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Appl. aux polynômes orthogonaux semiclassiques*. In Orthogonal polynomials and their applications, C. Brezinski et al Editors. IMACS Annals on Computing and Applications Math. 9 (1991) 95-130.