VIRTUALLY SPECIAL EMBEDDINGS OF INTEGRAL LORENTZIAN LATTICES

MICHELLE CHU

ABSTRACT. The automorphism groups of integral Lorentzian lattices act by isometries on hyperbolic space with finite covolume. In the case of reflective integral lattices, the automorphism groups are commensurable to arithmetic hyperbolic reflection groups. However, for a fixed dimension, there is only finitely many reflective integral Lorentzian lattices, and these can only occur in small dimensions. The goal of this note is to construct embeddings of low-dimensional integral Lorentzian lattices into unimodular Lorentzian lattices associated to right-angled reflection groups. As an application, we construct many discrete groups of $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for small n which are C-special in the sense of Haglund-Wise.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a finite volume polyhedron P in hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n , let Γ be the group generated by the reflections on the sides of P. If the action of Γ tiles \mathbb{H}^n without interiors of copies of P overlapping, we say that Γ is a hyperbolic reflection group and its fundamental polyhedron P is a hyperbolic Coxeter polyhedron. The quotient \mathbb{H}^n/Γ is a finite-volume hyperbolic orbifold.

The theory of hyperbolic reflection groups provides many examples of finite volume hyperbolic orbifolds. However, in higher dimensions, these cease to exist [Vin84, Kho86, Pro86]. Another way to construct finite volume hyperbolic orbifolds in any dimension is as quotients of H^n by the automorphism groups $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ of Lorentzian lattices L. These automorphism groups are examples of arithmetic groups of simplest type in $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. If the subgroup generated by reflections has finite index in $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$, we say the lattice L is reflective. Such a subgroup is an arithmetic hyperbolic reflection group.

In this note we construct embeddings of lattices into unimodular lattices of higher dimension. The Lorentzian unimodular lattices $I_{n,1}$ are reflectie for $2 \leq n \leq 19$ [Vin72, KV78]. Furthermore, for $2 \leq n \leq 8$, these are associated to reflection groups of hyperbolic right-angled polyhedra, which are geometric right-angled Coxeter groups [PV05]. Right-angled Coxeter groups, or RACGs, are particularly interesting because they have many nice properties which are inherited by their subgroups. For example, virtually embedding hyperbolic 3-manifold groups into RACGs has determined the virtual Haken and the virtual fibering conjectures for all finite volume

MICHELLE CHU

hyperbolic 3-manifolds as well as LERFness of their fundamental groups [Ago13, Wis11]. In the sense of [HW08] we say a group is C-special if it embeds as a quasi-convex subgroup of a RACG.

We apply the lattice embeddings together with the explicit relationship between the unimodular lattices $I_{n,1}$ and RACGs given by [ERT12] to construct many examples of C-special hyperbolic manifold groups in dimension 3 and 4. The following theorem extends and improves the results in [Chu17] (see also [Chu18]) and [DMP18, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be an integral arithmetic group of simplest type in $O^+(3,1)$ or $O^+(4,1)$. Then $\Gamma_{(2)}$, the principal congruence subgroup of level 2, is compact C-special.

For fixed dimensions, the indices of the principal congruence subgroups of level 2 contained in integral arithmetic group of simplest type are uniformly bounded. Theorem 1.1 extends [Chu17, Theorem 1.2, Proposition 5.3][Chu18, Theorem 3.1, Proposition 4.3, Proposition 5.3] and also improves on the bounds for the value of D found in [DMP18, Proposition 2.6] by removing the dependence on the discriminant. This gives a uniform bound for D which is independent of anything to get a strengthening of [DMP18, Theorem 2.2]. Prior to these results, Bergeron-Haglund-Wise showed that given an arithmetic group of simplest type in $O^+(n, 1)$, there exist some msuch that the congruence subgroup of level m is special [BHW11], but Theorem 1.1 shows that m = 2 is enough for the cases included in Theorem 1.1.

This note is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give the necessary preliminary background in integral lattices, their automorphism groups, and arithmetic groups of simplest type. In Section 3, inspired by the lattice gluings in [All18], we construct embeddings of integral lattices into unimodular lattices. Finally, in Section 4 we use these embeddings to prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Daniel Allcock for introducing her to lattice gluing and encouraging this work.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Integral lattices. A lattice L is a Z-module equipped with a Q-valued non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on the vector space $V = L \otimes_Z \mathbb{R}$, called the *inner product*. L is called Lorentzian if its inner product has signature $+^n - 1$. The norm of a vector v is its inner product with itself (v, v). If the inner product of every pair of vectors in L is Z-valued, L is called *integral*. In what follows, let L be an integral lattice unless otherwise noted.

The dual of L is the lattice $L^* = \{v \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} : (v, L) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Notice that L is integral if and only if $L \subset L^*$. We define the *discriminant group* as $\Delta(L) = L^*/L$, a finite abelian group. We will refer to the minimal number of generators of $\Delta(L)$ as rank $(\Delta(L))$.

 $\mathbf{2}$

The determinant of L, or det L is the determinant of an inner product matrix A_L , with respect to some \mathbb{Z} -basis of L. It is independent of the choice of \mathbb{Z} -basis and in fact $|\det L| = |\Delta(L)|$. If L' is a sublattice of L of index dthen det $L' = d^2 \cdot \det L$.

The \mathbb{Z} -valued inner product on L extends to a \mathbb{Q} -valued inner product on L^* and descends to a \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued inner product on $\Delta(L)$.

An integral lattice L is called *strongly-square-free*, denoted by SSF, if the rank of $\Delta(L)$ is at most $\frac{1}{2} \dim(L)$ and every invariant factor of $\Delta(L)$ is square-free. In other words, $\Delta(L)$ is a direct product of at most $\frac{1}{2} \dim(L)$ -many finite cyclic subgroups, each of square-free order. An integral lattice is called *unimodular* whenever $\Delta(L)$ is trivial.

Lattices may also be defined more generally over totally real number fields.

2.2. Automorphisms and arithmetic groups. Let k be a totally real number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_k and let f be a quadratic form of signature $+^{n}-^{1}$ defined over k such that for every non-identity embedding $\sigma : k \to \mathbb{R}$, the form f^{σ} is positive definite. Let $O(f;\mathbb{R})$ denote the orthogonal group that preserves f and $O^+(f;\mathbb{R})$ its index-two subgroup which preserves the positive sheet of the hyperboloid. Then the group $\Gamma = O^+(f;\mathcal{O}_k) = O^+(f;\mathbb{R}) \cap \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ is a finite-covolume discrete subgroup of $O^+(n,1)$ which is the full group of isometries of hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . The field k is called the *field of definition* for Γ . Any discrete subgroup of O(n, 1) which is commensurable to some such $O^+(f;\mathcal{O}_k)$ is called *arithmetic of simplest type*.

If L is an integral Lorentzian lattice, then its *automorphism group* is the group

$$\operatorname{Aut}(L) = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) | Lg = L \text{ and } f_L(xg, yg) = f_L(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in L\}$$
$$= \{g \in \operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) | gA_L g^{tr} = A_L\}$$
$$= \operatorname{O}(f_L; \mathbb{Z}).$$

This group is by definition an arithmetic subgroup of $O(n, 1; \mathbb{R})$ of simplest type, with field of definition \mathbb{Q} .

If n-1 is not divisible by 8, there is, up to isomorphism, a unique unimodular Lorentzian lattice of signature $+^{n}-^{1}$ denoted $I_{n,1}$. Let q_n be the standard Lorentzian quadratic form

(2.1)
$$q_n := -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2.$$

The unimodular lattice $I_{n,1}$ has automorphism group $Aut(I_{n,1}) = O(q_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and is reflective for $n \leq 19$ [Vin72, KV78].

2.3. Invariants and existence of integral lattices. This section assumes familiarity with Conway-Sloane *p*-adic symbols [CS99, Chapter 15].

Over the *p*-adic integers, a form f associated to a p - adic lattice L_p can be decomposed as a direct sum

(2.2)
$$f = f_1 \oplus p f_p \oplus p^2 f_{p^2} \oplus \dots \oplus q f_q \oplus \dots$$

MICHELLE CHU

where q is a p-power and f_q is a p-adic integral form with determinant prime to p.

For p odd, the p-adic symbol of f is the formal product of factors $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ with

$$\epsilon_q = \left(\frac{\det f_q}{p}\right)$$
 and $n_q = \dim f_q$

where $\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right)$ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

For p = 2, the 2-adic symbol of f is the formal product of factors $q_{t_q}^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ or $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ where the former indicates f_q is of type I and the later indicates f_q is of type II and with

$$\epsilon_q = \left(\frac{\det f_q}{2}\right) \ , \ n_q = \dim f_q \ \text{and} \ t_q = \text{oddity}(f_q)$$

where the Kronecker symbol $\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$ is +1 if $a \equiv \pm 1 \mod 8$ or -1 if $a \equiv \pm 3 \mod 8$.

Unfortunately, the 2-adic symbol is not unique, since a 2-adic form can have essentially different Jordan decompositions. However, Conway-Sloane define an abreviated 2-adic symbol using compartments and trains. Two abreviated 2-adic symbols represent the same form if and only they are related by sign walking (see [CS99, Chapter 15, §7.5]).

By [CS99, Theorem 11, Chapter 15], there exist an integral lattice L of determinant d have a specified local forms L_p and signature $+^r - ^s$ if and only if the determinant condition, the oddity formula, and the Jordan constituent conditions displayed below hold.

1. The determinant condition: for each p, the ϵ_q from the p-adic symbol satisfy

(2.3)
$$\prod \epsilon_q = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$$

where $\det(L) = p^{\alpha}a$.

2. The oddity formula:

(2.4) signature(L) +
$$\sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(L_p) \equiv \text{oddity}(K_2) \mod 8$$

where

$$\operatorname{signature}(L) = r - s,$$

$$p - \text{excess}(L_p) \equiv \sum_{q} n_q(q-1) + 4 \cdot \# (\text{odd powers } q \text{ with } \epsilon_q = -1),$$

and $\text{oddity}(L_2) = \sum t_q + 4 \cdot \# (\text{odd powers } q \text{ with } \epsilon_q = -1)$

and oddity(L₂) = Σ t_q + 4 · #(odd powers q with ε_q = -1).
3. The Jordan constituent conditions: the 2-adic Jordan constituents satisfy the following

(2.5) if type II,
$$t_a \equiv 0 \mod 8$$

(2.7) if type I and
$$n_q = 2$$
, $\begin{cases} \epsilon_q = +1 \text{ then } t_q \equiv 0 \text{ or } \pm 2 \mod 8 \\ \epsilon_q = -1 \text{ then } t_q \equiv 4 \text{ or } \pm 2 \mod 8 \end{cases}$

(2.8) and
$$t_q \equiv n_q \mod 2$$
.

When working with the abbreviated 2-adic symbol, the Jordan constituent conditions on a compartment of total dimension at least 3 reduce to just one condition: the total oddity in the compartment has the same parity as its total dimension.

2.4. Some facts and observations. We state here some straight-forward observations that follow immediately.

For odd p, the p-excess is always even.

If L is SSF, then the p-adic symbol for L_p will only contains terms for

q = 1 and q = p with $n_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim(L)$. If we take L^{neg} to be as L with all inner products negated, its local forms will change as follows. If p is odd, then the p-adic symbol for L_p^{neg} is got from that of L_p by multiplying each superscript by $\left(\frac{(-1)^{n_q}}{p}\right)$. The 2-adic symbol for L_p^{neg} is got from that of L_p by negating each subscript.

If $p \nmid \det L$ or if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$, then the *p*-excess of L_p and L_p^{neg} agree. If p|Land $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$, then the *p*-excess of L_p and L_p^{neg} differ by 4 mod 8.

3. Lattice embeddings

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let L be an SSF integral lattice of signature $+^{r}-^{s}$ and $\operatorname{rank}(\Delta(L)) = \delta$. Then L embeds in a unimodular lattice of signature $+^{r+\delta+1}-s$.

The proof will be separated into two cases depending on the parity of det(L). The main idea is to use a technique in [All18]. We will construct a lattice K of signature $+^{\delta+1}-^0$ with $\det(K) = (-1)^s \det(L)$ by specifying its local forms K_p , chosen such that there exist a group isomorphism ϕ : $\Delta(L) \to \Delta(K)$ which negates norms and inner products. Gluing L to K along the graph of ϕ will then result in a unimodular lattice.

3.1. Case 1: d is odd. If det(L) is odd, the following lemma holds regardless of whether L is SSF.

Lemma 3.2. Let L be an integral lattice of signature $+^{r}-^{s}$ with det(L) odd and rank $(\Delta(L)) = \delta$. Let $m = \max\{\delta + 1, 3\}$. Then L embeds in a unimodular lattice of signature $+^{r+m}-^{s}$.

Proof. Assume L is not unimodular and let $d := (-1)^s \det(L)$. Defined the local forms K_p as follows.

For odd $p \nmid d$, define K_p by $1^{(\frac{d}{p})m}$.

For odd p|d with $d = p^{\alpha}a$, define K_p by the product of $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ where for $q = p^m > 1$, the term $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ matches that of L_p^{neg} , and where $1^{\epsilon_1 n_1}$ is chosen such that $\prod \epsilon_q = (\frac{a}{p})$ and $\sum n_q = m$. Let $t \equiv m + \sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$. Since $\sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$ is even, t

Let $t \equiv m + \sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$. Since $\sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$ is even, t will have the parity of m. Define K_2 by $1_t^{(\frac{d}{2})m}$.

With these choices of local forms, all conditions (2.3)-(2.8) are satisfied. So there exist an integral lattice K of signature $+^m - ^0$ and determinant d with the prescribed local forms.

Now each local form K_p differs from L_p^{neg} by a unimodular factor. We have that $\Delta(K_p)$ and $\Delta(L_p^{neg})$ are isomorphic and correspond to the Sylow *p*-subgroups of $\Delta(K)$ and $\Delta(L^{neg})$. It follows that there exist a group isomorphism $\phi : \Delta(L) \to \Delta(K)$ which negates norms and inner products. Let $G = \{(x, \phi x)\}$ be the graph of ϕ . Then G is a totally isotropic subgroup of $\Delta(L \oplus K) = \Delta(L) \oplus \Delta(K)$, that is, the natural \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued inner product on $\Delta(L \oplus K)$ vanishes on G.

Write $L \oplus_G K$ for the preimage of G in $(L \oplus K)^* = L^* \oplus K^*$. Since G is totally isotropic, $L \oplus_G K$ is an integral lattice containing $L \oplus K$ as a sublattice of index |G| and therefore its determinant is given by

(3.1)
$$\det L \oplus_G K = \frac{\det L \oplus K}{|G|^2} = \frac{d^2}{(d)^2} = 1.$$

So $L \oplus_G K$ is a unimodular lattice containing L with orthogonal complement L^{\perp} isomorphic to K.

3.2. Case 2: d is even.

Lemma 3.3. Let L be an SSF integral lattice of signature $+^{r}-^{s}$ with det(L) even and rank($\Delta(L)$) = δ . Let $m = \max\{\delta + 1, 3\}$. Then L embeds in a unimodular lattice of signature $+^{r+m}-^{s}$.

Proof. Let $d := (-1)^s \det(L)$. Observe first that the SSF assumption guarantees that $\Delta(L_2)$ (the 2-Sylow subgroup of $\Delta(L)$) is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\alpha}$ where $d = 2^{\alpha}a$ and $2 \nmid a$. This means that the natural $\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued norms and inner products in $\Delta(L_2)$ are in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_2$. In particular, negating norms and inner products in $\Delta(L_2)$ is trivial.

Defined the local forms K_p as follows.

For odd $p \nmid d$, define K_p by $1^{(\frac{d}{p})m}$.

For odd p|d with $d = p^{\alpha}a$, define K_p by the product of $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ where for $q = p^m > 1$, the term $q^{\epsilon_q n_q}$ matches that of L_p^{neg} , and where $1^{\epsilon_1 n_1}$ is chosen such that $\prod \epsilon_q = (\frac{a}{p})$ and $\sum n_q = m$.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Let $t \equiv m + \sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$. Since $\sum_{p \text{ odd}} p - \text{excess}(K_p)$ is even, t will have the parity of m. Define K_2 by the reduced 2-adic symbol $[1^{\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)(m-\alpha)}2^{+\alpha}]_t$ where $d = 2^{\alpha}a$.

With these choices of local forms, all conditions (2.3)-(2.8) are satisfied. So there exist an integral lattice K of signature $+^m - ^0$ and determinant d with the prescribed local forms.

Now each local form K_p for $p \neq 2$ differs from L_p^{neg} by a unimodular factor. We then have that for all p (including p = 2) $\Delta(K_p)$ and $\Delta(L_p^{neg})$ are isomorphic and correspond to the Sylow p-subgroups of $\Delta(K)$ and $\Delta(L^{neg})$. It follows that there exist a group isomorphism $\phi : \Delta(L) \to \Delta(K)$ which negates norms and inner products. Let $G = \{(x, \phi x)\}$ be the graph of ϕ . Then G is a totally isotropic subgroup of $\Delta(L \oplus K) = \Delta(L) \oplus \Delta(K)$.

The remaining follows exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

4. Special subgroups

In this section we gather the necessary ingredients and prove Theorem 1.1 as a direct consequence of Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

It can be shown that the automorphism group of a non-SSF lattice is always contained in the automorphism group of one which is SSF [Wat62, Wat75, All12]. For SSF lattices of dimension up to 5, the rank of their discriminant groups is at most 2. Therefore, the following corollary follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.

Corollary 4.1. If L is an integral lattice of signature $+^{3}-^{1}$ (resp. $+^{4}-^{1}$), then Aut(L) embeds geometrically in Aut($I_{6,1}$) (resp. Aut($I_{7,1}$)).

The following theorem of Everitt-Ratcliffe-Tschantz provides the explicit relationship between the automorphism groups of the unimodular lattices $I_{n,1}$ for $n \leq 8$ and right-angled Coxeter groups. Recall that $q_n := -x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(I_{n,1}) = \operatorname{O}(q_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 4.2 ([ERT12, Theorem 2.1]). For $2 \le n \le 7$, $O^+(q_n, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ is a geometric RACG. It is the reflection group of an all-right hyperbolic polyhedron of dimension n. The group $O^+(q_8, \mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$ contains a geometric RACG as a subgroup of index 2. This subgroup is the reflection group of an all-right hyperbolic polyhedron of dimension 8.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof follows immediately from Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 since the lattice embeddings are geometric and therefore induce quasi-convex embeddings of the respective automorphism groups. \Box

References

[Ago13] Ian Agol. The virtual Haken conjecture. Doc. Math., 18:1045– 1087, 2013. With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning.

MICHELLE CHU

- [All12] Daniel Allcock. The reflective Lorentzian lattices of rank 3. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 220(1033):x+108, 2012.
- [All18] Daniel Allcock. Prenilpotent pairs in the E_{10} root lattice. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 164(3):473–483, 2018.
- [BHW11] Nicolas Bergeron, Frédéric Haglund, and Daniel T. Wise. Hyperplane sections in arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 83(2):431–448, 2011.
- [Chu17] Michelle Chu. Special subgroups of Bianchi groups. To appear in Groups Geom. Dyn. arXiv:1709.10503, 2017. 14 pages.
- [Chu18] Michelle Chu. Quantifying virtual properties of Bianchi groups. Phd dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, 2018.
- [CS99] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane. Sphere packings, lattices and groups, volume 290 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences].
 Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1999. With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov.
- [DMP18] Jason DeBlois, Nicholas Miller, and Priyam Patel. Effective virtual and residual properties of some arithmetic hyperbolic 3manifolds. arXiv:1806.02360, 2018. 30 pages.
- [ERT12] Brent Everitt, John G. Ratcliffe, and Steven T. Tschantz. Rightangled Coxeter polytopes, hyperbolic six-manifolds, and a problem of Siegel. Math. Ann., 354(3):871–905, 2012.
- [HW08] Frédéric Haglund and Daniel T. Wise. Special cube complexes. Geom. Funct. Anal., 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.
- [KV78] I. M. Kaplinskaja and È. B. Vinberg. The groups $O_{18,1}(Z)$ and $O_{19,1}(Z)$. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 238(6):1273–1275, 1978.
- [Kho86] A. G. Khovanskii. Hyperplane sections of polyhedra, toric varieties and discrete groups in Lobachevskii space. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 20(1):50–61, 96, 1986.
- [PV05] Leonid Potyagailo and Ernest Vinberg. On right-angled reflection groups in hyperbolic spaces. Comment. Math. Helv., 80(1):63–73, 2005.
- [Pro86] M. N. Prokhorov. Absence of discrete groups of reflections with a noncompact fundamental polyhedron of finite volume in a Lobachevskiĭ space of high dimension. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* Ser. Mat., 50(2):413–424, 1986.
- [Vin72] E. B. Vinberg. The groups of units of certain quadratic forms. Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 87(129):18–36, 1972.
- [Vin84] E. B. Vinberg. Absence of crystallographic groups of reflections in Lobachevskiĭ spaces of large dimension. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch., 47:68–102, 246, 1984.

- [Wat62] G. L. Watson. Transformations of a quadratic form which do not increase the class-number. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 12:577– 587, 1962.
- [Wat75] G. L. Watson. Transformations of a quadratic form which do not increase the class-number. II. Acta Arith., 27:171–189, 1975. Collection of articles in memory of JuriĭVladimirovič Linnik.
- [Wis11] Daniel T. Wise. The structure of groups with quasiconvex hierarchy. 2011. 187 pages.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Email address: mchu@math.ucsb.edu