Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Martin Scharlemann Course: Math 145 Quarter: Spring Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Prof. Scharlemann is always prepared for lecture and is very clear with the work he does on the board.

One of his weaknesses is that sometimes he falls short with his explanations of proofs because he expects all students in class to know the last few steps when he considers them to be obvious.

He is always willing to answer questions but sometimes doesn't turn around enough to see if students have questions during the process of proving something on the board.

Midterm was fair but one question introduced a new topic. That makes it difficult to learn and answer the question because of the stress of having to understand it in the middle of the exam without being able to ask questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: context, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Textbook was okay but sometimes really lacked detail in proofs or clear definitions of concepts.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Great teacher, well organized, problem sets
   Exhilarating lectures

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Level of difficulty was sufficient, could have gone faster first 3 weeks.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Martin Schwalbmann  Course: Math 145  Quarter: Spr_y  Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Lecture structure is nice

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Level of difficulty is good
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: ____________________ Course: ________ Quarter: ______ Year: ______

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Schleiman  Course: Math 145  Quarter: Spring  Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading.) Continue on back if necessary.

    Very prepared lectures, great at responding to students' questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

    Not too hard, but you have to be ready to do lots of proofs. Not enough alive markers. For students who might take the class, learn the definitions - it's all the definitions.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Good professor. Homework was worth too much of the grade considering the zero-tolerance late policy and no dropped scores.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Good location, good air conditioning.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Martin Schaeffran Course: Math 145 Quarter: Spring Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Clear lectures with informative examples.

   But I wish he would give more examples!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Great course with difficult material.

   Great textbook.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Martin Schachermayr Course: Math 115 Quarter: Spring Year: 16

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   [Student's comments]

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   [Student's comments]
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Professor Schorkmann was exemplary.
   He made the information clear and was always willing to elaborate. He did his job perfectly well.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The class could have been more in depth, but it's an intro course, so I understand that it wasn't.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Very good instruction. Enthusiastic and engaging. Good at explaining concepts and answering questions.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   Fair enough course. I would recommend keeping up on all the definitions and knowing the theorems very well, otherwise life will be difficult.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Schrockmann Course: 146 Quarter: Spring Year: 2004

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   It would have been helpful if homework solutions were posted after an assignment was turned in.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara
Instructor: Martin Schramm
Course: Math 148
Quarter: Spring
Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

* Very personable
* Slows down if you ask him too
* Sometimes a bit too slow
* A little boring at times
* O/w good professor

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

The first two weeks were incredibly boring.
I think it was a lot of unnecessary review of Math 12. Then the class became more interesting.
**Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara**

Instructor: Martin S. Hastings  
Course: 143  
Quarter: Spring  
Year: 2016

**Instructions:** We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please **do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.**

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   *His overall lecture is very good as it is easy to follow and I'm learning.*

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   *It is good.*
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: [Name]  Course: [Course]  Quarter: [Quarter]  Year: [Year]

**Instructions:** We are interested in your opinion of your instructor’s teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor’s teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: **Martin Scharlemann** Course: 145 Quarter: S Year: 16

**Instructions:** We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. **Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.**

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   *Super smart guy with an awesome sense of humor. Very, very knowledgeable on the material and lectures were well prepared.*

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   *I felt the course was very good for me, coming from a lesser math background (only having taken 108 AB). Probably not very interesting for those who have taken the 118 series. Highly recommend taking this class before 118. It was tough but I feel.*
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   Professor Schurleman is good at responding to student questions and trying to facilitate a dialogue in class.

   I enjoyed the quarter! Thank you!

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   I thought the first few weeks of material covering basic set theory were unnecessary. The homework assignments were generally quite easy. I would have appreciated a few more challenge problems.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   He seems to have a very firm grasp on the material, and I like that he accepts questions openly. However, I didn't like the way he put students on the spot and I wish he could have used more illustrations in his explanation of proofs and concepts.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   It was challenging enough but not too difficult. I just don't think I was terribly interested in the subject matter.
Mathematics Department—University of California, Santa Barbara

Instructor: Martin Schultmann Course: Math 145 Quarter: Spring Year: 2016

Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCL Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

   The instructor has very good preparation for each lecture. He wrote down everything he needed to say so that it was well-organized. He explained things very clearly and overall the course is interesting. The grading for midterm is a little bit harsh (I missed a small point in my proof and I lost all 5 points for that question.)

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

   The class is very interesting. There are many theorems to learn, but it does not give students an overwhelming feeling. The course is not very hard next the may the instructor can spend less time to review at the beginning of the quarter.
Instructions: We are interested in your opinion of your instructor's teaching. This information will be used by the faculty and campus administration in evaluating your instructor. These surveys must be filled out and collected in class and returned directly to the department office by a student. The data from these surveys will be available to the instructor only after grades have been submitted to the Office of the Registrar. Please do not write your name on this form. Please write in pen.

Please respond to questions A and B on the ESCI Faculty/Course Response Form before continuing on with this questionnaire.

1. Please comment on the instructor's teaching strengths and weaknesses. (For example, you may wish to comment on preparation and organization of lectures, board work, clarity of presentation, ability to stimulate interest in the subject, ability to explain difficult points, willingness to answer questions, attitude toward students, availability and helpfulness of office hours, homework, fairness of exams and grading). Continue on back if necessary.

Great way of explaining. Harsh homework and test grading. Always answers questions in lecture. I wish I could have gone to his master class. I imagine it was very helpful. Has a very clear, soft, calm, excited voice, made lectures interesting for easy listening.

2. Please comment on the course (for example: content, level of difficulty, textbook, physical conditions of the room and chalk or white boards, suggestions for improvement, advice to students who might take this class, etc.) Continue on back if necessary.

Loved to book, never any whiteboard markers.