

Undersampled Windowed Exponentials and Their Applications

Chun-Kit Lai¹ · Sui Tang² 

Received: 2 March 2018 / Accepted: 1 November 2018
© Springer Nature B.V. 2018

Abstract We characterize the completeness and frame/basis property of a union of undersampled windowed exponentials of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(g) := \{e^{2\pi i n x} : n \geq 0\} \cup \{g(x)e^{2\pi i n x} : n < 0\}$$

for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ by the spectra of the Toeplitz operators with the symbol g . Using this characterization, we classify all real-valued functions g such that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete or forms a frame/basis. Conversely, we use the classical non-harmonic Fourier series theory to determine all ξ such that the Toeplitz operators with the symbol $e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ is injective or invertible. These results demonstrate an elegant interaction between frame theory of windowed exponentials and Toeplitz operators. Finally, we use our results to answer some open questions in dynamical sampling, and derivative samplings on Paley-Wiener spaces of bandlimited functions.

Keywords Completeness · Frames · Spectra · Toeplitz operators · Windowed exponentials

Mathematics Subject Classification 94O20 · 42C15 · 42C30

1 Introduction

Background Let Ω be a measurable set of finite Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose that $g \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and Λ is a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^d . We define the collection of *windowed*

✉ S. Tang
stang@math.jhu.edu

C.-K. Lai
cklai@sfsu.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132, USA

² Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

exponentials by

$$\mathcal{E}(g, \Lambda) := \{g(x)e^{2\pi i(\lambda \cdot x)} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

A natural question is to determine when a union of finitely many windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ is complete or forms a frame/Riesz basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. Let us recall the definitions as below:

Definition 1.1 The collection of windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a *frame* for $L^2(\Omega)$ if there exists $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \left| \int f(x) \overline{g_j(x)} e^{-2\pi i(\lambda \cdot x)} dx \right|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

It is called a *Riesz basis* if the collection forms a frame with the property that every $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ is expanded uniquely as $\sum \alpha_{j,\lambda} g_j(x) e^{2\pi i(\lambda \cdot x)}$. It is called minimal if no single windowed exponential of $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ lies in the L^2 span of the other windowed exponentials in $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$.

The collection of windowed exponentials is called *complete* if the equations

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{g_j(x)} e^{-2\pi i(\lambda \cdot x)} dx = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

then imply that $f = 0$ a.e. on Ω .

We say that $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ is *admissible* if there exists some Λ_j such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a frame of windowed exponentials for $L^2(\Omega)$.

The question in determining the completeness and frame/basis properties of windowed exponentials is closely related to wavelet theory and Gabor analysis since problems about the frame of translate in the multi-resolution analysis and regular Gabor system can be reduced to those of windowed exponentials via respectively the Fourier transform and the Zak transform. There is rich literature in this direction. One can refer to [18, 24, 25] for an introduction and [13, 26, 27] for some recent work about windowed exponentials.

We also notice that if there is only one window, namely $g = \chi_{\Omega}$, then if $\mathcal{E}(\chi_{\Omega}, \Lambda)$ is called a *Fourier frame* if it forms a frame. Introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [19] in the 1950s, Fourier frames have known to be a fundamental building block of sampling theory in the applied harmonic analysis, as then functions with frequency compactly supported in Ω can be stably recovered from their samples on Λ . The starting point of Fourier frames can be traced back to Paley-Wiener, Levinson, Plancherel-Polya, and Boas for studying the possibility of non-harmonic series expansion [32, 41, 42]. We refer to [4, 6, 11, 14, 17, 23, 28, 36] and the reference therein for some recent advances and applications in sampling theory.

In general, it is well-known that every bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ admits a Fourier frame. Indeed, if we cover Ω by a cube, then the exponential orthonormal basis with frequency set denoted by Λ on the cube induces a tight Fourier frame for $L^2(\Omega)$. More generally, if $0 < m \leq g \leq M < \infty$ on Ω , then $\mathcal{E}(g, \Lambda)$ forms a frame for $L^2(\Omega)$. In [21] (see also [31]), the class of all admissible windows $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ were completely classified. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ to be admissible for $L^2(\Omega)$ is that there exists $c > 0$ with the property that

$$\max_{\{j: \|g_j\|_{\infty} < \infty\}} |g_j| \geq c > 0. \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega.$$

In particular, when there is only one window g , g is admissible if and only if $0 < m \leq g \leq M$ a.e. on Ω .

If $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ is admissible, it is known that (see [21]) one can produce a frame of multi-windowed exponentials by taking Λ_j in an oversampling manner in the sense that the (Beurling) density of Λ_j are strictly greater than the measure of Ω .

Despite the success of determining window exponentials using oversampled Λ_j , there are fewer papers studying the case in which each Λ_j is indeed undersampled or even of Beurling density zero, yet a union of windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a frame and works perfectly. This paper considers the possibility of forming a frame in the under-sampling circumstances. We focus on the following case let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and \mathbb{N}^- be the set of all negative integers and define

$$\mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-). \tag{1}$$

We ask for what g the set of functions $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete/ or forms a Riesz basis/frame for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. The consideration of the completeness properties $\mathcal{F}(g)$ has immediate applications to the dynamical sampling theory and the nonuniform derivative sampling theory, which we will discuss in a later section.

Main Results It turns out the solution to the question above can be characterized completely by the invertibility of the Toeplitz operators. While the connection between Toeplitz operator and windowed Fourier frame should have been known in the frame theory community (see Theorem 2.1 of [16] with $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$), this paper used it rigorously, probably for the first time, to study the frame property of a class of windowed exponentials, $\mathcal{F}(g)$. First, let us review some known facts about Toeplitz operators.

Definition 1.2 We say that a bounded linear operator $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is a *Toeplitz operator* if, with respect to the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, T admits a matrix representation

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \cdots \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \ddots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and \tilde{H}^p be a closed subspace of $L^p[-1/2, 1/2]$ defined by

$$\tilde{H}^p = \{ \tilde{f} \in L^p[-1/2, 1/2] : \langle \tilde{f}, e^{2\pi i n x} \rangle = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}^- \}.$$

Let $\phi \in L^\infty([-1/2, 1/2])$ and let $P_+ : L^2[-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2$ be the Riesz projection operator. Then we can define a bounded linear operator $T_\phi : \tilde{H}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}^2$ by

$$T_\phi f := P_+(\phi f).$$

T_ϕ is called the Toeplitz operator with symbol ϕ and T_ϕ is bounded. The matrix representation of T_ϕ in terms of the standard basis $\{e^{2\pi i n x} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of \tilde{H}^2 is given by

$$T_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\phi}(0) & \widehat{\phi}(-1) & \widehat{\phi}(-2) & \cdots \\ \widehat{\phi}(1) & \widehat{\phi}(0) & \widehat{\phi}(-1) & \ddots \\ \widehat{\phi}(2) & \widehat{\phi}(1) & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conversely, if T is a bounded Toeplitz operator with representation (2), then there exists $\phi \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ such that T is the matrix representation of T_ϕ . Furthermore, we have $\|T_\phi\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$.

The following theorem is our main result in characterizing the basis/frame properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ by the invertibility of the Toeplitz operators T_g .

Theorem 1.3

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if T_g is injective.
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if T_g is both norm-bounded above and below.
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis if and only if T_g is bounded and invertible (i.e., surjective, norm-bounded above and below).

We will prove this theorem in Theorem 3.5. This theorem gives us in principle a complete characterization of the frame and basis property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ regarding the invertibility of the Toeplitz operator and the triviality of the Toeplitz kernel. This leads us to look for classical results on Toeplitz operators. In particular, we need theorems characterizing the frame property by the function values of g . A complete classification for the invertible Toeplitz operators T_g was given *analytically* through the Widom-Devinatz theorem in the 1960s. We refer the reader to [15, 34] for the detailed study. Unfortunately, as far as we know, there is no easily checkable criterion to check if the Toeplitz operator T_g is invertible for a general complex-valued window g .

In our paper, we will provide a complete characterization of the completeness and frame/bases property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ when g is real-valued (see Theorem 3.7). After that, we will consider the complex windows $g_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. While from Toeplitz operator side, there is no easily checkable way to verify the invertibility of T_{g_ξ} , we use some theories of non-harmonic Fourier series and completely characterize all ξ for which $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is complete/a frame or a Riesz basis (Theorem 3.9). Formulated in the Toeplitz operators, the following theorem may be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.4 Let $g_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

- (1) T_{g_ξ} is not injective if $\xi < -1/2$.
- (2) T_{g_ξ} is invertible if $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$.
- (3) T_{g_ξ} is injective but not normed-bounded below if $\xi = -1/2 + n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (4) T_{g_ξ} is injective and normed-bounded below but not surjective if $\xi > 1/2$ and $\xi \neq -1/2 + n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

This theorem will follow directly from Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 1.3. These results demonstrate an elegant interaction between windowed exponentials and Toeplitz operators.

We organize our paper as follows: In Sect. 2, we will give some notations and preliminaries for the Toeplitz operators required for the rest of the papers. We will then prove Theorem 1.3 in Sect. 3 followed by classification of real-valued windows and complex exponential windows for $\mathcal{F}(g)$ to be a frame/basis. In Sect. 3, we will consider the applications of our classification in dynamical sampling and nonuniform derivative sampling. We conclude our paper in Sect. 4.

2 Preliminaries and Notation

We now introduce some notation and preliminaries that are useful in the following sections. Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H . We use $\sigma(A)$ to denote the spectrum of A which is the set of complex numbers λ such that $A - \lambda I$ is not invertible. $\sigma(A)$ contains several types of spectra; $\sigma_p(A)$ denotes the *point spectrum* of A consisting of all λ such that $A - \lambda I$ is not injective; $\sigma_{ap}(A)$ denotes the *approximate point spectrum* consisting of all λ such that there exists $f_n \in H$ with $\|f_n\| = 1$ such that $\|(A - \lambda I)f_n\| \rightarrow 0$; $\sigma_c(A)$ denotes the *compression spectrum* consisting of points λ such that $A - \lambda I$ does not have a dense range. For every bounded operator A , we have

- $\sigma(A) = \sigma_{ap}(A) \cup \sigma_c(A)$, $\sigma_{ap}(A) \cap \sigma_c(A)$ may not be empty.
- $\sigma_p(A) \subset \sigma_{ap}(A)$.
- the boundary of $\sigma(A)$ denoted by $\partial\sigma(A) \subset \sigma(A)$.

For $\phi \in L^\infty$, the *essential range* of ϕ is defined as

$$\text{essran}(\phi) := \{y : m(\{x : |\phi(x) - y| < \epsilon\}) > 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

The *essential infimum* and *essential supremum* of a real-valued function ϕ are defined as

$$\text{essinf}(\phi) := \inf \text{essran}(\phi), \quad \text{esssup}(\phi) := \sup \text{essran}(\phi).$$

The following theorem is useful in proving our theorems, and can be found in [34].

Theorem 2.1 *Let T_ϕ be the Toeplitz operator associated with the L^∞ function ϕ . Then*

- (1) $\text{essran}(\phi) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T_\phi)$.
- (2) (Coburn alternative) T_ϕ or $T_{\bar{\phi}}$ is injective. In particular, if ϕ is real-valued, then T_ϕ is injective.
- (3) Suppose that ϕ is real-valued. Then $\sigma(T_\phi) = [\text{essinf}(\phi), \text{esssup}(\phi)]$. Moreover, for all λ in the open interval $(\text{essinf}(\phi), \text{esssup}(\phi))$, $T_\phi - \lambda I$ is not surjective.

The last part of the theorem follows from the proof in [34, Theorem 3.3.15]. Finally, we mention the general analytic invertibility theorem for T_ϕ due to Widom-Devinatz (see e.g., [15, Theorem 2.23]).

Theorem 2.2 (Widom-Devinatz) *T_ϕ is invertible if and only if $\phi^{-1} \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ and*

$$\frac{\phi}{|\phi|} = e^{i(u^h + v + c)} \quad \text{a.e. on } [-1/2, 1/2] \tag{3}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, u, v are bounded real-valued functions, $\|v\|_\infty < \pi/2$ and u^h is the harmonic conjugate of u , i.e., it satisfies the property that $u + iu^h$ can be extended analytic in the unit disk.

Condition in (3) is commonly referred as *Helson-Szegö condition*.

3 Frame Properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$

In this section, we will use the spectral properties of Toeplitz operators to characterize the frame properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-).$$

$\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if there exist $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} |\langle f, ge_n \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2,$$

for all $f \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. It forms a *Bessel sequence* if the second inequality above holds.

We now consider the *analysis operator* of $\mathcal{F}(g)$, $\Phi_g : L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$\Phi_g f = (\dots, \langle f, ge_{-2} \rangle, \langle f, ge_{-1} \rangle, \langle f, e_0 \rangle, \langle f, e_1 \rangle, \langle f, ge_2 \rangle \dots).$$

The following theorem is well-known in the frame theory literature (see e.g [25, Theorems 8.29 and 8.32]).

Theorem 3.1

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if Φ_g is injective.
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a frame if and only if Φ_g is both norm-bounded above and below.
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a Riesz basis if and only if Φ_g is surjective and both norm-bounded above and below.

Note that $L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is unitarily equivalent to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via the Fourier coefficients.

$$f \in L^2\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \longleftrightarrow \{\widehat{f}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

We denote $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$. Suppose that $g = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_n e_n$. Then

$$\overline{g} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{-n}} e_n.$$

Hence,

$$\langle f, ge_n \rangle = \langle f\overline{g}, e_n \rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{-(n-k)}} \widehat{f}(k).$$

We also define the function

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \overline{g(-x)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{b_n} e_n(x).$$

Suppose that we identify $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N}^- \oplus \mathbb{N}_0$ and order $\mathbb{N}^- = \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We can think of Φ_g as a mapping from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, the map becomes

$$\Phi_g(a_{-1}, \dots, a_0, a_1, \dots) = \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+1}} a_k, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+2}} a_k, \dots, a_0, a_1, \dots \right).$$

Hence, Φ_g admits a matrix representation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{\tilde{g}} & | & H_{\tilde{g}} \\ \hline O & | & I \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

where $T_{\tilde{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ and $H_{\tilde{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ with the matrix representation

$$T_{\tilde{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \overline{b_{-2}} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_1} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_{\tilde{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_4} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_4} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we identify $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ by an obvious isometric isomorphism, so that $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is a Toeplitz operator. We have a simple lemma concerning the spectra of $T_{\tilde{g}}$ and T_g .

Lemma 3.2 $\sigma_p(T_{\tilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_p(T_g)}$, $\sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_{ap}(T_g)}$ and $\sigma_c(T_{\tilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_c(T_g)}$.

Proof This lemma follows from the a direct observation that for any $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$, $T_{\tilde{g}}f = \overline{T_g \overline{f}}$. □

We need the following general lemma concerning the boundedness of operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\Phi : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a linear operator such that Φ has a matrix representation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & | & B \\ \hline O & | & I \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $B : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ are bounded linear operator. Then

- (1) Φ is a bounded linear operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- (2) Suppose that A is normed bounded below on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$. Then Φ is also normed bounded below.

Proof (i). Let $f = (f^-, f^+) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Then $\|f\|^2 = \|f^-\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi f\|^2 &= \|Af^- + Bf^+\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\|A\|^2\|f^-\|^2 + \|B\|^2\|f^+\|^2) + \|f^+\|^2 \\ &\leq \max(2\|A\|^2, 2\|B\|^2 + 1) \cdot (\|f^-\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2) = C\|f\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \max(2\|A\|^2, 2\|B\|^2 + 1)$. Thus, Φ is bounded.

(ii). Since A is norm bounded below. Let c_1 be the constant such that

$$\|Af\| \geq c_1\|f\|, \quad \forall f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-).$$

Let $c_2 = \|-B\|$. We now prove that Φ is norm bounded below.

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi f\|^2 &= \|Af^- + Bf^+\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2 \\ &= \|Af^- + Bf^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \|Af^- + Bf^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2c_2}\|-Bf^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \cdot (\|Af^- + Bf^+\|^2 + \|-Bf^+\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \cdot (\|Af^- + Bf^+\| + \|-Bf^+\|)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \|Af^-\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\ &\geq \min\left(\frac{c_1}{2}, \frac{c_1}{4c_2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \|f\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $(a^2 + b^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)^2$ in the third last line and the triangle inequality in the second last line. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that Φ_g admits a matrix representation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{\tilde{g}} & | & H_{\tilde{g}} \\ \hline & & \\ O & | & I \end{bmatrix}$$

as in (4). The above lemma can readily be used once we have the boundedness of the Toeplitz and Hankel operators. We have thus the following proposition concerning the boundedness of the operators T_g .

Proposition 3.4 $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Bessel sequence for $L^2([-1/2, 1/2])$ if and only if $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ and T_g is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Proof If $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$, then both T_g and H_g are bounded operators. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, Φ_g is a bounded linear operator which is equivalent to the fact that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms

a Bessel sequence for $L^2([-1/2, 1/2])$ We now give the necessity part with two different proofs from two point of views. One is from the frame theory and the other one is from the Toeplitz operator theory.

(Beurling density proof) From [21, Theorem 3.2(i)], it was proved that if $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Bessel sequence and $g \notin L^\infty$, then the upper Beurling density of \mathbb{N}^- has to be zero. Recall that the upper Beurling density of Λ is

$$D^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap (x + [-R, R]))}{2R},$$

From the definition, $D^+(\mathbb{N}^-) = 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$. Thus, T_g is bounded.

(From Toeplitz operator theory) From the definition of the Bessel sequence, we know that $\|\Phi_g f\|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2$. Let $f = (f^-, f^+) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Using the representation in (4),

$$\|T_{\tilde{g}} f^- + H_{\tilde{g}} f^+\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2 \leq B(\|f^-\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2).$$

Let $f^+ = 0$, we obtain $\|T_{\tilde{g}} f^-\|^2 \leq B\|f^-\|^2$. Hence, $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is a bounded operator and g is bounded. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. From the facts about each spectrum, we can formulate Theorem 1.3 in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_g)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if T_g is bounded and $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_g)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis if and only if T_g is bounded and $0 \notin \sigma(T_g)$.

Proof Because of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove all the statements with T_g replaced by $T_{\tilde{g}}$. Throughout the proof, we write $f = (f^-, f^+) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

(1) Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_{\tilde{g}})$. Then $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is injective. We need to show that Φ_g is injective. To see this, let $\Phi_g f = 0$ and we have

$$T_{\tilde{g}} f^- + H_{\tilde{g}} f^+ = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f^+ = 0.$$

Hence, $T_{\tilde{g}} f^- = 0$. But $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is injective, $f^- = 0$. This shows Φ_g is injective and thus $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete. Conversely, suppose that Φ_g is injective and let $T_{\tilde{g}} f = 0$. Consider $(f, 0)$ and we have $\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\tilde{g}} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Hence, injectivity of Φ_g implies that $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Thus, $f = 0$.

(2). Suppose that T_g is bounded (and thus g is bounded) and $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}})$. Then the proof of upper bound is trivial by the fact that $g \in L^\infty$ since now $T_{\tilde{g}}$ and $H_{\tilde{g}}$ are bounded. We now show that it satisfies the lower bound. Then we know, $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is injective and has a closed range. Furthermore, it is norm bounded below. Hence, we can use Lemma 3.3 (2) so that Φ_g is normed bounded below. Thus, Theorem 3.1(2) shows that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame. This completes the proof.

Conversely, suppose that $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}})$. Then there exists f_n with $\|f_n\| = 1$ such that $\|T_{\tilde{g}} f_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the vector $(f_n, 0)$. Then

$$\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\tilde{g}} f_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, Φ_g is not bounded below and hence $\mathcal{F}(g)$ cannot be a frame.

(3). Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma(T_{\tilde{g}})$. Then $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is injective and hence Φ_g is injective by (1). To see that Φ_g is surjective, we take $\begin{pmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{pmatrix}$ and we try to solve

$$\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f^- \\ f^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $f^+ = y^+$ and $T_{\tilde{g}}f^- = y^- - H_{\tilde{g}}f^+$. As $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is surjective, $T_{\tilde{g}}^{-1}$ is bounded by inverse mapping theorem of linear operators. Thus, we can find $f^- = T_{\tilde{g}}^{-1}(y^- - H_{\tilde{g}}f^+)$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Hence, Φ_g is invertible and possesses a bounded inverse. $0 \notin \sigma(T_{\tilde{g}})$ follows.

Conversely, Suppose that $0 \in \sigma(T_{\tilde{g}})$. Then $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}})$ or $0 \in \sigma_c(T_{\tilde{g}})$. However, if $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}})$, then (2) shows that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ cannot be a frame and hence cannot be a Riesz bases. On the other hand, if $0 \in \sigma_c(T_{\tilde{g}})$, then $T_{\tilde{g}}$ cannot be surjective. Hence, Φ_g cannot be surjective either since it was, then $\Phi_g f = (y, 0)$ for any y and it makes $T_{\tilde{g}}$ is surjective. \square

We will give some geometric characterizations of certain window functions for the frame/basis property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$. First of all, combining Theorem 3.5 and Widom-Devinatz theorem, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ if and only if $g^{-1} \in L^\infty$ and g satisfies the Helson-Szegö condition, namely

$$\frac{g}{|g|} = e^{i(u^h + v + c)} \quad \text{a.e. on } [-1/2, 1/2] \quad (5)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, u, v are bounded real-valued functions, $\|v\|_\infty < \pi/2$ and u^h is the harmonic conjugate of u , (i.e., u^h satisfies the property that $u + iu^h$ is an analytic function in the unit disk)

3.1 Classification of Admissible Real Window Functions

As we have discussed in the introduction, Helson-Szegö condition does not give us a directly checkable criterion even for simple classes of functions. Furthermore, there is not even an analogous theorem for 0 to be outside the point/approximate point spectrum. We will turn our attention to certain classes of functions. We first give a complete characterization of the real-valued window functions.

Theorem 3.7 Let g be real-valued. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete.
- (2) The following are equivalent.
 - (a) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis.
 - (b) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame.
 - (c) $0 \notin [\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)]$.

Proof (1). By Theorem 2.1(2), we know that if g is real-valued, then T_g is injective. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_g)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.5, $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete.

(2) (a) implies (b) is from definition. (c) implies (a) follows from Theorem 2.1(iii) which states that $\sigma(T_g) = [\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)]$. As $0 \notin \sigma(T_g)$ by (c), Theorem 3.5(3) shows that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a Riesz basis.

We now show (b) implies (c). By Theorem 3.5 (2) and Theorem 2.1, (b) implies that $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_{\xi}) = \sigma_{ap}(T_g) \subset \sigma(T_g) = [\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)]$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.8

- (1) Let $g(x) = x\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete as g is real-valued. However, it does not form a frame because 0 is in $[\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)] = [-1, 1]$. This example will be used in derivative samplings.
- (2) Let $g(x) = -\chi_{[-1/2, 0)} + \chi_{[0, 1/2]}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete as g is real-valued. However, it does not form a frame because 0 is in the interval $[\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)] = [-1, 1]$ (note that $\text{essran}(g) = \{-1, 1\}$). On the other hand, if we consider the windowed exponentials $\{g(x)e^{2\pi i n x} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then the system forms a frame for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ since $|g| = 1$.

3.2 Classification of Admissible Complex Exponential Functions

We now turn to study complex windows. As we mentioned before, it is very difficult to give a characterization for all complex window functions. We start with the special case when $g_{\xi}(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi}) = \{e^{2\pi i n x} : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{e^{2\pi i(\xi+n)x} : n = -1, -2, \dots\}.$$

Notice that the set $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ consists of complex exponential functions and the problem of determining whether $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is a frame of $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ is, in fact, a density problem of Fourier frames. Notice that in this case, the lower and upper Beurling density of $\Lambda = \{\mathbb{N}_0, \mathbb{N}^- + \xi\}$ are both 1 and hence this problem falls into the interesting gap zone of existing density results (see [17, 30, 35]). We can also see this problem from the point of view of perturbation by noticing that $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is a perturbation version of the standard Fourier basis. To the best of our knowledge, the current perturbation results of the standard Fourier basis did not cover our results about the arbitrary shifting of the negative frequency of the standard Fourier basis, and we refer to the reader [3, 11, 12] for advances on this direction.

Below, we can give a complete characterization for complex exponential functions.

Theorem 3.9

- (1) If $\xi < -1/2$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is incomplete.
- (2) If $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is a Riesz basis.
- (3) If $-1/2 + n < \xi < 1/2 + n, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is a frame but not a Riesz basis.
- (4) If $\xi = -1/2 + n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is complete but not a Riesz basis nor a frame.

Proof We define a map $T : L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ by

$$Tf(x) = e^{-\pi i \xi x/2} f(x).$$

It is easy to see that T is an invertible bounded linear operator. Hence $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame if and only if $T(\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi}))$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame. Note that

$$T(\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi})) = \{e^{2\pi i(n-\frac{\xi}{2})x} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{e^{2\pi i(\frac{\xi}{2}+n)x} : n \in \mathbb{N}^-\}.$$

Now define the real sequence

$$\Gamma_{\xi} = \left\{n - \frac{\xi}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\} \cup \left\{n + \frac{\xi}{2} : n \in \mathbb{N}^-\right\}$$

and denote by $E(\Gamma_\xi) = \{e^{2\pi i \lambda_n \xi} : \lambda_n \in \Gamma_\xi\}$ the complex exponential system associated with Γ_ξ . Then we have $T(\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)) = E(\Gamma_\xi)$ and it is sufficient to determine whether $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame.

(1) Following the idea of proof of Theorem V in [32], we let $t = -\xi/2$ and let $F_t(x) = \sin(\pi x) \cos^{2t-1}(\pi x)$. Then by calculation, $F_t \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ if and only if $t > 1/4$. Hence if $\xi < -1/2$, then $F_t \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. For $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F_t(x) e^{-2\pi i(n+t)x} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2t}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (e^{i\pi x} - e^{-i\pi x})(e^{\pi x} + e^{-i\pi x})^{2t-1} e^{-2\pi i(n+t)x} dx \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (e^{2\pi i x} - 1)(1 + e^{-2\pi i x})^{2t-1} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^+} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (e^{2\pi i x} - 1)(1 + r e^{-2\pi i x})^{2t-1} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^+} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (e^{2\pi i x} - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2t-1}{k} r^k e^{-2\pi i k x} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^+} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2t-1}{k} r^k \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (e^{2\pi i x} - 1) e^{-2\pi i(k+n)x} dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can also show that $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F_t(x) e^{-2\pi i(n-t)x} dx = 0$. It follows that $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is not complete for $\xi < -1/2$.

(2) If $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$, then $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is a Riesz basis followed by the classical Kadec-1/4 theorem.

(3) Suppose that $\xi = \xi_0 + n$ for $\xi_0 \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ and n is a positive integer. Then the original system $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi_0}) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$. Note that we have proved that $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi_0})$ is a Riesz basis by (2), hence $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is a frame, but not a Riesz basis since there are excessive elements.

(4) First, we can use [41, Theorem 4 and Sect. 8]) to prove that $E(\Gamma_{-1/2})$ is exact (i.e., minimal and complete) but not a Riesz basis. Then we know $E(\Gamma_{-1/2})$ is not a frame either. Otherwise, $E(\Gamma_{-1/2})$ will be an exact frame, which means it is a Riesz basis and results in a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$ is complete but not a Riesz basis nor a frame. In general, note that we have

$$\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2}) \subset \mathcal{F}(g_{1/2}) \subset \mathcal{F}(g_{3/2}) \subset \cdots .$$

Suppose that there exists a set in this chain forms a frame. Then we can remove finitely many elements from this set to obtain $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$. Note that the removal of finitely many elements from a frame leaves either a frame or an incomplete set. Now, $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$ is complete, so if one of the sets forms a frame, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$ is a frame also. This is a contradiction to what we just proved. Hence, every set in the above chain is complete and is not a Riesz basis nor frame. \square

4 Applications

Dynamical Sampling Problems in determining the frame property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ can be applied to dynamical sampling problems. Formulated mathematically, Aldroubi and his collaborators [1, 2, 7–10, 38] considered $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the spatial sampling sets $\{\Omega_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, L$, are collections of proper subsets in \mathbb{Z} . They are usually assumed to be nested, i.e., $\Omega_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega_L$, and are well-spread. For example, $\Omega_1 = \dots \Omega_L = m\mathbb{Z}$ ($m > 1$) in [9]. Let also $G_1, \dots, G_L \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.¹ We want to reconstruct F from the samples

$$(G_1 * F(\Omega_1), \dots, G_L * F(\Omega_L))$$

(Here $G(\Omega_i) = \{G(n) : n \in \Omega_i\}$). Notice that this model is equivalent to sampling through the Paley-Wiener space of bandlimited functions supported on a bandwidth of length one as well as certain shift-invariant spaces [9]. As Ω_i are proper subsets of \mathbb{Z} , we may think they are below the Nyquist rate and hence samples on an individual Ω_i are not able to recover F .

We say that $(G_i, \Omega_i)_{i=1, \dots, L}$ allows a *stable sampling* if the linear map

$$\mathbf{A} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^L \ell^2(\Omega_i)$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{A}F = (G_1 * F(\Omega_1), \dots, G_L * F(\Omega_L)) \tag{6}$$

admits a bounded left-inverse \mathbf{B} such that $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}F = F$ for all $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. We define the Fourier transform of $G \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$g(x) := \widehat{G}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G(n)e^{-2\pi inx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$$

where \mathbb{T} is the circle group identified as $[-1/2, 1/2]$. We first notice that for \mathbf{A} to be well-defined, one should naturally require $G_i * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. In fact, this is equivalent to $\widehat{G}_i \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$. The following standard theorem connects dynamical sampling problems with windowed exponentials we have been considering. This can be seen directly by taking Fourier transform, so we will omit its proof.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $G_1, \dots, G_L \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be sequences such that $\widehat{G}_i \in L^\infty$ and let $g_i(\xi) = \widehat{G}_i(\xi)$. Then $(G_i, \Omega_i)_{i=1, \dots, L}$ allows a stable sampling if and only if $\bigcup_{i=1}^L \mathcal{E}(\overline{g}_i, \Omega_i)$ forms a frame of windowed exponentials on $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$.*

Because of this theorem, the frame property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ allows us to determine the set of all G such that

$$\{F(\mathbb{N}^-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_0)\}$$

is stably recoverable (note that $F(\mathbb{N}^-) = (\delta_0 * F)(\mathbb{N}^-)$ with δ_0 is the Dirac function on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$). In contrast with $\Omega_i = m\mathbb{Z}$ in [9]. Notice that \mathbb{N}^- and \mathbb{N}_0 has zero lower Beurling densities. This means that each individual samples $F(\mathbb{N}^-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_0)$ could not admit a stable recovery.

¹To avoid confusion, we will use upper case letter to denote functions in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and Paley-Wiener space $PW = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp}(\hat{f}) \subset [-1/2, -1/2]\}$ and lower case letter to denote functions in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$.

Derivative Sampling Uniform sampling of derivatives is a classical topic in sampling theory, see [20, 29, 33, 37, 39, 43] and references therein. However, relatively few papers have considered nonuniform sampling with derivatives. We refer to [5, 22, 40] for the study of density of nonuniform derivative samples that give stable reconstructions. In this paper, we provide new examples of nonuniform derivative sampling. Consider the sampling on Paley-Wiener space PW by the samples $\{F(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \cup \{\frac{-1}{2\pi i} F'(n)\}_{n < 0}$. We note that if $f = \widehat{F}$, we have

$$F'(\xi) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x)e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x)(-2\pi i x)e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Hence, the frame/basis and completeness properties are equivalent to that of the windowed exponentials $\mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(x\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}, \mathbb{N}^-)$. By Example 3.8(1), this collection is complete, but not forming a frame. Hence,

Theorem 4.2 *The one-sided derivative sampling by $\{F(n)\}_{n \geq 0} \cup \{\frac{-1}{2\pi i} F'(n)\}_{n < 0}$ is not stable but it is injective.*

However, if we restrict to a subclass of bandlimited function, we are still able to establish the stable sampling result.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $E = \{F \in PW : F(x) = F(-x)\}$ be the subspace of even functions on PW . Then there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |F(n)|^2 + \sum_{n < 0} \left| \frac{-1}{2\pi i} F'(n) \right|^2 \geq c \|F\|^2, \quad \forall F \in E.$$

Proof Note that F is an even function if and only if $f = \widehat{F}$ is an even function. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(x, \mathbb{N}^-)$ forms a windowed exponential on the subspace $\widehat{E} = \{f \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2] : f(x) = f(-x)\}$. i.e. There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n \leq -1} |x\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \geq c \|f\|^2, \quad \forall f \in \widehat{E}.$$

(recall that $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$). Throughout the proof, we let $I = [-1/2, 1/2]$. By the Parseval's identity,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \|f\|^2 - (\widehat{f}(0))^2 = \int_I |f|^2 - \left| \int_I f \right|^2.$$

As $\widehat{f}(n) = \widehat{f}(-n)$, the sum on the left hand side are the same. Thus,

$$\sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{\int_I |f|^2 - \left| \int_I f \right|^2}{2}. \tag{7}$$

Similarly, we notice that $x f$ is an odd function, so we have

$$\sum_{n \leq -1} |x\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{\int_I |x f|^2 - \left| \int_I x f \right|^2}{2}. \tag{8}$$

Now, we write

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \left(\sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 - \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 \right). \tag{9}$$

By (7) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 - \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 &= \frac{\int_I |f|^2 - \int_I |xf|^2 - (\int_I |f|^2 - \int_I |xf|^2)}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_I |f|^2 + \int_I |xf|^2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_I |f|^2 + (\int_I x^2) \cdot (\int_I |f|^2)}{2} = \frac{13}{24} \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting back to (9), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n \leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 \geq \|f\|^2 - \frac{13}{24} \|f\|^2 = \frac{11}{24} \|f\|^2. \quad \square$$

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we provide a study on a specific class of under-sampled windowed exponentials. By making connections to Toeplitz operator, we show for real-valued g , the completeness/frame/basis property of

$$\mathcal{F}(g) := \{e^{2\pi inx} : n \geq 0\} \cup \{g(x)e^{2\pi inx} : n < 0\}$$

only depends on the essential range of g . When $g = e^{2\pi i\xi x}$, we completely characterized ξ such that the set $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete/frame/basis, which complements those existing results in the literature (e.g. [17]). The theory we developed also provides nontrivial new examples of dynamical sampling and nonuniform derivative sampling. These results shed some light on the interesting connection between the frame theory of windowed exponentials and the Toeplitz operators.

Our interest, in general, will be for a given countable set of $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_N$, can we develop some necessary and sufficient condition to determine g_1, \dots, g_N so that the windowed exponential

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$$

is complete or forms a frame/basis on $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ (or any $L^2(\Omega)$)? Particularly when Λ_j are under the critical sampling rate, the classification of g_j is an interesting problem. Furthermore, it is also immediate to see any such classification will lead to a new sampling scheme in dynamical samplings and derivative samplings. So far, the classification is only available when $\Lambda = m\mathbb{Z}$ [10] and the result we presented in this paper. We will leave more classes of undersampled windowed exponentials with samples taken from subgroups or semi-groups for future study.

Acknowledgement We would like to thank anonymous reviewers for their very helpful comments. Sui Tang is supported by the AMS Simons travel grant.

References

1. Aceska, R., Kim, Y.H.: Scalability of frames generated by dynamical operators. [arXiv:1608.05622](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05622) (2016)
2. Aceska, R., Tang, S.: Dynamical sampling in hybrid shift invariant spaces. In: *Operator Methods in Wavelets, Tilings, and Frames*, vol. 626, p. 149. Am. Math. Soc., New York (2014)
3. Acosta-Reyes, E., Aldroubi, A., Krishtal, I.: On stability of sampling-reconstruction models. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **31**, 5–34 (2009)
4. Adcock, B., Gataric, M., Hansen, A.C.: Weighted frames of exponentials and stable recovery of multidimensional functions from nonuniform Fourier samples. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **42**(3), 508–535 (2015)
5. Adcock, B., Gataric, M., Hansen, A.C.: Density theorems for nonuniform sampling of bandlimited functions using derivatives or bunched measurements. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **23**, 1311–1347 (2017)
6. Adcock, B., Gataric, M., Romero, J.L.: Computing reconstructions from nonuniform Fourier samples: universality of stability barriers and stable sampling rates. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acha.2017.05.004>
7. Aldroubi, A., Cabrelli, C., Cakmak, A.F., Molter, U., Petrosyan, A.: Iterative actions of normal operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**, 1121–1146 (2017)
8. Aldroubi, A., Cabrelli, C., Molter, U., Tang, S.: Dynamical sampling. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **42**, 378–401 (2017)
9. Aldroubi, A., Davis, J., Krishtal, I.: Dynamical sampling: time-space trade-off. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **34**, 495–503 (2013)
10. Aldroubi, A., Davis, J., Krishtal, I.: Exact reconstruction of signals in evolutionary systems via spatiotemporal trade-off. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **21**, 11–31 (2015)
11. Aldroubi, A., Gröchenig, K.: Nonuniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces. *SIAM Rev.* **43**, 585–620 (2001)
12. Balan, R.: Stability theorems for Fourier frames and wavelet Riesz bases. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3**, 499–504 (1997)
13. Balan, R., Casazza, P.G., Heil, C., Landau, Z.: Density, overcompleteness, and localization of frames, I: theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **12**, 105–143 (2006)
14. Benedetto, J.J.: Irregular sampling and frames. In: *Wavelets: a Tutorial in Theory and Applications*, vol. 2, pp. 445–507 (1992)
15. Böttcher, A., Silbermann, B.: *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*. Springer, New York (2013)
16. Casazza, P.G., Christensen, O., Kalton, N.J.: Frames of translates. *Collect. Math.* **52**, 35–54 (2001)
17. Casazza, P.G., Christensen, O., Li, S., Lindner, A.: Density results for frames of exponentials. In: *Harmonic Analysis and Applications*, pp. 359–369. Springer, New York (2006)
18. Christensen, O.: *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, vol. 7. Springer, New York (2003)
19. Duffin, R.J., Schaeffer, A.C.: A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **72**, 341–366 (1952)
20. Fogel, L.: A note on the sampling theorem. *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory* **1**, 47–48 (1955)
21. Gabardo, J.-P., Lai, C.-K.: Frames of multi-windowed exponentials on subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **36**, 461–472 (2014)
22. Gröchenig, K.: Reconstruction algorithms in irregular sampling. *Math. Comput.* **59**, 181–194 (1992)
23. Gröchenig, K.: Irregular sampling, Toeplitz matrices, and the approximation of entire functions of exponential type. *Math. Comput.* **68**, 749–765 (1999)
24. Heil, C.: History and evolution of the density theorem for Gabor frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **13**, 113–166 (2007)
25. Heil, C.: *A Basis Theory Primer: Expanded Edition*. Springer, New York (2010)
26. Heil, C., Kutyniok, G.: Density of frames and Schauder bases of windowed exponentials. *Houst. J. Math.* **34**, 565–600 (2008)
27. Heil, C., Yoon, G.: Duals of windowed exponential systems. *Acta Appl. Math.* **119**, 97–112 (2012)
28. Jaffard, S., et al.: A density criterion for frames of complex exponentials. *Mich. Math. J.* **38**, 339–348 (1991)
29. Jagerman, D., Fogel, L.: Some general aspects of the sampling theorem. *IRE Trans. Inf. Theory* **2**, 139–146 (1956)
30. Kozma, G., Nitzan, S.: Combining Riesz bases. *Invent. Math.* **199**, 267–285 (2015)

31. Lai, C.-K.: On Fourier frame of absolutely continuous measures. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261**, 2877–2889 (2011)
32. Levinson, N.: *Gap and Density Theorems*, vol. 26. Am. Math. Soc., New York (1940)
33. Linden, D., Abramson, N.M.: A generalization of the sampling theorem. *Inf. Control* **3**, 26–31 (1960)
34. Martínez-Avendaño, R.A., Rosenthal, P.: *An Introduction to Operators on the Hardy-Hilbert Space*, vol. 237. Springer, New York (2007)
35. Nitzan, S., Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii, A.: Exponential frames on unbounded sets. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **144**, 109–118 (2016)
36. Ortega-Cerdà, J., Seip, K.: Fourier frames. *Ann. Math.* **155**, 789–806 (2002)
37. Papoulis, A.: Generalized sampling expansion. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.* **24**, 652–654 (1977)
38. Philipp, F.: Bessel orbits of normal operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **448**, 767–785 (2017)
39. Rawn, M.D.: A stable nonuniform sampling expansion involving derivatives. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **35**, 1223–1227 (1989)
40. Razafinjatovo, H.N.: Iterative reconstructions in irregular sampling with derivatives. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **1**, 281–295 (1994)
41. Redheffer, R.M., Young, R.M.: Completeness and basis properties of complex exponentials. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **277**, 93–111 (1983)
42. Seip, K.: On the connection between exponential bases and certain related sequences in $\mathbb{L}^2(-\pi, \pi)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **130**, 131–160 (1995)
43. Zibulski, M., Segalescu, V., Cohen, N., Zeevi, Y.: Frame analysis of irregular periodic sampling of signals and their derivatives. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **2**, 453–471 (1995)