

# A Comparison-Estimate of Toponogov Type for Ricci Curvature\*

Xianzhe Dai<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089  
xdai@math.usc.edu

Guofang Wei<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
wei@math.ucsb.edu

## Abstract

A comparison estimate is given for small hinges in a complete manifold with a lower Ricci bound. The estimate is then used to show that a complete nonnegatively Ricci curved manifold with diameter growth  $= o(r^{1/n})$  ( $n = \dim$ ) and a positive conjugate radius is of finite topological type.

---

\*1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C20.

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant # DMS9204267 and Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship

<sup>‡</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant # DMS9409166. Both authors would like to thank MSRI for additional support and hospitality during the fall of 1993

# 1 Introduction

It seems a natural question to ask to what extent the results and tools for sectional curvature remain valid for Ricci curvature. There is rapid progress in both positive and negative directions. Toponogov Comparison Theorem has been the most powerful tool in the study of sectional curvature, underlying the proof of the Soul Theorem, the diameter sphere theorem, the uniform estimate of betti numbers and the finiteness theorems. Toponogov Comparison Theorem is also the characterizing property of lower (or upper) sectional curvature bounds, which led to generalizations of the concept of (sectional) curvature bounds to non-smooth space. Thus it could not possibly hold for Ricci curvature, which makes problems a lot harder for Ricci curvature (from a geometric point of view). It also makes the Ricci curvature very different from the sectional curvature, as one gradually comes to realize. It is interesting then that we find a comparison *estimate* of Toponogov type for Ricci curvature.

A hinge in a complete Riemannian manifold consists of two geodesic segments  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  such that  $\gamma_1(l_1) = \gamma_2(0)$ . We denote it by  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = \angle(-\gamma_1'(l_1), \gamma_2'(0))$ . We will be using the following modified version of the conjugate radius function  $\rho_c(p)$ :

$$\rho_c(p) = \sup\{\rho > 0 \mid \text{conj}(q) \geq \rho, \forall q \in B_\rho(p)\}.$$

(The reason being, unlike the case of sectional curvature bound, we need the control of the conjugate radius at nearby points as well.)

**Theorem 1.1 (Toponogov type comparison-estimate)** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda$  and  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha)$  a hinge such that*

$$L[\gamma_1], L[\gamma_2] \leq r_0,$$

*where  $4r_0 = \rho_c(\gamma_2(0))$ . (We call such a hinge small.) Let  $(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2, \alpha)$  be a hinge in the Euclidean plane with  $L[\bar{\gamma}_i] = L[\gamma_i], i = 1, 2$ . Then*

$$d(\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(l_2)) \leq e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)l^{1/2}} d(\bar{\gamma}_1(0), \bar{\gamma}_2(l_2)),$$

*where  $C$  is an explicit uniform constant depending only on  $n, \lambda$  and  $r_0$ , and  $l = \max(L[\gamma_1], L[\gamma_2])$ .*

So, within the conjugate radius, the distance can be bounded by the Euclidean one up to a uniform constant. In other words the exponential map can be bounded in terms of Ricci curvature. Thus stated, it is very closely related to [A-C].

We refer to [W] for an angle version of Toponogov comparison estimate and its applications.

Theorem 1.1 follows from the following Rauch type comparison estimate.

**Theorem 1.2 (Rauch type comparison-estimate)** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda$  and  $M_\lambda^n$  the model space of constant sectional curvature  $\lambda$ ,  $p_0 \in M$  and  $\bar{p}_0 \in M_\lambda^n$ . Let  $4r_0 = \rho_c(p_0)$  and*

$$I : T_{p_0}M \rightarrow T_{\bar{p}_0}M_\lambda^n$$

be a linear isometry. Then for any curve

$$c : [0, l] \rightarrow B_{r_0}(p_0),$$

we have

$$L(c) \leq e^{C(n,\lambda,r_0)l^{1/2}} L(\exp_{\bar{p}_0} \circ I \circ \exp_{p_0}^{-1}(c)).$$

*Remark 1.* Note that  $\exp_{p_0} : B(r_0) \subset T_{p_0}M \rightarrow B_{r_0}(p_0)$  is a local diffeomorphism. Thus the right hand side of the estimate above should be interpreted as the infimum of the lengths of the images of all the lifts of  $c$ . Thus stated, Theorem 1.2 implies that for any curve  $\bar{c} : [0, l] \rightarrow B_{r_0}(\bar{p}_0)$  and any linear isometry  $I : T_{\bar{p}_0}M_\lambda^n \rightarrow T_{p_0}M$ ,

$$L(\bar{c}) \geq e^{-C(n,\lambda,r_0)l^{1/2}} L(\exp_{p_0} \circ I \circ \exp_{\bar{p}_0}^{-1}(\bar{c})).$$

This is the direction that is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Remark 2.* One can actually take  $R^n$  as the comparison space.

Theorem 1.2 again follows from a Rauch type comparison estimate for Jacobi field. Namely it follows from the following.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda$  and  $M_\lambda^n$  the model space of constant sectional curvature  $\lambda$ . Let  $\gamma, \gamma_0 : [0, l] \rightarrow M$ ,  $M_\lambda$  be normal geodesics, and set  $T = \gamma'$ ,  $T_0 = \gamma_0'$ . Assume  $\gamma$  has no conjugate*

point in  $[-l, l]$  (with respect to  $\gamma(-l)$ ). Let  $J(t)$ ,  $J_0(t)$  be Jacobi fields along  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma_0$  such that  $J(0)$ ,  $J_0(0)$  are tangent to  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma_0$  and

$$\|J(0)\| = \|J_0(0)\|, \quad \langle T, J'(0) \rangle = \langle T_0, J'_0(0) \rangle, \quad \|J'(0)\| = \|J'_0(0)\|.$$

Then for all  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}l]$ ,

$$\|J(t)\| \leq e^{C(n,\lambda,t)^{1/2}} \|J_0(t)\|.$$

The passage from Theorem 1.3 to Theorem 1.2 and then to Theorem 1.1 is standard. It is the same as in the sectional curvature case (see [C-E] for detail). The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the recent work of R. Brocks [B1] and will be given in §3.

As an application of Theorem 1.1 we show that the sectional curvature lower bound in Abresch-Gromoll's theorem [A-G] can be replaced by a lower bound for the conjugate radius, i.e.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  outside a compact set and its conjugate radius  $r_0 > 0$ . If further the diameter growth =  $o(r^{1/n})$  then  $M$  is of finite topological type.*

This technique applies to other forms of finite topological type results [A-G, S, S-W]. In particular when we apply it to a result in [S-W, Corollary 1.2] we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  outside a compact set and  $\text{inj} \geq i_0 > 0$ . If further  $\text{vol}(B(p, r)) = o(r^{1+1/n})$  for some  $p \in M$  then  $M$  is of finite topological type.*

*Remark 1.* There are examples of complete manifolds with  $\text{Ric} > 0$  and injectivity radius bounded from below uniformly but having infinite topological type (see [S-Y] for example). So the growth condition is necessary here. But the question of finding the optimal growth condition remains.

*Remark 2.* Recently Perelman has announced an example of a complete manifold with positive Ricci curvature and constant diameter growth, but of infinite topological type. This shows that one can not simply do away with the sectional curvature lower bound in Abresch-Gromoll's theorem.

The main point in the proof of Theorem 1.4 or Theorem 1.5 is a uniform positive lower bound for the excess function at critical points, see §3.

We refer to [B2] for similar estimate for Jacobi fields.

**Acknowledgement.** We are very grateful to Uwe Abresch for informing us the work of [B1] and for very helpful discussions and comments. We also had several email conversations with Reinhard Brocks and we have benefited from that. We thank both Reinhard Brocks and the referee for pointing out an oversight in an earlier version of the paper and the referee for very constructive suggestions. Thanks are also due to K. Grove, P. Petersen, J. Sha and S. Zhu for interesting conversations.

## 2 Jacobi fields and geodesic spheres

Let  $p_0 \in M$  be a fixed point, and  $r(p) = d(p_0, p)$  the distance function from  $p_0$ . Away from the cut locus the Hessian of  $r$  is also the second fundamental form of its level surfaces, i.e. the geodesic spheres (with respect to the inward normal). We denote  $A = \text{Hess } r$ . Now let  $c(t)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq l$ ) be a *minimal* geodesic starting at  $p_0$  and  $T = c'(t)$ . If  $J(t)$  is a Jacobi field along  $c$  such that  $J(0) = 0$  and  $J'(0) \perp T$ , then

$$J(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} \exp_p t\alpha(s), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha(s)$  is a smooth curve in  $T_p M$  such that  $\alpha(0) = T$ ,  $\alpha'(0) = J'(0)$  and  $\|\alpha(s)\| = 1$ . From here one derives

$$J'(t) = A(t)J(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $A(t) = A(c(t))$ , i.e.  $AJ = \nabla_J T$ .

The Jacobi equation for  $J$  translates into a Riccati equation for  $A$ :

$$A' + A^2 + R = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $R(\cdot) = R(\cdot, T)T$ . It should also be pointed out that  $A(t)$  has a singularity at  $t = 0$ . In fact a straightforward computation in normal coordinates shows

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{t}I + B(t) \quad (2.4)$$

with  $B(t)$  smooth at  $t = 0$ .

The above discussion can actually be extended to the case when  $c$  is only assumed to have no conjugate point in  $[0, l]$  (i.e.  $c$  is only locally minimizing).

In this case  $A(t)$  has to be interpreted as the second fundamental form of the *immersed* hypersurface  $S_t = \exp_p(B_t(0))$ . But the relationship of  $A(t)$  with the distance function is no longer valid. The trick here is to pull everything back to the tangent space.

Thus, consider the exponential map  $\exp_p : T_p M \rightarrow M$ . The collection of line segments  $tT$  ( $0 \leq t \leq l$ ) in  $T_p M$  such that  $\exp_p tT$  has no conjugate points in  $[0, l]$  form an open starshaped set in  $T_p M$ . We denote it by  $\tilde{U} \subset T_p M$ . Then

$$\exp_p : \tilde{U} \rightarrow M$$

is a local diffeomorphism onto its image.

Consider  $\tilde{M}_c = \tilde{U}$  with the pull-back metric  $\tilde{g} = \exp_p^* g$ . The geodesic  $c(t)$  lifts to a geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t) = tT$  ( $0 \leq t \leq l$ ) in  $\tilde{M}_c$ . Now the main observation is that, on  $\tilde{M}_c$  the geodesic  $\tilde{c}(t)$  is indeed minimal. Thus our previous discussion on  $J(t)$ ,  $A(t)$  and the geodesic spheres continues to hold provided we do everything on  $(\tilde{M}_c, \tilde{g})$ .

### 3 A Rauch type comparison estimate for Jacobi fields

Our starting point is a very sharp lower bound estimate of the Laplacian of the distance function, due to R. Brocks.

As in the previous section we let  $p_0 \in M$  be a fixed point, and  $r(p) = d(p_0, p)$  the distance function from  $p_0$ . Also let  $c(t)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq l$ ) be a minimal geodesic starting at  $p_0$  and  $A(t) = A(c(t))$ . Define functions  $\text{ct}_\lambda(t)$ ,  $\text{sn}_\lambda(t)$  by

$$\text{ct}_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \cos \sqrt{\lambda} t}{\sin \sqrt{\lambda} t} & \lambda > 0 \\ \frac{1}{t} & \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{|\lambda|} \cosh \sqrt{|\lambda|} t}{\sinh \sqrt{|\lambda|} t} & \lambda < 0 \end{cases},$$

$$\text{sn}_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t & \lambda > 0 \\ t & \lambda = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda|}} \sinh \sqrt{|\lambda|} t & \lambda < 0 \end{cases}.$$

The following is a recent result of R. Brocks [B1].

**Theorem 3.1 (R. Brooks)** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda$ . Assume that  $c$  is actually minimal over  $-l \leq t \leq l$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_0(n, \lambda, l)$  depending continuously on  $l$  and decreasing with  $l$  such that*

$$0 \leq ct_\lambda(t) - \frac{\text{tr}A(t)}{n-1} \leq C_0(n, \lambda, l) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{l}{2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $ct_\lambda(t)$  is defined above.

*Remark .* The left hand side of (3.1) is the well-known upper bound estimate for the Laplacian of the distance function [C-G]. It holds without any restriction. For previous lower bound estimate we refer to Anderson-Cheeger [A-C].

*Proof.* We refer to [B1, Satz 5.6] for detail. For our purpose we would like to mention the main idea. The minimality of the geodesic  $c$  gives rise to the convexity of the associated excess functions at  $c$ . This enables one to convert upper bounds for the Laplacian of distance function to lower bounds for the Laplacian of distance function (with different base point). However, to obtain the optimal lower bound, one has to play with several excess functions and, is much more subtle. ■

From (3.1) we derive the following estimate for Jacobi fields (see [D-S-W, Lemma 5.2] for a similar kind of estimate).

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda$  and  $c : [-l, l] \rightarrow M$  a geodesic without conjugate point (with respect to  $c(-l)$ ). Let  $J(t)$  be a Jacobi field along  $c$  such that  $J(0) = 0$ ,  $\langle J'(0), T \rangle = 0$ , where  $T = c'(t)$ . Then we have*

$$\|J(t)\| \leq e^{C(n, \lambda, l)t^{1/2}} \text{sn}_\lambda(t) \|J'(0)\|, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{l}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $C(n, \lambda, l)$  is a constant depending only on  $n, \lambda, l$ .

*Remark .* Note that it follows from (the proof of) Myers' Theorem that  $2l \leq \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$  if  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Proof.* First we assume  $c$  has no cut point in  $[-l, l]$ . For this type of Jacobi fields we have equation (2.2). Write  $J(t) = \text{sn}_\lambda(t)U(t)$  and  $A(t) = B_\lambda(t) + ct_\lambda(t)I$ . From (2.2) (and (2.4)) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U'(t) &= B_\lambda(t)U(t) \\ U(0) &= J'(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|U(t)\|' \leq \|U'(t)\| \leq \|B_\lambda(t)\| \|U(t)\|,$$

and so

$$\|J(t)\| = \text{sn}_\lambda(t) \|U(t)\| \leq e^{\int_0^t \|B_\lambda(s)\| ds} \text{sn}_\lambda(t) \|J'(0)\|.$$

Thus estimate (3.2) follows if we show that

$$\int_0^t \|B_\lambda(s)\| ds \leq C(n, \lambda, l) t^{1/2}. \quad (3.3)$$

The Riccati equation (2.3) for  $A$  gives

$$B'_\lambda(s) + B_\lambda^2(s) + 2ct_\lambda(s)B_\lambda(s) + R - \lambda I = 0,$$

which, after taking trace, yields

$$\text{tr}B'_\lambda + \|B_\lambda\|^2 + 2ct_\lambda(s)\text{tr}B_\lambda + (\text{Ric}(T) - (n-1)\lambda) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Multiply (3.4) by  $s^{1/2}$  and integrate along  $c(t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t s^{1/2} \|B_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds &= -t^{1/2} \text{tr}B_\lambda(t) + \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} s^{-1/2} - 2s^{1/2} ct_\lambda(s) \right) \text{tr}B_\lambda(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t s^{1/2} (\text{Ric}(T) - (n-1)\lambda) ds \\ &\leq C(n, \lambda, l), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from using Theorem 3.1, i.e.  $-C_0(n, \lambda, l) \leq \text{tr}B_\lambda(t) \leq 0$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|B_\lambda(s)\| ds &\leq \left( \int_0^t s^{-1/2} ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t s^{1/2} \|B(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(n, \lambda, l) t^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.3).

In the general case, we consider the Riemannian manifold  $(\tilde{M}_c, \tilde{g})$  introduced in the previous section, with  $p = c(-l)$ . Let  $\tilde{J}(t)$  be the Jacobi field along  $\tilde{c}(t)$  such that

$$(\exp_p)_* \tilde{J}(t) = J(t).$$



Then  $\tilde{J}(0) = 0$ , and  $\tilde{J} \perp \tilde{c}'(t)$ . More importantly,  $\tilde{c}(t)$  is now a minimal geodesic. Therefore (3.2) holds for  $\tilde{J}(t)$  since  $\exp_p$  is a local isometry. Consequently (3.2) holds for  $J(t)$  as well. ■

It should be pointed out that there is some subtlety in the last part of the proof since our manifold  $\tilde{M}_c$  is not complete. However, in applying Brock's estimate, all we need is that any two points can be connected by a smooth geodesic, and we need this only for points in a small neighborhood of  $\tilde{c}(t)$ . It is not hard to see that such a neighborhood can be chosen so that any pair of points in it can be connected by a smooth geodesic lying in  $\tilde{M}_c$ .

Now we are ready to proof Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We first assume that  $J, J_0$  are perpendicular to  $T, T_0$  and  $J(0) = J_0(0) = 0$ . Since  $R_0 = \lambda I$  for  $M_\lambda^n$ , it is easy to see that

$$J_0(t) = \text{sn}_\lambda(t) J'_0(0).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(t)\| &\leq e^{C(n,\lambda,l)t^{1/2}} \text{sn}_\lambda(t) \|J'(0)\| \\ &= e^{C(n,\lambda,l)t^{1/2}} \|J_0(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case let

$$J(t) = J^T(t) + J^\perp(t),$$

where  $\langle J^\perp(t), T \rangle = 0$  and  $J^\perp(0) = 0$ ,  $J^T(t) = (\langle J(0), T \rangle + \langle J'(0), T \rangle t) T$ . Decompose  $J_0(t)$  similarly. Then

$$\|J^\perp(t)\| \leq e^{C(n,\lambda,l)t^{1/2}} \|J_0^\perp(t)\|$$

as above and  $\|J^T(t)\| = \|J_0^T(t)\|$ . Hence

$$\|J(t)\| \leq e^{C(n,\lambda,l)t^{1/2}} \|J_0(t)\|. \quad \blacksquare$$

## 4 A uniform lower bound for the excess function at critical points

For  $p_0, p_1 \in M$ , the excess function  $e_{p_0, p_1} : M^n \rightarrow R$  is defined by

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) = d(p_0, p) + d(p_1, p) - d(p_0, p_1).$$

It measures the “excess” in the triangle inequality. In [A-G] Abresch-Gromoll proved a beautiful and very important inequality for this function.

**Theorem 4.1 (Abresch-Gromoll)** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $Ric \geq 0$ . Then*

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \leq 4\left(\frac{h^n}{s}\right)^{1/(n-1)},$$

where  $s = \min(d(p_0, p), d(p_1, p))$  and  $h = \min_{\gamma, t} d(p, \gamma(t))$ , where  $\gamma$  is a minimal segment from  $p_0$  to  $p_1$ .

*Remark.* The more general version of Abresch-Gromoll’s inequality assumes only a Ricci lower bound.

**Corollary 4.2** *Assume further that the diameter growth of  $M = o(r^{1/n})$ . Then*

$$e_{p_0, \infty}(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that the excess function is “monotonic” in the following sense. If  $p'_0, p'_1$  are any two points lying on a minimal geodesic connecting  $p_0, p$  and  $p_1, p$  respectively, then

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \geq e_{p'_0, p'_1}(p). \quad (4.1)$$

This is an easy consequence of the triangle inequality.

We now have

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete manifold with  $Ric \geq (n - 1)\lambda$ . For any  $p_0 \in M$  if  $p$  is a critical point for the distance function  $d(p_0, p)$  and  $4r_0 = \rho_c(p)$ , then for any  $p_1 \in M$  and  $0 < \rho \leq \min\{d(p_0, p), d(p_1, p), r_0\}$ ,*

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \geq [2 - \sqrt{2}e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)\rho^{1/2}}]\rho.$$

*In particular, if the conjugate radius of  $M \geq 2r_0 > 0$ , then there exists  $e_0 = e_0(n, \lambda, r_0) > 0$  such that*

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \geq e_0 \quad (4.2)$$

*whenever  $p$  is a critical point of  $p_0$  and  $d(p_1, p) \geq r_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma_1$  be a minimal geodesic from  $p$  to  $p_1$ . Since  $p$  is a critical point of  $p_0$ , there exists a minimal geodesic  $\gamma_2$  from  $p$  to  $p_0$  such that  $\angle(\gamma_1'(0), \gamma_2'(0)) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Take  $\rho$  as in the Lemma and let  $p'_0 = \gamma_2(\rho)$ ,  $p'_1 = \gamma_1(\rho)$ . Then by the monotonicity (4.1)

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \geq e_{p'_0, p'_1}(p) = 2\rho - d(p'_0, p'_1). \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand by Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} d(p'_0, p'_1) &\leq e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)\rho^{1/2}} d(\bar{p}'_0, \bar{p}'_1) \\ &= e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)\rho^{1/2}} \sqrt{2}\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$e_{p_0, p_1}(p) \geq [2 - \sqrt{2}e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)\rho^{1/2}}]\rho. \quad (4.5)$$

In particular, if we take  $\rho$  such that  $e^{C(n, \lambda, r_0)\rho^{1/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$  (i.e.  $\rho = (\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{3}{2})^2 \frac{1}{C(n, \lambda, r_0)^2}$ ) in (4.5), equation (4.5) gives (4.2). ■

Theorem 1.4 now follow from Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 (Cf. [A-G] for assuming  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$  only outside a compact set).

After hearing our result, S. Zhu has found a different proof of Lemma 4.3 using the rescaling argument in [A-C] under a lower injective radius bound.

## References

- [A-G] Abresch, U., Gromoll, D.: *On complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature.* Journal of A. M. S. 3, 355-374 (1990)
- [A-C] Anderson, M., Cheeger, J.:  *$C^\alpha$ -compactness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded below.* J. Diff. Geom. 35, 265-281 (1992)
- [B1] Brocks, R.: *Abstandsfunktion, Riccikrümmung und injektivitätsradius.* Diplomarbeit, University of Münster, 1993
- [B2] Brocks, R.: *Convexity and Ricci curvature.* preprint, 1994
- [C-E] Cheeger, J., Ebin, D.: *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry.* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975

- [C-G] Cheeger, J., Gromoll, D.: *The splitting theorem for manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature.* J. Differential Geom. 6, 119-129 (1971)
- [D-S-W] Dai, X., Shen, Z., Wei, G.: *Negative Ricci curvature and isometry group.* to appear in Duke Math J. (1994)
- [S-Y] Sha, J.P., Yang, D.Y.: *Examples of metrics of positive Ricci curvature.* J. Differential Geom. 29, 95-103 (1989)
- [S] Shen, Z.: *Finiteness and vanishing theorems for complete open riemannian manifolds.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soci. 21, 241-244 (1989)
- [S-W] Shen, Z., Wei, G.: *Volume growth and finite topological type.* Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. 54, 539-549 (1993)
- [W] Wei, G.: *Ricci curvature and betti numbers.* preprint, 1994