

MATH 225A: LECTURE 2  
SEPTEMBER 28, 2021  
SCRIBE: DANIEL APSLEY

In this lecture, we conclude our discussion of integrality to properly introduce the notion of a ring of integers in an arbitrary number field. We then explore several examples and state a theorem classifying rings of integers in certain quadratic fields.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $x \in S \supseteq R$ . Then  $x$  is integral over  $R$  iff there exists a subring  $Q$  of  $S$  such that  $R[x] \subseteq Q \subseteq S$  and  $Q$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module.*

Proof: If  $x$  is integral over  $R$ , then  $R[x]$  is finitely generated over  $R$  by Definition 1.8. Taking  $Q = R[x]$  then yields one direction of the proposition.

Conversely, suppose  $R[x] \subseteq Q \subseteq S$  where  $Q = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle_R$  as an  $R$ -module. Using these generators, we can then express

$$(\dagger) \quad xy_i = \sum_j a_{ij}y_j$$

for each  $i$  and for  $a_{ij} \in R$ . Let  $(a_{ij})$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix formed by these coefficients and consider  $A = xI_n - (a_{ij})$ . We write  $d = \det(A)$ . Take  $A^*$  to be the **adjoint** of  $A$ , so that  $AA^* = dI_n$ . Then, if  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , equation  $(\dagger)$  tells us that  $yA = 0$  so that in particular,  $yAA^* = 0$ . Hence,  $y_id = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Since  $1 \in Q$ , we may write  $1 = \sum_j b_j y_j$  with  $b_j \in R$ . Multiplying through by  $d$  yields

$$d = \sum_j b_j(yd) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\det(TI_n - (a_{ij}))$  is a monic polynomial in  $R$  which has  $x$  as a root.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$  where  $R \subseteq S$  is a subring so that each  $x_i$  is integral over  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$ . Then  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.*

Proof: We proceed by induction. Since  $x_1$  is integral over  $R$ , we know that  $R[x_1]$  is finitely generated over  $R$ . Then, if  $B = R[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $x_i$  is integral over  $B$ , it follows that  $B[x_i]$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module. We let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  generate  $B$  as an  $R$ -module and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  generate  $B[x_i]$  as a  $B$ -module. Then, to conclude it suffices to show that  $B[x_i]$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -module.

We claim that  $f_1 g_1, \dots, f_n g_m$  generate  $B[x_i]$ . If  $y \in B[x_i]$ , we may write  $y = \sum_i a_i g_i$  for  $a_i \in B$ . Since  $a_i \in B$ , we can express  $a_i = \sum_j b_{ij} f_j$  for  $b_{ij} \in R$ . With these two equations in mind, we may write  $y = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} f_j g_i$ . This proves the claim, and hence the proposition.

**Corollary 1.13.** Let  $x, y \in S$  with  $R \subseteq S$  a subring and  $x, y$  are integral over  $R$ . Then,  $xy$  and  $x \pm y$  are integral over  $R$ .

Proof: Since  $R[xy] \subseteq R[x, y]$  and  $R[x \pm y] \subseteq R[x, y]$ , proposition 1.11 implies that it suffices to show that  $R[x, y]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

We then note that  $x$  and  $y$  are integral over  $R$  so that  $y$  is integral over  $R[x]$ . Proposition 1.12 now implies that  $R[x, y]$  is finitely generated over  $R$ .

**Remark 1.14.** When  $R$  is Noetherian, the situation is simple, as the following example illustrates.

**Example 1.15.** Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$  are finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Then,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$  is a Noetherian

$\mathbb{Z}$ -module. The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha \pm \beta]$  are then finitely generated by the Noetherian condition.  $\triangle$

**Definition 1.16.** Suppose  $R \subseteq S$  are is a subring. By the corollary,  $\{x \in S \mid x \text{ is integral over } R\}$  is a ring, called the *Integral Closure* of  $R$ .

**Definition 1.17.** Suppose  $R$  is an integral domain with field of fractions  $K$ . We say that  $R$  is *integrally closed* if it coincides with its integral closure over  $K$ .

**Note.** Any  $r \in R$  is integral over  $R$  since it is a zero of  $f(T) = T - r$ , which is monic.

**Example 1.18.** (i) Let  $R$  be a PID with fraction field  $K$ . Then,  $R$  is integrally closed.

Suppose  $x = c/d \in K \setminus \{0\}$  is integral over  $R$ . Reducing the fraction as necessary, assume  $(c, d) = 1$ . Since  $x$  is integral over  $R$ , it satisfies

$$x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$$

for some  $a_i \in R$ . Clearing the denominators yields  $c^n + a_{n-1}c^{n-1}d + \cdots + a_0d^n = 0$  so that  $c^n = d(a_{n-1}c^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0d^{n-1})$ . Since  $(c, d) = 1$  and  $d$  divides  $c^n$ ,  $d$  must be a unit in  $R$  so that  $x = c/d \in R$ .

(ii) If  $R$  is a field, then  $x$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $x$  is algebraic over  $R$ .

$\triangle$

**Definition 1.19.** An (algebraic) *number field* is a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 1.20.** Let  $K$  be a number field. The *ring of algebraic integers* in  $K$  is the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K$ , denoted  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Examples: The ring of algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID.

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is the ring of integers in the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  since  $i$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $\omega^3 = 1$  is a third root of unity, then  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ .

Below we list some numbers as well as whether or not they are Algebraic or Integral.

	Algebraic	Integral
$\sqrt[n]{m}$	✓	✓
$1/7$	✓	×
$\pi$	×	×
$1/\sqrt{2}$	✓	×
$\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$	✓	✓

It may be surprise the reader that  $(1+i)/\sqrt{2}$  is integral. It is in fact a root of the equation  $p(T) = T^4 + 1$ . To see this, we observe that

$$\left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 = \frac{(1+i)^2}{2} = \frac{1+2i-1}{2} = i.$$

We now state an important lemma without proof as it is a standard result covered in a graduate algebra sequence.

**Gauss Lemma.** Let  $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . If  $f$  factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ , then it factors in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ .

We will only include part of the proof of the following theorem. The rest is proven in Lecture 3.

**Theorem 1.21.** *Let  $d \neq 1$  be a squarefree integer. Then, the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  is given by  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  if  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . If  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then it is  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right]$*

Proof: Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This implies the existence of a monic polynomial  $p(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$  which has  $\alpha$  as a root. If  $m(T)$  denotes the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then it divides  $p(T)$  so we may write  $p(T) = m(T)q(T)$  for some  $q(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ . By Gauss' Lemma, we may assume  $m(T)$  and  $q(T)$  have integer coefficients.

If  $m_0$  and  $q_0$  are the leading coefficients of  $m(T)$  and  $q(T)$ , then their product is the leading coefficient of  $p(T)$ . Since  $p(T)$  is monic it follows that  $m_0q_0 = 1$ . Since these coefficients are integers, it must be the case that  $m_0 = q_0 = 1$ , so that  $m(T)$  is monic. Moreover, since  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}$  is quadratic, we know that the degree of  $m(T)$  is 1 or 2. When the degree is 1,  $\alpha$  is an integer so we may assume that the degree of  $m(T)$  is 2.

Hence,  $\alpha$  is a root of the equation

$$T^2 + aT + b$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In the next lecture, we will use this equation to prove the theorem by cases, depending on the residue of  $d$  modulo 4.