

**MATH 225A: LECTURE 12**  
**NOVEMBER 2, 2021**  
**SCRIBE: VIR PATHAK**

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $H$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $H$  is generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $r$  vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  which are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\mathbf{e} = e_1, \dots, e_r$  in  $H$  with  $e_1, \dots, e_r$   $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent and with  $r$  maximal. Set

$$\wp_{\mathbf{e}} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i e_i \mid \alpha_i \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

The set  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}}$  is called the fundamental parallelogram of  $H$  with respect to the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ . We can immediately see that  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}}$  is compact because it is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Take an  $x \in H$ . Then we can write  $x$  in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i e_i$$

for  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $x$  cannot be written in this form, we can add  $x$  to  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ , contradicting the maximality of  $r$ .

Now for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  set

$$x_j := jx - \sum_{i=1}^r [\lambda_i j] e_i$$

1

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the integer part of a real number. From the above two equations, we have

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i j - [\lambda_i j]) e_i$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that  $x_j \in \wp_{\mathbf{e}}$ . Moreover, we also see that  $x_j \in H$  from the definition of  $x_j$ . So  $x_j \in \wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap H$ .

Now we use the discreteness of  $H$ . Namely, recall that this means  $H \cap \wp_{\mathbf{e}}$  is finite since  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}}$  is compact. Now consider  $j = 1$ . By our definition of  $x_1$ , we have

$$x = x_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r [\lambda_i] e_i$$

so  $x$  is in the  $\mathbb{Z}$  span of a finite set. This tells us  $H$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Next by the finiteness of  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap H$ , we can find  $j \neq k$  such that  $x_j = x_k$ . Rearranging and expanding the equation  $x_j = x_k$ , we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i (j - k) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^r ([j \lambda_i] - [k \lambda_i]) e_i.$$

Since the  $\{e_i\}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent, we must have

$$\lambda_i (j - k) = [j \lambda_i] - [k \lambda_i].$$

So we must have each  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Now let  $x$  be any element in  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap H$  with  $x = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i e_i$ . Then by our work so far, we know that  $x = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i e_i$  where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for each  $i$ . Now let

$$d = \text{LCM}(\text{denom}(\lambda_i)).$$

Then for any  $x \in H$ , we know

$$x = x_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r [\lambda_i] e_i \in \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} e_i.$$

So we may conclude that

$$H \subseteq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} e_i.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} e_i \subseteq H \subseteq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z} e_i.$$

Therefore we must have that  $H$  is finitely generated of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  on some linear combination of the vectors  $\{\frac{1}{d}e_i\}$ . This basis is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$  as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 5.5.** *With the above notation, call  $H$  a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if  $r = n$ .*

**Definition 5.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$  basis of  $H$ . Define*

$$\text{Vol}(H) := \text{Vol}(\wp_{\mathbf{e}}).$$

*Note that a change of basis  $\mathbf{e} \mapsto \mathbf{f}$  corresponds to a change of basis matrix in  $GL_r(\mathbb{Z})$ . So  $\text{Vol}(\wp_{\mathbf{e}}) = \text{Vol}(\wp_{\mathbf{f}})$  since this matrix has a determinant whose absolute value is 1.*

**Theorem 5.7.** *(Minkowski)*

*Let  $H$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^n$  lattice, and let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a measurable set with  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) > \text{Vol}(H)$ . Then there exists  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $x - y \in H \setminus \{0\}$  (i.e  $x \neq y$ ).*

*Proof.* By the previous theorem, we know there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}$  basis  $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $H$ . Notice that we can write  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the form

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{h \in H} (\wp_{\mathbf{e}} + h).$$

So we must have

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{h \in H} [(h + \wp_{\mathbf{e}}) \cap \mathcal{S}].$$

Note that for  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ , we have that  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}} + h_1 \cap \wp_{\mathbf{e}} + h_2$  has measure zero.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) &= \sum_{h \in H} \text{Vol}((h + \wp_{\mathbf{e}}) \cap \mathcal{S}) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} \text{Vol}(\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap (\mathcal{S} - h)). \end{aligned}$$

The above equality holds because volumes are translation invariant.

Next, suppose for a contradiction that all sets  $\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap (\mathcal{S} - h)$  are disjoint.

Then we see that

$$\text{Vol}(\wp_{\mathbf{e}}) \geq \text{Vol} \sum_{h \in H} (\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap (\mathcal{S} - h)) = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}).$$

But this implies  $\text{Vol}(H) \geq \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S})$  which contradicts our hypothesis. So the sets

$$\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap (\mathcal{S} - h)$$

are not disjoint. Therefore we can find  $h \neq h'$  such that

$$\wp_{\mathbf{e}} \cap (\mathcal{S} - h) \cap (\mathcal{S} - h') \neq \emptyset.$$

So we must have that there exists  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $x - h = y - h'$ . This implies  $x - y = h - h' \neq 0$  (since  $h \neq h'$ ). We conclude that  $x - y \neq 0 \in H$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 5.8.** We say that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is symmetric if  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  implies that  $-x \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 5.9.** We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is convex if for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in \mathcal{S}.$$

**Corollary 5.10.** (Blichfeldt)

Let  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a symmetric, convex, measurable subset, and suppose  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) > 2^n \text{Vol}(H)$  (where  $H$  is as before). Then  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a nonzero point of  $H$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\mathcal{S}' = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{S}$ . Then

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}') = \frac{1}{2^n} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) > \text{Vol}(H).$$

So by Minkowski's theorem, we know there exists  $y, z \in \mathcal{S}'$  such that

$$x := y - z = \frac{1}{2}(2y) + \frac{1}{2}(-2z) \in H \setminus \{0\}.$$

Next, note that  $2y \in \mathcal{S}$  by definition, as is  $2z$  so we have  $-2z \in \mathcal{S}$  by symmetry. By convexity, we conclude that  $x \in \mathcal{S}$ . We see that  $x \in (\mathcal{S} \cap H) \setminus \{0\}$  as required.  $\square$

**Embellishment 5.11.** With the above notation, suppose further that  $\mathcal{S}$  is compact, but that  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) \geq 2^n \text{Vol}(H)$ . Then we can still find a nonzero element in  $\mathcal{S} \cap H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is compact, it is closed and therefore complete. Now for each positive integer  $k$ , consider

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)\mathcal{S}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}_k) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^n \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}) > 2^n \text{Vol}(H).$$

So as before, we may find

$$x_k \in (\mathcal{S}_k \cap H) \setminus \{0\}.$$

We see that  $x_k \in (2\mathcal{S}) \cap H$  and this set is finite by the discreteness of  $H$ . Therefore there exists an  $x_m$  such that

$$x_m \in \bigcap_k \mathcal{S}_k.$$

Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed and  $\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_k \mathcal{S}_k$ , we see that  $x_m \in (\mathcal{S} \cap H) \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

Now we introduce notation that will be used in the rest of the chapter. Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a given number field and let

$$\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$$

be distinct embedding of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Let  $s$  be the number of real embeddings (so  $\sigma_i(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ). Moreover, let  $2t$  be the number of complex embeddings (so  $\sigma_i(K) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .) Henceforth we suppose that  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$  are real and the set

$$\{\sigma_{s+1}, \dots, \sigma_{s+t}, \sigma_{s+t+1}, \dots, \sigma_{s+2t}\}$$

is such that  $\overline{\sigma_{s+j}} = \sigma_{s+j+t}$  where  $\overline{\sigma_{s+j}}$  denotes (complex conjugation)  $\circ \sigma_{s+j}$ .

Define the fundamental embedding

$$\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$$

given by

$$x \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{s+t} x^{\sigma_i}$$

### Note

$\sigma$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras. Often we will identify  $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as vector spaces (but not rings).

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $M \subset K$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  with basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then  $\sigma(M)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -lattice with*

$$\text{Vol}(\sigma(M)) = 2^{-t} |\det(x_i^{\sigma_j})|.$$