

Variational Formulation of Elliptic PDEs

Paul J. Atzberger

206D: Finite Element Methods
University of California Santa Barbara

Definition

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$ we define $b(u, v) = \sum_k w_k a_k b_k.$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$ we define $b(u, v) = \sum_k w_k a_k b_k$.
When $w_k > 0$ and ϕ_k are linearly independent this is an inner-product.

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$ we define $b(u, v) = \sum_k w_k a_k b_k$.
When $w_k > 0$ and ϕ_k are linearly independent this is an inner-product.
- ii $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$ we define $b(u, v) = \sum_k w_k a_k b_k$.
When $w_k > 0$ and ϕ_k are linearly independent this is an inner-product.
- ii $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- iii $\mathcal{V} = W_2^k(\Omega)$ with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

Definition

A **bilinear form** $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping $b : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a linear space \mathcal{V} so that the following holds:

- i b is linear in both components, so $L_v[w] = b(v, w)$ and $L_w[v] = b(v, w)$ are both linear maps.
- ii b is symmetric so $b(v, w) = b(w, v)$

An **inner-product** is a symmetric bilinear form with the additional properties

- iii $b(v, v) \geq 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$
- iv $b(v, v) = 0, \iff v \equiv 0.$

Examples:

- i $\mathcal{V} = \{w | w(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \phi_k(x)\}$ where $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \phi_k, v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_k$ we define $b(u, v) = \sum_k w_k a_k b_k$.
When $w_k > 0$ and ϕ_k are linearly independent this is an inner-product.
- ii $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- iii $\mathcal{V} = W_2^k(\Omega)$ with $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $(u, v)_m = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\partial^\alpha u, \partial^\alpha v)_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Definition

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof:

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof:

Since $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive we have $a(v, v) = 0 \rightarrow v \equiv 0$,

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof:

Since $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive we have $a(v, v) = 0 \rightarrow v \equiv 0$, so a is an inner-product and $\|v\|_E = \sqrt{a(v, v)}$ is a norm.

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof:

Since $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive we have $a(v, v) = 0 \rightarrow v \equiv 0$, so a is an inner-product and $\|v\|_E = \sqrt{a(v, v)}$ is a norm. We just need to show completeness.

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$,

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_H)$. By completeness of \mathcal{H} there exists a $v \in \mathcal{H}$ so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$.

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|)$. By completeness of \mathcal{H} there exists a $v \in \mathcal{H}$ so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$. Since \mathcal{V} is closed in \mathcal{H} by def. of a subspace we have $v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_H)$. By completeness of \mathcal{H} there exists a $v \in \mathcal{H}$ so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$. Since \mathcal{V} is closed in \mathcal{H} by def. of a subspace we have $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Now $\|v - v_k\|_E \leq c \|v - v_k\|_H$ since a is bounded,

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_H)$. By completeness of \mathcal{H} there exists a $v \in \mathcal{H}$ so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$. Since \mathcal{V} is closed in \mathcal{H} by def. of a subspace we have $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Now $\|v - v_k\|_E \leq c \|v - v_k\|_H$ since a is bounded, so v_k converges to v in $\|\cdot\|_E$ showing \mathcal{V} is complete.

Variational Formulation

Definition

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **bounded** if there exists $C < \infty$ so that

$$|a(v, w)| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Since a is linear this is equivalent to being **continuous**.

A bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is **coercive** on $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an α so that

$$a(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Lemma

Consider $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a linear subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If a is continuous on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} then the space $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof (continued):

Suppose $\{v_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\mathcal{V}, \|\cdot\|_E)$, then by coercivity $\{v_k\}$ is also Cauchy in $(\mathcal{H}, \|\cdot\|_H)$. By completeness of \mathcal{H} there exists a $v \in \mathcal{H}$ so $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\|\cdot\|_H$. Since \mathcal{V} is closed in \mathcal{H} by def. of a subspace we have $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Now $\|v - v_k\|_E \leq c \|v - v_k\|_H$ since a is bounded, so v_k converges to v in $\|\cdot\|_E$ showing \mathcal{V} is complete. ■

Definition

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

- i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

- i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

- ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

iv $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric bilinear form that is bounded on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

iv $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric bilinear form that is bounded on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

Definition

A **symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (*)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

iv $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric bilinear form that is bounded on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Variational Formulation

Symmetric Variational Problem

Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (*)$$

- ii. $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ Hilbert space, iii. \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,
- iv. a symmetric, bounded on \mathcal{H} , coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Proof:

Symmetric Variational Problem

Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (*)$$

- ii. $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ Hilbert space, iii. \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,
- iv. a symmetric, bounded on \mathcal{H} , coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Proof:

The conditions ensure that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an inner-product on \mathcal{V} and that $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space.

Variational Formulation

Symmetric Variational Problem

Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (*)$$

- ii. $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ Hilbert space, iii. \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,
- iv. a symmetric, bounded on \mathcal{H} , coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Proof:

The conditions ensure that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an inner-product on \mathcal{V} and that $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space. By Riesz Representation Theorem, all bounded linear functionals have representative u in the inner-product.

Symmetric Variational Problem

Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (*)$$

- ii. $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ Hilbert space, iii. \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,
- iv. a symmetric, bounded on \mathcal{H} , coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Proof:

The conditions ensure that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an inner-product on \mathcal{V} and that $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space. By Riesz Representation Theorem, all bounded linear functionals have representative u in the inner-product.

This implies there exists u satisfying $(*)$.

Symmetric Variational Problem

Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (*)$$

- ii. $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ Hilbert space, iii. \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,
- iv. a symmetric, bounded on \mathcal{H} , coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Theorem

For the variational problem $(*)$, if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{V}$ solving $(*)$.

Proof:

The conditions ensure that $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an inner-product on \mathcal{V} and that $(\mathcal{V}, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space. By Riesz Representation Theorem, all bounded linear functionals have representative u in the inner-product.

This implies there exists u satisfying $(*)$. ■

Definition

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

For the Ritz-Galerkin approximation problem (**), if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ solving (**).

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

For the Ritz-Galerkin approximation problem (**), if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ solving (**).

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

For the Ritz-Galerkin approximation problem (**), if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ solving (**).

Proof:

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

For the Ritz-Galerkin approximation problem (**), if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ solving (**).

Proof:

This follows since $(\mathcal{V}_h, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is also a Hilbert space and we can again invoke the Riesz Representation Theorem to obtain representative u_h that satisfies (**).

Definition

The **Ritz-Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (**)$$

Theorem

For the Ritz-Galerkin approximation problem (**), if the conditions ii-iv hold then there exists a unique solution $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ solving (**).

Proof:

This follows since $(\mathcal{V}_h, a(\cdot, \cdot))$ is also a Hilbert space and we can again invoke the Riesz Representation Theorem to obtain representative u_h that satisfies (**). ■

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Consider

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Consider

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V} \qquad a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h$$

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Consider

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V} \qquad a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h$$

Subtracting the equations we have

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Consider

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h$$

Subtracting the equations we have

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Lemma (Galerkin Orthogonality):

Let u be solution of (*) and u_h the solution of (**), then the following orthogonality condition holds

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Proof:

Consider

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h$$

Subtracting the equations we have

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_h. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma:

Lemma:

The solution of (**) for $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ satisfies $\|u - u_h\|_E = \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_E$.

Lemma:

The solution of (**) for $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ satisfies $\|u - u_h\|_E = \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_E$.

Lemma (Rayleigh-Ritz Method):

Variational Formulation

Lemma:

The solution of (**) for $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ satisfies $\|u - u_h\|_E = \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_E$.

Lemma (Rayleigh-Ritz Method):

For the symmetric variational problem (**) the u_h minimizes the quadratic energy functional over all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$ given by

Lemma:

The solution of (**) for $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ satisfies $\|u - u_h\|_E = \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_E$.

Lemma (Rayleigh-Ritz Method):

For the symmetric variational problem (**) the u_h minimizes the quadratic energy functional over all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$ given by

$$E[v] = a(v, v) - 2F[v].$$

Definition

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

- i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (***)$$

where

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

- i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (***)$$

where

- ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

- i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (***)$$

where

- ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,
- iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (***)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

iv $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form (not-necessarily symmetric)

Definition

A **non-symmetric variational problem** satisfies the following

i Given $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, find u satisfying

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (***)$$

where

ii $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space,

iii \mathcal{V} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} ,

iv $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form (not-necessarily symmetric)

v a is bounded on \mathcal{H} and coercive on \mathcal{V} .

Definition

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Example:

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Example:

Consider PDE

$$-u'' + u' + u = f, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad u'(0) = u'(1) = 0.$$

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Example:

Consider PDE

$$-u'' + u' + u = f, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad u'(0) = u'(1) = 0.$$

A weak formulation is on $\mathcal{V} = H^1([0, 1])$, $F[v] = (f, v)$, with

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Example:

Consider PDE

$$-u'' + u' + u = f, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad u'(0) = u'(1) = 0.$$

A weak formulation is on $\mathcal{V} = H^1([0, 1])$, $F[v] = (f, v)$, with

$$a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u'v' + u'v + uv dx,$$

Definition

The **Galerkin Approximation** is based on a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$. The problem is to find $u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h$ so that

$$a(u_h, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \quad (***)$$

We ideally would like to know the following

- 1 Does a solution exist? Is the solution unique?
- 2 What error estimates hold for u_h in approximating u ?
- 3 What conditions might result in non-symmetric bilinear forms?

Example:

Consider PDE

$$-u'' + u' + u = f, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad u'(0) = u'(1) = 0.$$

A weak formulation is on $\mathcal{V} = H^1([0, 1])$, $F[v] = (f, v)$, with

$$a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u'v' + u'v + uv dx, \text{ which is not symmetric given } u'v.$$

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

A **fixed point** u of T is any function satisfying

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

A **fixed point** u of T is any function satisfying

$$u = Tu.$$

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

A **fixed point** u of T is any function satisfying

$$u = Tu.$$

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

Variational Formulation

Definition (Contraction Mapping)

A **contraction map** is any mapping T on a function space \mathcal{V} that satisfies for some $M < 1$

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

A **fixed point** u of T is any function satisfying

$$u = Tu.$$

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence.

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

where $0 \leq M < 1$.

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

where $0 \leq M < 1$. By the fix-point property

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

where $0 \leq M < 1$. By the fix-point property

$$\|v_1 - v_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

where $0 \leq M < 1$. By the fix-point property

$$\|v_1 - v_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

This implies $\|v_1 - v_2\| = 0 \rightarrow v_1 = v_2$.

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof:

We show uniqueness first, then existence. Suppose $Tv_1 = v_1$ and $Tv_2 = v_2$, then by the contraction principle

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|$$

where $0 \leq M < 1$. By the fix-point property

$$\|v_1 - v_2\| \leq M\|v_1 - v_2\|.$$

This implies $\|v_1 - v_2\| = 0 \rightarrow v_1 = v_2$.

We next show existence.

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\|$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1}$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\|$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\| \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|Tv_0 - v_0\|.$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\| \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|Tv_0 - v_0\|.$$

This shows $\{v_k\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence and by completeness we have there exists $v^* \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\| \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|Tv_0 - v_0\|.$$

This shows $\{v_k\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence and by completeness we have there exists $v^* \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$v^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tv_n = T \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \right) = Tv^*.$$

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\| \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|Tv_0 - v_0\|.$$

This shows $\{v_k\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence and by completeness we have there exists $v^* \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$v^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tv_n = T \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \right) = Tv^*.$$

This establishes existence of a fixed point for T .

Variational Formulation

Lemma (Fix Point Theorem)

If T is a contraction map on a Banach space \mathcal{V} then there exists a unique fixed point u satisfying $u = Tu$.

Proof (continued):

For a given $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ define the generated sequence as $v_{k+1} = Tv_k$. This satisfies

$$\|v_k - v_{k-1}\| \leq M^{k-1} \|v_1 - v_0\|.$$

For any $N > n$ we have

$$\|v_N - v_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N v_k - v_{k-1} \right\| \leq \|v_1 - v_0\| \sum_{k=n+1}^N M^{k-1} \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|v_1 - v_0\| \leq \frac{M^n}{1-M} \|Tv_0 - v_0\|.$$

This shows $\{v_k\}$ forms a Cauchy sequence and by completeness we have there exists $v^* \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$v^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tv_n = T \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \right) = Tv^*.$$

This establishes existence of a fixed point for T . ■

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Significance: This establishes for variational problems the **existence** and **uniqueness** of the solution u .

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Significance: This establishes for variational problems the **existence** and **uniqueness** of the solution u .

Implications: Also shows for the Galerkin approximations for the finite-dimensional problems the existence and uniqueness of solution u_h .

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v], \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v]$, $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

We show $\tau Au = \tau F$ in \mathcal{V} , which implies solution to the variational problem holds.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v], \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

We show $\tau Au = \tau F$ in \mathcal{V} , which implies solution to the variational problem holds.

We do this using a contraction mapping principle for $T[v] := v - \rho(\tau Av - \tau F)$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v], \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

We show $\tau Au = \tau F$ in \mathcal{V} , which implies solution to the variational problem holds.

We do this using a contraction mapping principle for $T[v] := v - \rho(\tau Av - \tau F)$. The fixed point theorem yields $Tu = u - \rho(\tau Au - \tau F) = u$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v], \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

We show $\tau Au = \tau F$ in \mathcal{V} , which implies solution to the variational problem holds.

We do this using a contraction mapping principle for $T[v] := v - \rho(\tau Av - \tau F)$. The fixed point theorem yields $Tu = u - \rho(\tau Au - \tau F) = u$. This implies $\tau Au - \tau F = 0$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof:

Define the operator Au which has action on a function $v \in \mathcal{V}$ as $Au[v] = a(u, v)$.

Properties of a imply Au is linear, bounded, and has norm $\|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}'} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$, so $Au \in \mathcal{V}'$.

Riesz Representation Theorem implies $\phi \in \mathcal{V}'$ there exists $\tau\phi \in \mathcal{V}$ so that $\phi[v] = (\tau\phi, v)$.

The variational problem requires u such that $Au[v] = F[v], \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$.

We show $\tau Au = \tau F$ in \mathcal{V} , which implies solution to the variational problem holds.

We do this using a contraction mapping principle for $T[v] := v - \rho(\tau Av - \tau F)$. The fixed point theorem yields $Tu = u - \rho(\tau Au - \tau F) = u$. This implies $\tau Au - \tau F = 0$.

We now show that such a $\rho \neq 0$ exists making T a contraction map.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 = \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho(\tau Av, v) + \rho^2\|\tau Av\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho(\tau Av, v) + \rho^2\|\tau Av\|^2 \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho Av[v] + \rho^2 Av[\tau Av], \quad (\text{definition of } \tau), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho(\tau Av, v) + \rho^2\|\tau Av\|^2 \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho Av[v] + \rho^2 Av[\tau Av], \quad (\text{definition of } \tau), \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho a(v, v) + \rho^2 a(v, \tau Av), \quad (\text{definition of } A), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho(\tau Av, v) + \rho^2\|\tau Av\|^2 \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho Av[v] + \rho^2 Av[\tau Av], \quad (\text{definition of } \tau), \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho a(v, v) + \rho^2 a(v, \tau Av), \quad (\text{definition of } A), \\ &\leq \|v\|^2 - 2\rho\|v\|^2 + \rho^2 C\|v\|\|\tau Av\|, \quad (\text{cocercivity and continuity of } A), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, let $v = v_1 - v_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &= \|v_1 - v_2 - \rho(\tau Av_1 - \tau Av_2)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - \rho(\tau Av)\|^2, \quad (\tau, A \text{ are linear}) \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho(\tau Av, v) + \rho^2\|\tau Av\|^2 \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho Av[v] + \rho^2 Av[\tau Av], \quad (\text{definition of } \tau), \\ &= \|v\|^2 - 2\rho a(v, v) + \rho^2 a(v, \tau Av), \quad (\text{definition of } A), \\ &\leq \|v\|^2 - 2\rho\|v\|^2 + \rho^2 C\|v\|\|\tau Av\|, \quad (\text{cocercivity and continuity of } A), \\ &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 \leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric})$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We need

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We need

$$1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2 < 1$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We need

$$1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2 < 1 \rightarrow \rho(\rho C^2 - 2\alpha) < 0. \quad (1)$$

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We need

$$1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2 < 1 \rightarrow \rho(\rho C^2 - 2\alpha) < 0. \quad (1)$$

This is satisfied for $\rho \in (0, 2\alpha/C^2)$ giving $M < 1$.

Theorem (Lax-Milgram)

Given a Hilbert space $(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, a continuous, coercive bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ (not necessarily symmetric), and $F \in \mathcal{V}'$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{V}$ so that

$$a(u, v) = F[v], \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_1 - Tv_2\|^2 &\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v\|^2 \quad (A \text{ bounded, } \tau \text{ isometric}) \\ &= (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2) \|v_1 - v_2\|^2 \\ &= M^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We need

$$1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 C^2 < 1 \rightarrow \rho(\rho C^2 - 2\alpha) < 0. \quad (1)$$

This is satisfied for $\rho \in (0, 2\alpha/C^2)$ giving $M < 1$. By the contraction principle we obtain the results. ■

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (***) .

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter.

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (***) . For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Proof:

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Proof:

By subtracting the variational problems for the exact and Galerkin approximation we obtain

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (***) . For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Proof:

By subtracting the variational problems for the exact and Galerkin approximation we obtain

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Proof:

By subtracting the variational problems for the exact and Galerkin approximation we obtain

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

For all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$ we have

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Significance: This shows the solution u_h obtain from the Galerkin approximation is bounded by all approximations in the space \mathcal{V} when measuring errors in the Hilbert-space norm.

This will become the basis for further estimates on the accuracy of Finite Element Methods.

Proof:

By subtracting the variational problems for the exact and Galerkin approximation we obtain

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h.$$

For all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$ we have

$$\alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity})$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity})$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity}) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + a(u - u_h, v - u_h) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity}) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + a(u - u_h, v - u_h) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v), \quad (\text{since } v - u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity}) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + a(u - u_h, v - u_h) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v), \quad (\text{since } v - u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h) \\ &\leq C \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (\text{by continuity}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity}) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + a(u - u_h, v - u_h) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v), \quad (\text{since } v - u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h) \\ &\leq C \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (\text{by continuity}). \end{aligned}$$

By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 &\leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \quad (\text{by coercivity}) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + a(u - u_h, v - u_h) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v), \quad (\text{since } v - u_h \in \mathcal{V}_h) \\ &\leq C \|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (\text{by continuity}). \end{aligned}$$

By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercitivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued):

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercitivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued): By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued): By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (***) . For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercitivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued): By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

This implies (since \mathcal{V}_h is closed)

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued): By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

This implies (since \mathcal{V}_h is closed)

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Theorem (Céa)

Suppose we have the conditions hold for the variational problems (*) or (**). For the bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, let C denote the continuity constant in the boundedness condition and α denote the coercivity parameter. The following error bound holds for the Galerkin approximation

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof (continued): By dividing through we obtain for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_h$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

This implies (since \mathcal{V}_h is closed)

$$\|u - u_h\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{C}{\alpha} \min_{v \in \mathcal{V}_h} \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

