

# ON THE $p$ -PART OF THE BIRCH–SWINNERTON-DYER FORMULA FOR MULTIPLICATIVE PRIMES

FRANCESC CASTELLA

ABSTRACT. Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a semistable elliptic curve of analytic rank one, and let  $p > 3$  be a prime for which  $E[p]$  is irreducible. In this note, following a slight modification of the methods of [JSW15], we use Iwasawa theory to establish the  $p$ -part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for  $E$ . In particular, we extend the main result of *loc.cit.* to primes of multiplicative reduction.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Selmer groups	3
3. A $p$ -adic Waldspurger formula	8
4. Main Conjectures	10
5. Proof of Theorem A	12
References	14

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a semistable elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ , and let  $L(E, s)$  be the Hasse–Weil  $L$ -function of  $E$ . By the celebrated work of Wiles [Wil95] and Taylor–Wiles [TW95],  $L(E, s)$  is known to admit analytic continuation to the entire complex plane, and to satisfy a functional equation relating its values at  $s$  and  $2 - s$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the following result towards the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for  $E$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a semistable elliptic curve of conductor  $N$  with  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$ , and let  $p > 3$  be a prime such that the mod  $p$  Galois representation*

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(E[p])$$

*is irreducible. If  $p \mid N$ , assume in addition that  $E[p]$  is ramified at some prime  $q \neq p$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_p \left( \frac{L'(E, 1)}{\text{Reg}(E/\mathbf{Q}) \cdot \Omega_E} \right) = \text{ord}_p \left( \# \text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q}) \prod_{\ell \mid N} c_\ell(E/\mathbf{Q}) \right),$$

*where*

- $\text{Reg}(E/\mathbf{Q})$  is the discriminant of the Néron–Tate height pairing on  $E(\mathbf{Q}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$ ;
- $\Omega_E$  is the Néron period of  $E$ ;
- $\text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q})$  is the Tate–Shafarevich group of  $E$ ; and
- $c_\ell(E/\mathbf{Q})$  is the Tamagawa number of  $E$  at the prime  $\ell$ .

*In other words, the  $p$ -part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula holds for  $E$ .*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23 (primary); 11G05, 11G40 (secondary).

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 682152).

*Remark.* Having square-free conductor, any elliptic curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  as in Theorem A is necessarily non-CM. By [Ser72, Thm. 2], it follows that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  is in fact surjective for all but finitely many primes  $p$ ; by [Maz78, Thm. 4], this holds as soon as  $p \geq 11$ .

When  $p$  is a prime of *good* reduction for  $E$ , Theorem A (in the stated level of generality) was first established by Jetchev–Skinner–Wan [JSW15]. (We should note that [JSW15, Thm. 1.2.1] also allows  $p = 3$  provided  $E$  has good supersingular reduction at  $p$ , the assumption  $a_3(E) = 0$  having been removed in a recent work by Sprung; see [Spr16, Cor. 1.3].) For primes  $p \mid N$ , some particular cases of Theorem A are contained in the work of Skinner–Zhang (see [SZ14, Thm. 1.1]) under further hypotheses on  $N$  and, in the case of split multiplicative reduction, on the  $L$ -invariant of  $E$ . Thus the main novelty in Theorem A is for primes  $p \mid N$ .

Similarly as in [JSW15], our proof of Theorem A uses anticyclotomic Iwasawa theory. In order to clarify the relation between the arguments in *loc.cit.* and the arguments in this paper, let us recall that the proof of [JSW15, Thm. 1.2.1] (for primes  $p \nmid N$ ) is naturally divided into two steps:

- (1) *Exact lower bound on the predicted order of  $\text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$ .* For this part of the argument, in [JSW15] one chooses a suitable imaginary quadratic field  $K_1 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1})$  with  $L(E^{D_1}, 1) \neq 0$ ; combined with the hypothesis that  $E$  has analytic rank one, it follows that  $E(K_1)$  has rank one and that  $\#\text{III}(E/K_1) < \infty$  by the work of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin. The lower bound

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/K_1)[p^\infty]) \geq 2 \cdot \text{ord}_p([E(K_1) : \mathbf{Z}.P_{K_1}]) - \sum_{\substack{w|N^+ \\ w \text{ split}}} \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K_1)),$$

where  $P_{K_1} \in E(K_1)$  is a Heegner point,  $c_w(E/K_1)$  is the Tamagawa number of  $E/K_1$  at  $w$ , and  $N^+$  is the product of the prime factors of  $N$  that are either split or ramified in  $K_1$ , is then established by combining:

- (1.a) A Mazur control theorem proved “à la Greenberg” [Gre99] for an anticyclotomic Selmer group  $X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^\infty])$  attached to  $E/K_1$  ([JSW15, Thm. 3.3.1]);
- (1.b) The proof by Xin Wan [Wan14a], [Wan14b] of one of the divisibilities predicted by the Iwasawa–Greenberg Main Conjecture for  $X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$ , namely the divisibility

$$Ch_\Lambda(X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^\infty]))\Lambda_{R_0} \subseteq (L_p(f))$$

where  $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n q^n$  is the weight 2 newform associated with  $E$ ,  $\Lambda_{R_0}$  is a scalar extension of the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda$  for  $K_1$ , and  $L_p(f) \in \Lambda_R$  is a certain anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function;

- (1.c) The “ $p$ -adic Waldspurger formula” of Bertolini–Darmon–Prasanna [BDP13] (as extended by Brooks [HB15] to indefinite Shimura curves):

$$L_p(f, \mathbf{1}) = (1 - a_p p^{-1} + p^{-1})^2 \cdot (\log_{\omega_E} P_{K_1})^2$$

relating the value of  $L_p(f)$  at the trivial character to the formal group logarithm of the Heegner point  $P_{K_1}$ .

When combined with the known  $p$ -part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for the quadratic twist  $E^{D_1}/\mathbf{Q}$  (being of rank analytic zero, this follows from [SU14] and [Wan14c]), inequality (1.1) easily yields the exact lower bound for  $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$  predicted by the BSD conjecture.

- (2) *Exact upper bound on the predicted order of  $\text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$ .* For this second part of the argument, in [JSW15] one chooses another imaginary quadratic field  $K_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_2})$  (in general different from  $K_1$ ) such that  $L(E^{D_2}, 1) \neq 0$ . Crucially,  $K_2$  is chosen so that the associated  $N^+$  (the product of the prime factors of  $N$  that are split or ramified

in  $K_2$ ) is *as small as possible* in a certain sense; this ensures optimality of the upper bound provided by Kolyvagin’s methods:

$$(1.2) \quad \text{ord}_p(\#III(E/K_2)[p^\infty]) \leq 2 \cdot \text{ord}([E(K_2) : \mathbf{Z}.P_{K_2}]),$$

where  $P_{K_2} \in E(K_2)$  is a Heegner point coming from a parametrization of  $E$  by a Shimura curve attached to an indefinite quaternion algebra (which is nonsplit unless  $N$  is prime). Combined with the general Gross–Zagier formula [YZZ13] and the  $p$ -part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for  $E^{D_2}/\mathbf{Q}$ , inequality (1.2) then yields the predicted optimal upper bound for  $\#III(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$ .

Our proof of Theorem A dispenses with part (2) of the above argument; in particular, it only requires the use of classical modular parametrizations of  $E$ . Indeed, if  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field satisfying the following hypotheses relative to the square-free integer  $N$ :

- every prime factor of  $N$  is either split or ramified in  $K$ ;
- there is at least one prime  $q \mid N$  nonsplit in  $K$ ;
- $p$  splits in  $K$ ,

in [Cas17b] (for good ordinary  $p$ ) and [CW16] (for good supersingular  $p$ ) we have completed under mild hypotheses the proof of the Iwasawa–Greenberg main conjecture for the associated  $X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^\infty])$ :

$$(1.3) \quad Ch_\Lambda(X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^\infty]))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p(f)).$$

With this result at hand, a simplified form (since  $N^- = 1$  here) of the arguments from [JSW15] in part (1) above lead to an *equality* in (1.1) taking  $K_1 = K$ , and so to the predicted order of  $\#III(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$  when  $p \nmid N$ .

To treat the primes  $p \mid N$  of multiplicative reduction for  $E$  (which, as already noted, is the only new content of Theorem A), we use Hida theory. Indeed, if  $a_p$  is the  $U_p$ -eigenvalue of  $f$  for such  $p$ , we know that  $a_p \in \{\pm 1\}$ , so in particular  $f$  is ordinary at  $p$ . Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$  be the Hida family associated with  $f$ , where  $\mathbb{I}$  is a certain finite flat extension of the one-variable Iwasawa algebra. In Section 4, we deduce from [Cas17b] and [Wan14a] a proof of a two-variable analog of the Iwasawa–Greenberg main conjecture (1.3) over the Hida family:

$$Ch_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}}(X_{\text{ac}}(A_{\mathbf{f}}))\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} = (L_p(\mathbf{f})),$$

where  $L_p(\mathbf{f}) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  is the two-variable anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function introduced in [Cas14]. By construction,  $L_p(\mathbf{f})$  specializes to  $L_p(f)$  in weight 2, and by a control theorem for the Hida variable, the characteristic ideal of  $X_{\text{ac}}(A_{\mathbf{f}})$  similarly specializes to  $Ch_\Lambda(X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^\infty]))$ , yielding a proof of the Iwasawa–Greenberg main conjecture (1.3) in the multiplicative reduction case. Combined with the anticyclotomic control theorem of (1.a) and the natural generalization (contained in [Cas17a]) of the  $p$ -adic Waldspurger formula in (1.c) to this case:

$$L_p(f, \mathbf{1}) = (1 - a_p p^{-1})^2 \cdot (\log_{\omega_E} P_K)^2,$$

we arrive at the predicted formula for  $\#III(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]$  just as in the good reduction case.

*Acknowledgements.* As will be clear to the reader, this note borrows many ideas and arguments from [JSW15]. It is a pleasure to thank Chris Skinner for several useful conversations.

## 2. SELMER GROUPS

**2.1. Definitions.** Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a semistable elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ , and let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime such that the mod  $p$  Galois representations

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,p} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(E[p])$$

is irreducible. Let  $T = T_p(E)$  be the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $E$ , and set  $V = T \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field in which  $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, and for every place  $w$  of  $K$  define the *anticyclotomic local condition*  $H_{\text{ac}}^1(K_w, V) \subseteq H^1(K_w, V)$  by

$$H_{\text{ac}}^1(K_w, V) := \begin{cases} H^1(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}, V) & \text{if } w = \bar{\mathfrak{p}}; \\ 0 & \text{if } w = \mathfrak{p}; \\ H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_w, V) & \text{if } w \nmid p, \end{cases}$$

where  $H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_w, V) := \ker\{H^1(K_w, V) \rightarrow H^1(I_w, V)\}$  is the unramified part of cohomology.

**Definition 2.1.** The *anticyclotomic Selmer group* for  $E$  is

$$H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty]) := \ker \left\{ H^1(K, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_w \frac{H^1(K_w, E[p^\infty])}{H_{\text{ac}}^1(K_w, E[p^\infty])} \right\},$$

where  $H_{\text{ac}}^1(K_w, E[p^\infty]) \subseteq H^1(K_w, E[p^\infty])$  is the image of  $H_{\text{ac}}^1(K_w, V)$  under the natural map  $H^1(K_w, V) \rightarrow H^1(K_w, V/T) \simeq H^1(K_w, E[p^\infty])$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$  be the Galois group of the anticyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ , and let  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  be the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra. Consider the  $\Lambda$ -module

$$M := T \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda^*,$$

where  $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Lambda, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda^{\text{ac}}$ . Letting  $\rho_{E,p}$  denote the natural action of  $G_K := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/K)$  on  $T$ , the  $G_K$ -action on  $M$  is given by  $\rho_{E,p} \otimes \Psi^{-1}$ , where  $\Psi$  is the composite character  $G_K \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda^\times$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The *anticyclotomic Selmer group* for  $E$  over  $K_\infty^{\text{ac}}/K$  is defined by

$$\text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) := \ker \left\{ H^1(K, M) \longrightarrow H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \oplus \prod_{w \nmid p} H^1(K_w, M) \right\}.$$

More generally, for any given finite set  $\Sigma$  of places  $w \nmid p$  of  $K$ , define the “ $\Sigma$ -imprimitive” Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, E[p^\infty])$  by dropping the summands  $H^1(K_w, M)$  for the places  $w \in \Sigma$  in the above definition. Set

$$X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty]) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]), \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p),$$

which is easily shown to be a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module.

**2.2. Control theorems.** Let  $E$ ,  $p$ , and  $K$  be as in the preceding section, and let  $N^+$  denote the product of the prime factors of  $N$  which are split in  $K$ .

*Anticyclotomic Control Theorem.* Denote by  $\hat{E}$  the formal group of  $E$ , and let

$$\log_{\omega_E} : E(\mathbf{Q}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$$

the formal group logarithm attached to a fixed invariant differential  $\omega_E$  on  $\hat{E}$ . Letting  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be a fixed topological generator, we identify the one-variable power series ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[T]]$  with the Iwasawa algebra  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  by sending  $1 + T \mapsto \gamma$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\Sigma$  be any set of places of  $K$  not dividing  $p$ , and assume that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}(E(K)) = 1$  and that  $\#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] < \infty$ . Then  $X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, and letting  $f_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(T) \in \Lambda$  be a generator of  $\text{Ch}_\Lambda(X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty]))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathbf{Z}_p/f_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(0) &= \#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \cdot \left( \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / ((1 - a_p p^{-1} + \varepsilon_p) \log_{\omega_E} P)}{[E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P]} \right)^2 \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{w|N^+ \\ w \notin \Sigma}} c_w^{(p)}(E/K) \cdot \prod_{w \in \Sigma} \#H^1(K_w, E[p^\infty]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = p^{-1}$  if  $p \nmid N$  and  $\varepsilon_p = 0$  otherwise,  $P \in E(K)$  is any point of infinite order, and  $c_w^{(p)}(E/K)$  is the  $p$ -part of the Tamagawa number of  $E/K$  at  $w$ .

*Proof.* As we are going to show, this follows easily from the ‘‘Anticyclotomic Control Theorem’’ established in [JSW15, §3.3]. The hypotheses imply that  $\text{corank}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \text{Sel}(K, E[p^\infty]) = 1$  and that the natural map

$$E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \longrightarrow E(K_w) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$$

is surjective for all  $w \mid p$ . By [JSW15, Prop. 3.2.1] (see also the discussion in [*loc.cit.*, p. 22]) it follows that  $H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty])$  is finite with

$$(2.1) \quad \#H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty]) = \#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \cdot \frac{[E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P]^2}{[E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P]^2},$$

where  $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tors}}$  is the quotient  $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  by its maximal torsion submodule, and  $P \in E(K)$  is any point of infinite order. If  $p \nmid N$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad [E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P] = \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / ((\frac{1-a_p+p}{p}) \log_{\omega_E} P)}{\#H^0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}$$

as shown in [JSW15, p. 23], and substituting (2.2) into (2.1) we arrive at

$$\#H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty]) = \#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \cdot \left( \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / ((\frac{1-a_p+p}{p}) \log_{\omega_E} P)}{[E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P] \cdot \#H^0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])} \right)^2,$$

from where the result follows immediately by [JSW15, Thm. 3.3.1].

Suppose now that  $p \mid N$ . Let  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbf{F}_p)$  be the group on nonsingular points on the reduction of  $E$  modulo  $p$ ,  $E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be the inverse image of  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbf{F}_p)$  under the reduction map, and  $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  be defined by the exactness of the sequence

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbf{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The formal group logarithm defines an injective homomorphism  $\log_{\omega_E} : E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tor}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  mapping  $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  isomorphically onto  $p\mathbf{Z}_p$ , and hence we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P] &= \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / (\log_{\omega_E} P) \cdot \#(E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)}{\#\mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z} \cdot \#(E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)} \\ &= [E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) : E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})]_p \cdot \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / (\log_{\omega_E} P) \cdot \#(E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)}{\#\mathbf{Z}_p/p\mathbf{Z}_p \cdot \#(E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from the same immediate calculation as in [JSW15, p. 23], and in the second equality  $[E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) : E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})]_p$  denotes the  $p$ -part of the index  $[E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) : E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})]$ . By (2.3), we have  $E_1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p \simeq \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbf{F}_p) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p$ , which is trivial by e.g. [Sil94, Prop. 5.1] (and  $p > 2$ ). Since clearly  $E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p = H^0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])$ , we thus conclude that

$$(2.4) \quad [E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})/_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P] = [E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) : E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})]_p \cdot \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / (\frac{1}{p} \log_{\omega_E} P)}{\#H^0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])},$$

and substituting (2.4) into (2.1) we arrive at

$$H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty]) = \#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \cdot \left( \frac{[E(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) : E_0(K_{\mathfrak{p}})]_p \cdot \#\mathbf{Z}_p / (\frac{1}{p} \log_{\omega_E} P)}{[E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P] \cdot \#H^0(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])} \right)^2.$$

Plugging this formula for  $H_{\text{ac}}^1(K, E[p^\infty])$  into [JSW15, Thm. 3.3.1] yields the equality

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \#\mathbf{Z}_p/f_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(0) &= \#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty] \cdot \left( \frac{\#\mathbf{Z}_p / (\frac{1}{p} \log_{\omega_E} P)}{[E(K) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p : \mathbf{Z}_p.P]} \right)^2 \cdot [E(K_p) : E_0(K_p)]_p^2 \\ &\times \prod_{\substack{w \in S \setminus \Sigma \\ w \nmid p \text{ split}}} \#H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_w, E[p^\infty]) \cdot \prod_{w \in \Sigma} \#H^1(K_w, E[p^\infty]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S$  is any finite set of places of  $K$  containing  $\Sigma$  and the primes above  $N$ . Now, if  $w \mid p$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad [E(K_p) : E_0(K_p)]_p = c_w^{(p)}(E/K)$$

by definition, while if  $w \nmid p$ , then

$$(2.7) \quad \#H_{\text{ur}}^1(K_w, E[p^\infty]) = c_w^{(p)}(E/K)$$

by [SZ14, Lem. 9.1]. Since  $c_w^{(p)}(E/K) = 1$  unless  $w \mid N$ , substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5), the proof of Theorem 2.3 follows.  $\square$

*Control Theorem for Greenberg Selmer groups.* Let  $\Lambda_W = \mathbf{Z}_p[[W]]$  be a one-variable power series ring. Let  $M$  be an integer prime to  $p$ , let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $pM$ , and let  $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}_n q^n \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$  be an ordinary  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic cusp eigenform of tame level  $M$  and character  $\chi$  (as defined in [SU14, §3.3.9]) defined over a local reduced finite integral extension  $\mathbb{I}/\Lambda_W$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$  the set of continuous  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  whose composition with the structural map  $\Lambda_W \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  is given by  $\phi(1+W) = (1+p)^{k_\phi-2}$  for some integer  $k_\phi \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 2}$  called the *weight* of  $\phi$ . Then for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$  we have

$$\mathbf{f}_\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(\mathbf{a}_n) q^n \in S_{k_\phi}(\Gamma_0(pM), \chi \omega^{2-k_\phi}),$$

where  $\omega$  is the Teichmüller character. In this paper will only consider the case where  $\chi$  is the trivial character, in which case for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$  of weight  $k_\phi \equiv 2 \pmod{p-1}$ , either

- (1)  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$  is a newform on  $\Gamma_0(pM)$ ;
- (2)  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$  is the  $p$ -stabilization of a  $p$ -ordinary newform on  $\Gamma_0(M)$ .

As is well-known, for weights  $k_\phi > 2$  only case (2) is possible; for  $k_\phi = 2$  both cases occur.

Let  $k_{\mathbb{I}} = \mathbb{I}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{I}}$  be the residue field of  $\mathbb{I}$ , and assume that the residual Galois representation

$$\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(k_{\mathbb{I}})$$

attached to  $\mathbf{f}$  is irreducible. Then there exists a free  $\mathbb{I}$ -module  $T_{\mathbf{f}}$  of rank two equipped with a continuous  $\mathbb{I}$ -linear action of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  such that, for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$ , there is a canonical  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -isomorphism

$$T_{\mathbf{f}} \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \phi(\mathbb{I}) \simeq T_{\mathbf{f}_\phi},$$

where  $T_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}$  is a  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -stable lattice in the Galois representation  $V_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}$  associated with  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$ . (Here,  $T_{\mathbf{f}}$  corresponds to the Galois representation denoted  $M(\mathbf{f})^*$  in [KLZ14, Def. 7.2.5]; in particular,  $\det(V_{\mathbf{f}_\phi}) = \epsilon^{k_\phi-1}$ , where  $\epsilon : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  is the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character.)

Let  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}} := \mathbb{I}[[\Gamma]]$  be the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra over  $\mathbb{I}$ , and consider the  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ -module

$$M_{\mathbf{f}} := T_{\mathbf{f}} \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^*,$$

where  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^* = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the Pontryagin dual of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ . This is equipped with a natural  $G_K$ -action defined similarly as for the  $\Lambda$ -module  $M = T \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda^*$  introduced in §2.1.

**Definition 2.4.** The *Greenberg Selmer group* of  $E$  over  $K_\infty/K$  is

$$\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) := \ker \left\{ H^1(K, M) \longrightarrow H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \oplus \prod_{w \nmid p} H^1(I_w, M) \right\}.$$

The *Greenberg Selmer group*  $\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}(K_\infty, A_{\mathbf{f}})$  for  $\mathbf{f}$  over  $K_\infty/K$ , where  $A_{\mathbf{f}} := T_{\mathbf{f}} \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}^*$ , is defined by replacing  $M$  by  $M_{\mathbf{f}}$  in the above definition.

Similarly as for the anticyclotomic Selmer groups in §2.1, for any given finite set  $\Sigma$  of places  $w \nmid p$  of  $K$ , we define  $\Sigma$ -imprimitive Selmer groups  $\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, E[p^\infty])$  and  $\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, A_{\mathbf{f}})$  by dropping the summands  $H^1(I_w, M)$  and  $H^1(I_w, M_{\mathbf{f}})$ , respectively, for the places  $w \in \Sigma$  in the above definition. Let

$$X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty]) := \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]), \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$$

be the Pontrjagin dual of  $\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(K_\infty, E[p^\infty])$ , and define  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_{\mathbf{f}})$  similarly.

We will have use for the following comparison between the Selmer groups  $\mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty])$  and  $\text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty])$ . Note that directly from the definition we have an exact sequence

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ur}} \oplus \prod_{w \nmid p} \mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_v^{\text{ur}} = \ker\{H^1(K_v, M) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M)\}$  is the set of unramified cocycles.

For a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ , let  $\lambda(X)$  (resp.  $\mu(X)$ ) denote the  $\lambda$ -invariant (resp.  $\mu$ -invariant) of a generator of  $Ch_\Lambda(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Assume that  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion. Then  $X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, and we have the relations*

$$\lambda(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])) = \lambda(X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])),$$

and

$$\mu(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])) = \mu(X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])) + \sum_{w \text{ nonsplit}} \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$  is a quotient of  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$ , the first claim of the proposition is clear. Also, note that  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(E[p^\infty])$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion for some  $\Sigma$  if and only if it is  $\Lambda$ -torsion for any finite set of primes  $\Sigma$ . Therefore to establish the claimed relations between Iwasawa invariants, it suffices to consider primitive Selmer groups, i.e.  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ .

For primes  $v \nmid p$  which are split in  $K$ , it is easy to see that the restriction map  $H^1(K_v, M) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, M)$  is injective (see [PW11, Rem. 3.1]), and so  $\mathcal{H}_v^{\text{ur}}$  vanishes. Since  $M^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \{0\}$ , the term  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\text{ur}}$  also vanishes, and the exact sequence (2.8) thus reduces to

$$(2.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}(K_\infty, E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_{w \text{ nonsplit}} \mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}}.$$

Now, a straightforward modification of the argument in [PW11, Lem. 3.4] shows that

$$\mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}_p/p^{t_E(w)}\mathbf{Z}_p) \otimes \Lambda^*,$$

where  $t_E(w) := \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K))$  is the  $p$ -exponent of the Tamagawa number of  $E$  at  $w$ , and  $\Lambda^*$  is the Pontrjagin dual of  $\Lambda$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, with  $\lambda(\mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}}) = 0$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{H}_w^{\text{ur}}) = \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K))$ . Since the rightmost arrow in (2.9) is surjective by [PW11, Prop. A.2], taking characteristic ideals in (2.9) the result follows.  $\square$

For the rest of this section, assume that  $E$  has ordinary reduction at  $p$ , so that the associated newform  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  is  $p$ -ordinary. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$  be the Hida family associated with  $f$ , let  $\phi \subseteq \mathbb{I}$  be the kernel of the arithmetic map  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$  such that  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$  is either  $f$  itself (if  $p \nmid N$ ) or

the ordinary  $p$ -stabilization of  $f$  (if  $p \nmid N$ ), and set  $\tilde{\wp} := \wp\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}} \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ . Since we assume that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  is irreducible, so is  $\bar{\rho}_f$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $S_p$  be the places of  $K$  above  $p$ , and assume that  $\Sigma \cup S_p$  contains all places of  $K$  at which  $T$  is ramified. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}]) \simeq X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_f)/\tilde{\wp}X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_f).$$

*Proof.* This follows from a slight variation of the arguments proving [SU14, Prop. 3.7] (see also [Och06, Prop. 5.1]). Since  $M \simeq M_f[\tilde{\wp}]$ , by Pontrjagin duality it suffices to show that the canonical map

$$(2.10) \quad \text{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(K_{\infty}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}]) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(K_{\infty}, M_f)[\tilde{\wp}]$$

is an isomorphism. Note that our assumption on  $S := \Sigma \cup S_p$  implies that

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Sel}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\Sigma}(K_{\infty}, M_{?}) = \ker \left\{ H^1(G_{K,S}, M_{?}) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \frac{H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?})}{H_{\text{Gr}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?})} \right\},$$

where  $M_{?} = M_f[\tilde{\wp}]$  or  $M_f$ ,  $G_{K,S}$  is the Galois group of the maximal extension of  $K$  unramified outside  $S$ , and

$$H_{\text{Gr}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?}) := \ker \{ H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?}) \longrightarrow H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?}) \}.$$

As shown in the proof of [SU14, Prop. 3.7] (taking  $A = \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \tilde{\wp}$  in *loc.cit.*), we have  $H^1(G_{K,S}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}]) = H^1(G_{K,S}, M_f)[\tilde{\wp}]$ . On the other hand, using that  $G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has cohomological dimension one, we immediately see that

$$H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?})/H_{\text{Gr}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?}) \simeq H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{?})^{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}},$$

From the long exact sequence in  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -cohomology associated with  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^*[\tilde{\wp}] \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\wp}\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^* \rightarrow 0$  tensored with  $T_f$ , we obtain

$$(M_f^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}/(T_f \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \tilde{\wp}\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}^*)^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \simeq \ker \{ H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}])^{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \longrightarrow H^1(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f)^{G_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \}.$$

Since  $H^0(I_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f) = \{0\}$ , we thus have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(G_{K,S}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}]) & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}}} & H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}])/H_{\text{Gr}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f[\tilde{\wp}]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ H^1(G_{K,S}, M_f)[\tilde{\wp}] & \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_{\mathfrak{p}}} & H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f)/H_{\text{Gr}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_f) \end{array}$$

in which the right vertical map is injective. In light of (2.11), the result follows.  $\square$

### 3. A $p$ -ADIC WALDSPURGER FORMULA

Let  $E$ ,  $p$ , and  $K$  be introduced in §2.1. In this section, we assume in addition that  $K$  satisfies the following Heegner hypothesis relative to the square-free integer  $N$ :

(Heeg) every prime factor of  $N$  is either split or ramified in  $K$ .

*Anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function.* Let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be the newform associated with  $E$ . Denote by  $R_0$  the completion of the ring of integers of the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , and set  $\Lambda_{R_0} := \Lambda \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} R_0$ , where as before  $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  is the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra.

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(f) \in \Lambda_{R_0}$  such that if  $\hat{\phi} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p^{\times}$  is the  $p$ -adic avatar of an unramified anticyclotomic Hecke character  $\phi$  with infinity type  $(-n, n)$  with  $n > 0$ , then*

$$L_p(f, \hat{\phi}) = \Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+1) \cdot (1 - a_p p^{-1} \phi(\mathfrak{p}) + \varepsilon_p \phi^2(\mathfrak{p}))^2 \cdot \Omega_p^{4n} \cdot \frac{L(f/K, \phi, 1)}{\pi^{2n+1} \cdot \Omega_K^{4n}},$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = p^{-1}$  if  $p \nmid N$  and  $\varepsilon_p = 0$  otherwise, and  $\Omega_p \in R_0^\times$  and  $\Omega_K \in \mathbf{C}^\times$  are CM periods.

*Proof.* Let  $\psi$  be an anticyclotomic Hecke character of  $K$  of infinity type  $(1, -1)$  and conductor prime to  $p$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p},\psi}(f) \in \Lambda_{R_0}$  be as in [CH17, Def. 3.7], and set

$$L_p(f) := \text{Tw}_{\psi^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p},\psi}(f)),$$

where  $\text{Tw}_{\psi^{-1}} : \Lambda_{R_0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{R_0}$  is the  $R_0$ -linear isomorphism given by  $\gamma \mapsto \psi^{-1}(\gamma)\gamma$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . If  $p \nmid N$ , the interpolation property for  $L_p(f)$  is a reformulation of [CH17, Thm. 3.8]. Since the construction in [CH17, §3.3] readily extends to the case  $p \mid N$ , with the  $p$ -adic multiplier  $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \phi)$  in *loc.cit.* reducing to  $1 - a_p p^{-1} \phi(\mathfrak{p})$  for unramified  $\phi$  (*cf.* [Cas17a, Thm. 2.10]), the result follows.  $\square$

If  $\Sigma$  is any finite set of place of  $K$  not lying above  $p$ , we define the “ $\Sigma$ -imprimitive”  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p^\Sigma(f)$  by

$$(3.1) \quad L_p^\Sigma(f) := L_p(f) \times \prod_{w \in \Sigma} P_w(\epsilon \Psi^{-1}(\gamma_w)) \in \Lambda_{R_0},$$

where  $P_w(X) := \det(1 - X \cdot \text{Frob}_w | V^{I_w})$ ,  $\epsilon : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  is the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character,  $\text{Frob}_w \in G_K$  is a geometric Frobenius element at  $w$ , and  $\gamma_w$  is the image of  $\text{Frob}_w$  in  $\Gamma$ .

*p-adic Waldspurger formula.* We will have use for the following formula for the value at the trivial character  $\mathbf{1}$  of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function of Theorem 3.1.

Recall that  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  is assumed to be semistable. From now on, we shall also assume that  $E$  is an optimal quotient of the new part of  $J_0(N) = \text{Jac}(X_0(N))$  in the sense of [Maz78, §2], and fix a corresponding modular parametrization

$$\pi : X_0(N) \longrightarrow E$$

sending the cusp  $\infty$  to the origin of  $E$ . If  $\omega_E$  a Néron differential on  $E$ , and  $\omega_f = \sum a_n q^n \frac{dq}{q}$  is the one-form on  $J_0(N)$  associated with  $f$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad \pi^*(\omega_E) = c \cdot \omega_f,$$

for some  $c \in \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}^\times$  (see [Maz78, Cor. 4.1]).

**Theorem 3.2.** *The following equality holds up to a  $p$ -adic unit:*

$$L_p(f, \mathbf{1}) = (1 - a_p p^{-1} + \varepsilon_p)^2 \cdot (\log_{\omega_E} P_K)^2,$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = p^{-1}$  if  $p \nmid N$  and  $\varepsilon_p = 0$  otherwise, and  $P_K \in E(K)$  is a Heegner point.

*Proof.* This follows from [BDP13, Thm. 5.13] and [CH17, Thm. 4.9] in the case  $p \nmid N$  and [Cas17a, Thm. 2.11] in the case  $p \mid N$ . Indeed, in our case, the generalized Heegner cycles  $\Delta$  constructed in either of these references are of the form

$$\Delta = [(A, A[\mathfrak{N}]) - (\infty)] \in J_0(N)(H),$$

where  $H$  is the Hilbert class field of  $K$ , and  $(A, A[\mathfrak{N}])$  is a CM elliptic curve equipped with a cyclic  $N$ -isogeny. Letting  $F$  denote the  $p$ -adic completion of  $H$ , the aforementioned references then yield the equality

$$(3.3) \quad L_p(f, \mathbf{1}) = (1 - a_p p^{-1} + \varepsilon_p)^2 \cdot \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)} \text{AJ}_F(\Delta^\sigma)(\omega_f) \right)^2.$$

By [BK90, Ex. 3.10.1], the  $p$ -adic Abel–Jacobi map appearing in (3.3) is related to the formal group logarithm on  $J_0(N)$  by the formula

$$\text{AJ}_F(\Delta)(\omega_f) = \log_{\omega_f}(\Delta),$$

and by (3.2) we have the equalities up to a  $p$ -adic unit:

$$\log_{\omega_f}(\Delta) = \log_{\pi^*(\omega_E)}(\pi(\Delta)) = \log_{\omega_E}(\pi(\Delta))$$

Thus, taking  $P_K := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)} \pi(\Delta^\sigma) \in E(K)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. MAIN CONJECTURES

Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$  be an ordinary  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic cusp eigenform of tame level  $M$  as in Section 2 (so  $p \nmid M$ ), with associated residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}$ . Letting  $D_p \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a fixed decomposition group at  $p$ , we say that  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is  $p$ -distinguished if the semisimplification of  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}|_{D_p}$  is the direct sum of two distinct characters.

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field in which  $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits, and which satisfies hypothesis (Heeg) from Section 3 relative to  $M$ .

For the next statement, note that for any eigenform  $f$  defined over a finite extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with associated Galois representation  $V_f$ , we may define the Selmer group  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)$  as in §2.2, replacing  $T = T_p E$  by a fixed  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -lattice in  $V_f$ , and setting  $A_f := V_f/T_f$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(M))$  be a  $p$ -ordinary newform of level  $M$ , with  $p \nmid M$ , and let  $\bar{\rho}_f$  be the associated residual representation. Assume that:*

- $M$  is square-free;
- $\bar{\rho}_f$  is ramified at every prime  $q \mid M$  which is nonsplit in  $K$ , and there is at least one such prime;
- $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_K}$  is irreducible.

If  $\Sigma$  is any finite set of prime not lying above  $p$ , then  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, and

$$Ch_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p^\Sigma(f)),$$

where  $L_p^\Sigma(f)$  is as in (3.1).

*Proof.* As in the proof of [JSW15, Thm. 6.1.6], the result for an arbitrary finite set  $\Sigma$  follows immediately from the case  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ , which is the content of [Cas17b, Thm. 3.4]. (In [Cas17b] it is assumed that  $f$  has rational Fourier coefficients but the extension of the aforementioned result to the setting considered here is immediate.)  $\square$

Recall that  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$  denotes the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra over  $\mathbb{I}$ , and set  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} := \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R_0$ . For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$ , set  $\tilde{\wp}_\phi := \ker(\phi)\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of places of  $K$  not above  $p$ . Letting  $M$  be the tame level of  $\mathbf{f}$ , assume that:*

- $M$  is square-free;
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is ramified at every prime  $q \mid M$  which is nonsplit in  $K$ , and there is at least one such prime;
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}|_{G_K}$  is irreducible;
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is  $p$ -distinguished.

Then  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_{\mathbf{f}})$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ -torsion, and

$$Ch_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_{\mathbf{f}}))\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} = (L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f})),$$

where  $L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f}) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  is such that

$$(4.1) \quad L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f}) \bmod \tilde{\wp}_\phi = L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f}_\phi)$$

for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}, \xi}(\mathbf{f}) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  be the two-variable anticyclotomic  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function constructed in [Cas14, §2.6], and set

$$L_p(\mathbf{f}) := \text{Tw}_{\xi^{-1}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}, \xi}(\mathbf{f})),$$

where  $\xi$  is the  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic character constructed in *loc.cit.* from a Hecke character  $\lambda$  of infinity type  $(1, 0)$  and conductor prime to  $p$ , and  $\text{Tw}_{\xi^{-1}} : \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  is the  $R_0$ -linear isomorphism given by  $\gamma \mapsto \xi^{-1}(\gamma)\gamma$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Viewing  $\lambda$  as a character on  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$ , let  $\lambda^\tau$  denote the composition of  $\lambda$  with the action of complex conjugation on  $\mathbb{A}_K^\times$ . If the character  $\psi$  appearing in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is taken to be  $\lambda^{1-\tau} := \lambda/\lambda^\tau$ , then the proof of [Cas14, Thm. 2.11] shows that  $L_p(\mathbf{f})$  reduces to  $L_p(\mathbf{f}_\phi)$  modulo  $\tilde{\wp}_\phi$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$ . Similarly as in (3.1), if for any  $\Sigma$  as above we set

$$L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f}) := L_p(\mathbf{f}) \times \prod_{w \in \Sigma} P_{\mathbf{f}, w}(\epsilon\Psi^{-1}(\gamma_w)) \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0},$$

where  $P_{\mathbf{f}, w}(X) := \det(1 - X \cdot \text{Frob}_w| (T_{\mathbf{f}} \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} F_{\mathbb{I}})^{I_w})$ , with  $F_{\mathbb{I}}$  the fraction field of  $\mathbb{I}$ , the specialization property (4.1) thus follows.

Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$  be such that  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$  is the  $p$ -stabilization of a  $p$ -ordinary newform  $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(M))$ . By Theorem 4.2, the associated  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, and we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Ch}_\Lambda(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p^\Sigma(f)).$$

In particular, by Theorem 2.6 (with  $A_f$  in place of  $E[p^\infty]$ ) it follows that  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ -torsion. On the other hand, from [Wan14a, Thm. 1.1] we have the divisibility

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Ch}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f))\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} \subseteq (\mathcal{L}_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f})^-)$$

in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f})^-$  is the projection onto  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function constructed in [Wan14a, §7.4]. Since a straightforward extension of the calculations in [JSW15, §5.3] shows that

$$(4.4) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f})^-) = (L_p^\Sigma(\mathbf{f}))$$

as ideals in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$ , the result follows from an application of [SU14, Lem. 3.2] using (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4). (Note that the possible powers of  $p$  in [JSW15, Cor. 5.3.1] only arise when there are primes  $q \mid M$  inert in  $K$ , but these are excluded by our hypothesis (Heeg) relative to  $M$ ).  $\square$

In order to deduce from Theorem 4.2 the anticyclotomic main conjecture for arithmetic specializations of  $\mathbf{f}$  (especially in the cases where the conductor of  $\mathbf{f}_\phi$  is divisible by  $p$ , which are not covered by Theorem 4.1), we will require the following technical result.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)_{\text{null}}$  be the largest pseudo-null  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ -submodule of  $X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)$ , let  $\wp \subseteq \mathbb{I}$  be a height one prime, and let  $\tilde{\wp} := \wp\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ . With hypotheses as in Theorem 4.2, the quotient*

$$X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)_{\text{null}}/\tilde{\wp}X_{\text{Gr}}^\Sigma(A_f)_{\text{null}}$$

*is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}/\tilde{\wp}$ -module.*

*Proof.* Using (2.11) as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 and considering the obvious commutative diagram obtained by applying the map given by multiplication by  $\tilde{\wp}$ , the proof of [Och06, Lem. 7.2] carries through with only small changes. (Note that the argument in *loc.cit.* requires knowing that  $X_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma(M_{\mathbf{f}}[\tilde{\wp}])$  is  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}/\tilde{\wp}$ -torsion, but this follows immediately from Theorem 4.2 and the isomorphism of Theorem 2.6.)  $\square$

For the next result, let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of square-free conductor  $N$ , and assume that  $K$  satisfies hypothesis (Heeg) relative to  $N$ , and that  $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits in  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{F}_p}(E[p]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$  is irreducible and ramified at every prime  $q \mid N$  which is nonsplit in  $K$ , and assume that there is at least one such prime. Then  $\text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^{\infty}]))$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion and*

$$\text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{ac}}(E[p^{\infty}]))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p(f)).$$

*Proof.* If  $E$  has good ordinary (resp. supersingular) supersingular reduction at  $p$ , the result follows from [Cas17b, Thm. 3.4] (resp. [CW16, Thm. 5.1]). (Note that bBy [Ski14, Lem. 2.8.1] the hypotheses in Theorem 4.4 imply that  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}|_{G_K}$  is irreducible.) Since the conductor of  $N$  is square-free, it remains to consider the case in which  $E$  has multiplicative reduction at  $p$ . The associated newform  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  then satisfies  $a_p = \pm 1$  (see e.g. [Ski16, Lem. 2.1.2]); in particular,  $f$  is  $p$ -ordinary. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{I}[[q]]$  be the ordinary  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic cusp eigenform of tame level  $N_0 := N/p$  attached to  $f$ , so that  $\mathbf{f}_{\phi} = f$  for some  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{I}}^a$ . Let  $\wp := \ker(\phi) \subseteq \mathbb{I}$  be the associated height one prime, and set

$$\tilde{\wp} := \wp \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}, \quad \Lambda_{\wp, R_0} := \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}/\tilde{\wp}, \quad \tilde{\wp}_0 := \tilde{\wp} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}, \quad \Lambda_{\wp} := \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}/\wp_0.$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of places of  $K$  not dividing  $p$  containing the primes above  $N_0 D$ , where  $D$  is the discriminant of  $K$ . As shown in the proof of [JSW15, Thm. 6.1.6], it suffices to show that

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}]))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p^{\Sigma}(f)).$$

Since  $\mathbf{f}$  specializes  $f$ , which has weight 2 and trivial nebentypus, the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{f}} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  is automatically  $p$ -distinguished (see [KLZ17, Rem. 7.2.7]). Thus our assumptions imply that the hypotheses in Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, which combined with Theorem 2.6 show that  $X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}])$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion. Moreover, letting  $\mathfrak{l}$  be any height one prime of  $\Lambda_{\wp, R_0}$  and setting  $\mathfrak{l}_0 := \mathfrak{l} \cap \Lambda_{\wp}$ , by Theorem 2.6 we have

$$(4.6) \quad \text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{l}_0}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}])_{\mathfrak{l}_0}) = \text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{l}_0}}((X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}})/\tilde{\wp}_0 X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}}))_{\mathfrak{l}_0}).$$

On the other hand, if  $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}} \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0}$  maps to  $\mathfrak{l}$  under the specialization map  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}, R_0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\wp, R_0}$  and we set  $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_0 := \tilde{\mathfrak{l}} \cap \Lambda_{\mathbb{I}}$ , by Theorem 4.2 we have

$$(4.7) \quad \text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}})_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_0}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}})_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_0}) = \text{ord}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}}(L_p^{\Sigma}(\mathbf{f}) \bmod \tilde{\wp}) = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{l}}(L_p^{\Sigma}(f)).$$

Since Lemma 4.3 implies the equality

$$\text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{l}_0}}((X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}})/\tilde{\wp}_0 X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}}))_{\mathfrak{l}_0}) = \text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\mathbb{I}})_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_0}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(A_{\mathbf{f}})_{\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_0}),$$

combining (4.6) and (4.7) we conclude that

$$\text{length}_{(\Lambda_{\wp})_{\mathfrak{l}_0}}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}])_{\mathfrak{l}_0}) = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{l}}(L_p^{\Sigma}(f))$$

for every height one prime  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $\Lambda_{\wp, R_0}$ , and so

$$(4.8) \quad \text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}]))\Lambda_{R_0} = (L_p^{\Sigma}(f)).$$

Finally, since our hypothesis on  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}$  implies that  $c_w(E/K)$  is a  $p$ -adic unit for every prime  $w$  nonsplit in  $K$  (see e.g. [PW11, Def. 3.3]), we have  $\text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{Gr}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}])) = \text{Ch}_{\Lambda}(X_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma}(E[p^{\infty}]))$  by Proposition 2.5. Equality (4.8) thus reduces to (4.5), and the proof of Theorem 4.4 follows.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a semistable elliptic curve of conductor  $N$  as in the statement of Theorem A; in particular, we note that there exists a prime  $q \neq p$  such that  $E[p]$  is ramified at  $q$ . Indeed, if  $p \mid N$  this follows by hypothesis, while if  $p \nmid N$  the existence of such  $q$  follows from Ribet's level lowering theorem [Rib90, Thm 1.1], as explained in the first paragraph of [JSW15, §7.4].

*Proof of Theorem A.* Choose an imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  of discriminant  $D < 0$  such that

- $q$  is ramified in  $K$ ;
- every prime factor  $\ell \neq q$  of  $N$  splits in  $K$ ;
- $p$  splits in  $K$ ;
- $L(E^D, 1) \neq 0$ .

(Of course, when  $p \mid N$  the third condition is redundant.) By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 3.2 we have the equalities

$$(5.1) \quad \#\mathbf{Z}_p/f_{\text{ac}}(0) = \#\mathbf{Z}_p/L_p(f, 1) = \#(\mathbf{Z}_p/(1 - a_p p^{-1} + \varepsilon_p) \log_{\omega_E} P_K)^2,$$

where  $\varepsilon_p = p^{-1}$  if  $p \nmid N$  and  $\varepsilon_p = 0$  otherwise, and  $P_K \in E(K)$  is a Heegner point. Since we assume that  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = 1$ , our last hypothesis on  $K$  implies that  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E/K, s) = 1$ , and so  $P_K$  has infinite order,  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}}(E(K)) = 1$  and  $\#\text{III}(E/K) < \infty$  by the work of Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin. This verifies the hypotheses in Theorem 2.3, which (taking  $\Sigma = \emptyset$  and  $P = P_K$ ) yields a formula for  $\#\mathbf{Z}_p/f_{\text{ac}}(0)$  that combined with (5.1) immediately leads to

$$(5.2) \quad \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]) = 2 \cdot \text{ord}_p([E(K) : \mathbf{Z}.P_K]) - \sum_{w|N^+} \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K)),$$

where  $N^+$  is the product of the prime factors of  $N$  which are split in  $K$ . Since  $E[p]$  is ramified at  $q$ , we have  $\text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K)) = 0$  for every prime  $w \mid q$  (see e.g. [Zha14, Lem. 6.3] and the discussion right after it), and since  $N^+ = N/q$  by our choice of  $K$ , we see that (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$(5.3) \quad \text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]) = 2 \cdot \text{ord}_p([E(K) : \mathbf{Z}.P_K]) - \sum_{w|N} \text{ord}_p(c_w(E/K)).$$

On the other hand, as explained in [JSW15, p. 47] the Gross–Zagier formula [GZ86], [YZZ13] (as refined in [CST14]) can be paraphrased as the equality

$$\frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbf{Q})} \cdot \frac{L(E^D, 1)}{\Omega_{E^D}} = [E(K) : \mathbf{Z}.P_K]^2$$

up to a  $p$ -adic unit,<sup>1</sup> which combined with (5.3) and the immediate relation

$$\sum_{w|N} c_w(E/K) = \sum_{\ell|N} c_\ell(E/\mathbf{Q}) + \sum_{\ell|N} c_\ell(E^D/\mathbf{Q})$$

(see [SZ14, Cor. 7.2]) leads to the equality

$$\text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]) = \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbf{Q}) \prod_{\ell|N} c_\ell(E/\mathbf{Q})} \cdot \frac{L(E^D, 1)}{\Omega_{E^D} \prod_{\ell|N} c_\ell(E^D/\mathbf{Q})}\right).$$

Finally, since  $L(E^D, 1) \neq 0$ , by the known  $p$ -part of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for  $E^D$  (as recalled in [JSW15, Thm. 7.2.1]) we arrive at

$$\text{ord}_p(\#\text{III}(E/\mathbf{Q})[p^\infty]) = \text{ord}_p\left(\frac{L'(E, 1)}{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg}(E/\mathbf{Q}) \prod_{\ell|N} c_\ell(E/\mathbf{Q})}\right),$$

concluding the proof of Theorem A.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>This uses a period relation coming from [SZ14, Lem. 9.6], which assumes that  $(D, pN) = 1$ , but the same argument applies replacing  $D$  by  $D/(D, pN)$  in the last paragraph of the proof of their result.

## REFERENCES

- [BDP13] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna, *Generalized Heegner cycles and  $p$ -adic Rankin  $L$ -series*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 6, 1033–1148.
- [BK90] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato,  *$L$ -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400.
- [Cas14] Francesc Castella, *On the  $p$ -adic variation of Heegner points*, preprint, [arXiv:1410.6591](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.6591) (2014).
- [Cas17a] \_\_\_\_\_, *On the exceptional specializations of big Heegner points*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, to appear (2017).
- [Cas17b] \_\_\_\_\_,  *$p$ -adic heights of Heegner points and Beilinson–Flach classes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., to appear (2017).
- [CH17] Francesc Castella and Ming-Lun Hsieh, *Heegner cycles and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Math. Ann., to appear (2017).
- [CST14] Li Cai, Jie Shu, and Ye Tian, *Explicit Gross-Zagier and Waldspurger formulae*, Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 10, 2523–2572.
- [CW16] Francesc Castella and Xin Wan,  *$\Lambda$ -adic Gross-Zagier formula for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, preprint, [arXiv:1607.02019](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02019) (2016).
- [Gre99] Ralph Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves*, Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves (Cetraro, 1997), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1716, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 51–144.
- [GZ86] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of  $L$ -series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 2, 225–320.
- [HB15] Ernest Hunter Brooks, *Shimura curves and special values of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 12, 4177–4241.
- [JSW15] Dimitar Jetchev, Christopher Skinner, and Xin Wan, *The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for elliptic curves of analytic rank one*, preprint, [arXiv:1512.06894](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.06894) (2015).
- [KLZ14] Guido Kings, David Loeffler, and Sarah Zerbes, *Rankin–Selberg Euler systems and  $p$ -adic interpolation*, preprint, [arXiv:1405.3079](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.3079) (2014).
- [KLZ17] \_\_\_\_\_, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, Camb. J. Math. **5** (2017), no. 2, 1–122.
- [Maz78] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld)*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), no. 2, 129–162.
- [Och06] Tadashi Ochiai, *On the two-variable Iwasawa main conjecture*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 5, 1157–1200.
- [PW11] Robert Pollack and Tom Weston, *On anticyclotomic  $\mu$ -invariants of modular forms*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1353–1381.
- [Rib90] K. A. Ribet, *On modular representations of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  arising from modular forms*, Invent. Math. **100** (1990), no. 2, 431–476.
- [Ser72] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331.
- [Sil94] Joseph H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [Ski14] Christopher Skinner, *A converse to a theorem of Gross, Zagier, and Kolyvagin*, preprint, [arXiv:1405.7294](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7294) (2014).
- [Ski16] \_\_\_\_\_, *Multiplicative reduction and the cyclotomic main conjecture for  $\text{GL}_2$* , Pacific J. Math. **283** (2016), no. 1, 171–200.
- [Spr16] Florian Sprung, *The Iwasawa Main Conjecture for elliptic curves at odd supersingular primes*, preprint, [arXiv:1610.10017](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.10017) (2016).
- [SU14] Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban, *The Iwasawa Main Conjectures for  $\text{GL}_2$* , Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 1, 1–277.
- [SZ14] Christopher Skinner and Wei Zhang, *Indivisibility of Heegner points in the multiplicative case*, preprint, [arXiv:1407.1099](https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.1099) (2014).
- [TW95] Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572.
- [Wan14a] Xin Wan, *Iwasawa main conjecture for Rankin–Selberg  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, preprint, [arXiv:1408.4044](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4044) (2014).
- [Wan14b] \_\_\_\_\_, *Iwasawa main conjecture for Rankin–Selberg  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions: non-ordinary case*, preprint, [arXiv:1412.1767](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.1767) (2014).
- [Wan14c] \_\_\_\_\_, *Iwasawa main conjecture for supersingular elliptic curves*, preprint, [arXiv:1411.6352](https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6352) (2014).

- [Wil95] Andrew Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 443–551.
- [YZZ13] Xinyi Yuan, Shou-Wu Zhang, and Wei Zhang, *The Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 184, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013.
- [Zha14] Wei Zhang, *Selmer groups and the indivisibility of Heegner points*, Camb. J. Math. **2** (2014), no. 2, 191–253.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, PRINCETON, NJ 08544-1000, USA  
E-mail address: `fcabello@math.princeton.edu`