

ADDENDUM TO: IWASAWA–GREENBERG MAIN CONJECTURE FOR NONORDINARY MODULAR FORMS AND EISENSTEIN CONGRUCENCES ON $\mathrm{GU}(3, 1)$

FRANCESC CASTELLA, ZHENG LIU, AND XIN WAN

ABSTRACT. We explain how to adapt the proof of the main result in [CLW22] under weaker mod p nonvanishing results than previously used.

1. INTRODUCTION

The proof in [CLW22] uses ℓ -tower mod p nonvanishing results proved in [Hsi12, Hsi14b] for Hecke L -values for imaginary quadratic fields and for central L -values for base change to imaginary quadratic fields of automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ twisted by anticyclotomic characters. The proof in [Hsi12, Hsi14b] are based on the ideas of Hida in [Hid10, Hid04], and a key ingredient is [Hid04, Theorem 3.2]. A few years ago, a gap in the proof of [Hid04, Theorem 3.2] was pointed out by Venkatesh. To address it, an argument has been given in [Hid24] to prove a weakened version of that theorem, which is not sufficient for proving the assertions about nonvanishing modulo p for almost all anticyclotomic twists in an ℓ -tower in [Hid04, Hsi12, Hsi14b], but can be used to prove a weakened statement with “almost all” replaced by “infinitely many”.

More precisely, let \mathcal{K} be an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} in which p splits and $\ell \neq p$ be an odd prime. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\ell^\infty}^-$ denote the maximal pro- ℓ anticyclotomic extension of \mathcal{K} and denote by \mathfrak{X}_ℓ^- the set of finite order characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\ell^\infty}^-/\mathcal{K})$. By replacing the use of [Hid04, Theorem 3.2] in [Hsi12, Hsi14b] by [Hid04, Theorem 0.1], one gets the following weakened versions of [Hsi12, Theorem B] and [Hsi14b, Theorem C]. (Note that [Hsi12, Hsi14b] treat general CM fields, but we will only state the results in the case of imaginary quadratic fields, which is all that we need.)

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let $\chi : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character of ∞ -type $(k + m, -m)$ with k, m positive integers satisfying the conditions in [Hsi12, Theorem B]. Then there are infinitely many $\phi \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^{k+2m} \frac{\Gamma(k+m)}{(2\pi i)^{k+m}} L^{\ell^\infty}(0, \chi\phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_p},$$

with $\Omega_\infty \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ (resp. $\Omega_p \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p^{\mathrm{ur}, \times}$) the complex (resp. p -adic) CM period in [Hsi14a, Section 2.8].

Theorem 1.0.2. *Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with unitary central character generated by a modular form of weight t and $\chi : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character of ∞ -type $(\frac{t}{2} + m, -\frac{t}{2} - m)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that π and χ satisfy the conditions in [Hsi14b, Theorem C]. Then there are infinitely many $\phi \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^{2t+4m} \frac{\Gamma(t+m)\Gamma(m+1)}{(2\pi i)^{t+2m+1}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{BC}(\pi) \times \lambda^2 \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2}\right) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_p}.$$

In [CLW22], [Hsi12, Theorem B] and [Hsi14b, Theorem C] are used in §5.6 to guarantee the existence of auxiliary Hecke characters χ_θ, χ_h such that three normalized L -values are simultaneously p -adic units. The above two theorems (weaker than [Hsi12, Theorem B], [Hsi14b, Theorem C]) can only guarantee the mod p simultaneous nonvanishing of two among the three.

In the following, we explain how to modify the argument in [CLW22] to prove the main theorem there based on the above two theorems. The idea is to choose two sets of auxiliary data, each with two of those three normalized L -values p -adic units, and construct two Klingen Eisenstein families. The key observation is that the p -adic L -function interpolating the third L -value, appearing in the analysis of Fourier–Jacobi coefficients of each of the Klingen Eisenstein family, is one-variable, and the variable is different for the two families, so no height one prime can contain both of the two p -adic L -functions.

2. RECALL THE SETTING

Let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ generated by a newform f of weight 2. Let \mathcal{K} be an imaginary quadratic field. Take a finite extension L of \mathbb{Q}_p containing all the Hecke eigenvalues of f . We assume the following conditions on π :

- for all finite places v of \mathbb{Q} , π_v is either unramified or Steinberg or Steinberg twisted by an unramified quadratic character of \mathbb{Q}_v^\times ,
- π_p is unramified,
- there exists a prime q not split in \mathcal{K} such that π is ramified at q , and if 2 does not split in \mathcal{K} , then π is ramified at 2,
- $\bar{\rho}_\pi|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathcal{K})}$ is irreducible, (which is automatically true if π is not ordinary at p because in this case $\bar{\rho}_\pi|_{G_{\mathcal{K},p}} \cong \bar{\rho}_\pi|_{G_{\mathbb{Q},p}}$ is irreducible by [Edi92]), where $\bar{\rho}_\pi$ denotes the residual representation of the p -adic Galois representation $\rho_\pi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$.

Also, we fix

- an algebraic Hecke character $\xi : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of ∞ -type $(0, k_0)$ with k_0 an even integer.

We also recall some notation from [CLW22]. Given a Hecke character, we use the subscript $_0$ to denote its twist by a power of the norm character which is unitary. For example, $\xi_0 = \xi| \cdot |_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}}^{-\frac{k_0}{2}}$.

The definite unitary groups $\mathrm{U}(2)$, $\mathrm{GU}(2)$ and the unitary group $\mathrm{GU}(3, 1)$ are defined as in §5.4 in *op. cit.*

The character $\lambda : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a chosen character for theta correspondence for unitary groups satisfying

$$\lambda|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\times} = \eta_{\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \lambda_\infty(z) = \frac{|z\bar{z}|^{1/2}}{z}.$$

Let \mathcal{K}_∞ be the maximal abelian pro- p extension of \mathcal{K} unramified outside p and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/\mathcal{K})(\cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2)$. Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\mathrm{ur}}$ the ring of integers of the completion of the maximal unramified extension of L .

3. THE AUXILIARY DATA FOR TWO KLINGEN EISENSTEIN FAMILIES

We fix the following two sets of auxiliary data for constructing Klingen Eisenstein families:

- primes $\ell, \ell' \neq 2, p$ such that ℓ splits in \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q} , ℓ' is inert in \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q} , and $\pi_\ell, \pi_{\ell'}$ are unramified,
- positive integers $c_{v,1}, c_{v,2}$ for each place $v \in \Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}$,
- auxiliary Hecke characters $\chi_{\theta,1}, \chi_{h,1}, \chi_{\theta,2}, \chi_{h,2} : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of ∞ -type $(0, 0)$ with

$$\chi_{h,1}\chi_{\theta,1}^c|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\times} = \chi_{h,2}\chi_{\theta,2}^c|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\times} = \mathrm{triv}$$

such that for each $i = 1, 2$, the triple $(c_{v,i}, \chi_{\theta,i}, \chi_{h,i})$ satisfies properties (1)-(5) listed in [CLW22, §5.6], and furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(2\pi i)^{k-1}} \cdot \gamma_{\bar{p}} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right)^{-1} L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right), \\ & \left(\frac{2\pi i \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^{k-2} \frac{\Gamma(k-2)}{(2\pi i)^{k-2}} \cdot L_p \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \lambda^2 \chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1} \xi_0^c \tau_0^c \right) L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \lambda^2 \chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1} \xi_0^c \tau_0^c \right), \\ & 1 - (\chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1}^c \xi_0 \tau_0)_q(q) q^{-\frac{k-2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(2\pi i)^{k-1}} \cdot \gamma_{\bar{p}} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right)^{-1} L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right), \\ & \left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^4 \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(1)}{(2\pi i)^3} \cdot \gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_p \times (\lambda^2 \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2})_{\bar{p}} \right)^{-1} L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \lambda^2 \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2} \right), \\ & 1 - (\chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2}^c \xi_0 \tau_0)_q(q) q^{-\frac{k-2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

are all p -adic units, and

$$L^q \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \chi_{h,1} \chi_{\theta,1}^c \right) \neq 0, \quad L^q \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \chi_{h,2} \chi_{\theta,2}^c \right) \neq 0.$$

Here $\tau : \mathcal{K}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is an algebraic Hecke character such that $\tau_{p\text{-adic}}$ factors through $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\xi\tau$ has ∞ -type $(0, k)$, $k \geq 6$ even.

To see that the desired $(c_{v,i}, \chi_{\theta,i}, \chi_{h,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, exists, we can first fix places ℓ, ℓ' and positive integer c_v for $v \in \Sigma_{\text{ns}} \cup \{\ell'\}$, and choose $\chi_{\theta,0}, \chi_{h,0}$ satisfying the properties (1)-(5) listed in [CLW22, §5.6]. (We don't require the last condition in (4) for $c_{1,v}, c_{2,v} \in \Sigma_s \cup \{\ell\}$. We can choose these $c_{1,v}, c_{2,v}$'s after choosing $\chi_{\theta,1}, \chi_{h,1}, \chi_{\theta,2}, \chi_{h,2}$.) Then by Theorem 1.0.1 and Theorem 1.0.2 we know that there are infinitely many $\eta_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$, infinitely many $\eta_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ and infinitely many $\eta_3 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ such that

$$(3.0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{2\pi i \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(2\pi i)^{k-1}} \cdot \gamma_{\bar{p}} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \eta_1 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right)^{-1} L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \eta_1 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0}^c \xi_0 \tau_0 \right), \\ & \left(\frac{2\pi i \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^{k-2} \frac{\Gamma(k-2)}{(2\pi i)^{k-2}} \cdot L_p \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \lambda^2 \eta_2 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0} \xi_0^c \tau_0^c \right) L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{k-2}{2}, \lambda^2 \eta_2 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0} \xi_0^c \tau_0^c \right), \\ & \left(\frac{ii \cdot \Omega_p}{\Omega_\infty} \right)^4 \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(1)}{(2\pi i)^3} \cdot \gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_p \times (\lambda^2 \eta_3 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0})_{\bar{p}} \right)^{-1} L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \lambda^2 \eta_3 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are all p -adic units. Also, by [Hun17], we know that for all but finitely many $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$,

$$(3.0.2) \quad 1 - \eta_1 (\chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0}^c \xi_0 \tau_0)_q(q) q^{-\frac{k-2}{2}}$$

is a p -adic unit, and

$$(3.0.3) \quad L^q \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \eta_1 \chi_{h,0} \chi_{\theta,0}^c \right) \neq 0.$$

(For this L -value, we can choose $p' \neq \ell$, different from p , such that the results in [Hun17] apply.) Therefore, we can pick $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ such that the values in (3.0.1)(3.0.2) are p -adic units and (3.0.3) holds. Take $\nu_1, \mu_1, \nu_2, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_\ell^-$ such that

$$\nu_1 \mu_1^c = \nu_2 \mu_2^c = \eta_1, \quad \nu_1 \mu_1 = \eta_2, \quad \nu_2 \mu_2 = \eta_3.$$

Then

$$\chi_{\theta,i} = \nu_i \chi_{\theta,0}, \quad \chi_{h,i} = \mu_i \chi_{h,0}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

are the desired characters.

4. KLINGEN EISENSTEIN FAMILIES AND THEIR NONVANISHING PROPERTY

Theorem 4.0.1. *There exist $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \pi^{\text{GU}(2)}$ such that from the given auxiliary data $\chi_{\theta,i}, \chi_{h,i}, c_{v,i}$ fixed in §3 and $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$, we can construct semi-ordinary Klingen Eisenstein families*

$$\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_1,1}^{\text{Kling}}, \mathbf{E}_{\varphi_2,2}^{\text{Kling}} \in \text{Meas} \left(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}, V_{\text{GU}(3,1),\xi} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}} \right)^{\natural} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (i) For all algebraic Hecke characters $\tau : \mathcal{K}^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ such that its p -adic avatar $\tau_{p\text{-adic}}$ factors through $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\xi\tau$ has ∞ -type $(0, k)$ with $k \geq 6$ even, $\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_1,1}^{\text{Kling}}(\tau_{p\text{-adic}}), \mathbf{E}_{\varphi_2,2}^{\text{Kling}}(\tau_{p\text{-adic}})$ are Klingen Eisenstein series on $\text{GU}(3, 1)$ inducing $\xi_0 \tau_0 | \cdot |^{\frac{k-3}{2}} \boxtimes \pi^{\text{GU}(2)}$.
- (ii) For all cusp labels $g \in C(K_f^p)$ (defined as in [CLW22, §4.1]) and $g' \in \text{GU}(2)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f})$,

$$\left(\Phi_g \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g') \right) \subset \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi,\mathbb{Q}}^{\Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}} \mathcal{L}_{\pi, \mathcal{K}, \xi}^{\Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

as ideals in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, where Φ_g is the restriction to the stratum indexed by g (cf. Proposition 4.4.1 in loc. cit, $\mathcal{L}_{\xi,\mathbb{Q}}^{\Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}}, \mathcal{L}_{\pi, \mathcal{K}, \xi}^{\Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ are the p -adic L -functions introduced at the beginning of §6.1 in loc. cit. ($\mathcal{L}_{\pi, \mathcal{K}, \xi}^{\Sigma \cup \{\ell, \ell'\}}$ is the p -adic L -function appearing in the main conjecture studied in loc. cit.)

- (iii) Let $\beta = 1$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \in \text{Meas} \left(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}, V_{\text{GU}(2)}^{J,\beta} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}} \right)$ is the β -th Fourier–Jacobi coefficient of $\binom{u}{1_2} \mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i}^{\text{Kling}}$ along the boundary stratum indexed by the cusp label $\mathbf{1}_4 \in C(K_f^p K_{p,n}^1)_{\text{ord}}$ as defined in Equation (7.0.1) in loc. cit. There exist linear functionals

$$l_{\theta_{1,1}^J}, l_{\theta_{1,2}^J} : V_{\text{GU}(2)}^{J,\beta} \longrightarrow V_{\text{U}(2)}$$

such that the ideal generated by

$$(4.0.1) \quad l_{\theta_{1,1}^J} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_1,1,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g), l_{\theta_{1,2}^J} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_2,2,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g), \quad g \in \text{U}(2)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q},f}), \quad u \in \bigotimes_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{ns}} \cup \{\ell'\}} \text{U}(1)(\mathbb{Q}_v),$$

does not belong to any height one prime in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

See Equation (5.8.6), §5.4, Equation (4.7.2), §5.8.3 in [CLW22] for the notations $\text{Meas}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}, V_{\text{GU}(3,1),\xi})^{\natural}, \pi^{\text{GU}(2)}, V_{\text{GU}(2)}^{J,\beta}, V_{\text{U}(2)}$.

Proof. Let $U_{\mathcal{K},p} = 1 + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K},p}$ and pick N , a non-negative power of p , such that we have the embedding

$$\mathcal{P}_N : \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}} \xleftarrow{N\text{-th power}} U_{\mathcal{K},p}.$$

The embedding \mathcal{P}_N induces maps $\mathcal{P}_{N,*}$ from p -adic measures on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ to p -adic measures on $U_{\mathcal{K},p}$. For $i = 1, 2$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_{1,i}, \mathcal{L}_{5,i}, \mathcal{L}_{6,i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[U_{\mathcal{K},p}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,i} \in L^{\text{ur}}$$

be as in [CLW22, page 76, page 74] with χ_h, χ_{θ} in loc. cit replaces by $\chi_{h,i}, \chi_{\theta,i}$, with $\mathcal{L}_{1,i}, \mathcal{L}_{2,i}$ interpolating

$$L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \lambda^2 \chi_{h,i} \chi_{\theta,i} \tau_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_N}^{-c} \right), \quad L^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \text{BC}(\pi) \times \chi_{h,i} \chi_{\theta,i}^c \right),$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{5,i}, \mathcal{L}_{6,i}$ interpolating

$$L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{Nk-2}{2}, \chi_h \chi_{\theta}^c \xi_0 \tau_0^N \right), \quad L^{p\infty} \left(\frac{Nk-2}{2}, \lambda^2 \chi_h \chi_{\theta} \tau_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}_N} \tau_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}_N}^{-c} (\xi_0 \tau_0^N)^c \right),$$

where the character $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}_N}$ of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ is defined as $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P}_N} = \tau_{p\text{-adic}}|_{U_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}}} \circ \mathfrak{P}_N$. Since $p = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ splits in \mathcal{K} , we have

$$U_{\mathcal{K}, p} = U_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}} \times U_{\mathcal{K}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^+$$

with

$$U_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}} = 1 + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}}, \quad U_{\mathcal{K}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}}^+ = \{(a, a) \in (1 + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}}) \times (1 + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}}) : a \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

A key observation here is that, by looking at the interpolation properties of $\mathcal{L}_{1,i}, \mathcal{L}_{6,i}$, we see that

$$(4.0.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_{1,i} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, \mathfrak{p}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{6,i} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}^+]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

It follows from the choice of $\chi_{\theta, i}, \chi_{h, i}$ in §3 that

$$(4.0.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \mathcal{L}_{2,1} \mathcal{L}_{5,1} \mathcal{L}_{6,1} &\in \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \right)^{\times}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{1,2} \mathcal{L}_{2,2} \mathcal{L}_{5,2} \mathcal{L}_{6,2} &\in \mathcal{L}_{6,2} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \right)^{\times} \end{aligned}$$

We also know that

$$(4.0.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{1,1} \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{6,2} \neq 0.$$

The nonvanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{6,2}$ follows immediately from the nonvanishing of the values with $k \gg 0$ it interpolates. The nonvanishing of $\mathcal{L}_{1,1}$ follows from the results on the μ -invariants in [Hsi14b]. (Note that the proof Theorem B in *op. cit.* shows that the μ -invariant of $\mathcal{L}_{1,1}$ is a positive integer without the need to assume the assumptions (2)(3) there.)

For $i = 1, 2$, we choose Schwartz function $\phi_{1,i}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ as in [CLW22, Proposition 7.6.1] (noting that the choice in *loc. cit.* works for all forms inside the space (5.6.1) in *loc. cit.*, so we can choose $\phi_{1,i}$ only depending on the auxiliary data fixed in §3). Then in the same way as in §7.7 in *op. cit.*, we construct integral ordinary CM families $\mathbf{h}_i, \check{\mathbf{h}}_{3,i}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i, \check{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{3,i}$ on $U(2)$ (as p -adic measures on $U_{\mathcal{K}, p}$), and choose φ_i as in Proposition 7.11.2 in *loc. cit.* The same construction as in §§5.7-5.10 in *loc. cit.* applied to the auxiliary data fixed in §3 gives semi-ordinary p -adic Klingen Eisenstein families

$$\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_{1,1}}^{\text{Kling}}, \mathbf{E}_{\varphi_{2,2}}^{\text{Kling}} \in \text{Meas} \left(\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}, V_{\text{GU}(3,1), \xi} \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}} \right)^{\natural} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

satisfying (i), and the same computation as in §6 in *op. cit.* shows (ii).

To prove (iii), the same proof of Propositions 7.9.1, 7.11.1, 7.11.2 in *loc. cit.* shows

$$(4.0.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\langle \left(\mathcal{S}_{\text{ns}} \check{\mathbf{h}} \right) |_{U(2)}, \mathfrak{P}_{N,*} \left(l_{\theta^j} \left(\sum_i b_i \mathbf{E}_{\varphi, \beta, u_i}^{\text{Kling}} \right) \right) \right\rangle_{p\text{-adic}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{up to a unit in}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \frac{\mathcal{L}_{1,i} \mathcal{L}_{2,i} \mathcal{L}_{5,i} \mathcal{L}_{6,i}}{\left\langle \left(\mathcal{S}'_{\text{ns}} \check{\mathbf{h}}_3 \right) |_{U(2)}, \check{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_3^{\lambda} \varphi' \right\rangle_{p\text{-adic}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by J the ideal in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ generated by elements in (4.0.1). Combining (4.0.3) and (4.0.5), we see that $\mathfrak{P}_N(J)$ contains $(\mathcal{L}_{1,1}, \mathcal{L}_{6,2}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Thanks to (4.0.2), we deduce that $\mathfrak{P}_N(J)$ does not belong to any height one prime in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Because \mathfrak{P}_N embeds $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ into $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[U_{\mathcal{K}, p}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, which is integral over $\mathfrak{P}_N(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$, it follows that J does not belong to any height one prime in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. \square

5. THE KLINGEN EISENSTEIN IDEAL AND GREENBERG–IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURE

Theorem 5.0.1. *Theorem 8.1.1 in [CLW22] holds.*

Proof. The Eisenstein families $\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_1,1}^{\text{Kling}}, \mathbf{E}_{\varphi_2,2}^{\text{Kling}}$ in Theorem 4.0.1 belongs to the Hecke eigensystem $\lambda_{\text{Eis},\pi,\xi}$ in §8.1 in *op. cit.* Let P be a height one prime of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_L^{\text{ur}}[[\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ considered in the proof of Theorem 8.1.1 in *op. cit.* Then Theorem 4.0.1 implies that for $i = 1$ or 2 , $\beta = 1$, there exist $g \in \text{U}(2)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $u \in \bigotimes_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{ns}} \cup \{\ell'\}} \text{U}(1)(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ such that $l_{\theta_{1,i}^J} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g) \notin P$. The remaining argument in Theorem 8.1.1 in *op. cit.* goes through with $l_{\theta_{1,i}^J} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g)$ replaced by $l_{\theta_{1,i}^J} \left(\mathbf{E}_{\varphi_i,i,\beta,u}^{\text{Kling}} \right) (g)$. \square

Once Theorem 8.1.1 in [CLW22] on the Klingen Eisenstein ideal is proved, the main results on the Greenberg–Iwasawa main conjecture in *op. cit.* follow without change from the lattice construction there.

Theorem 5.0.2. *Theorems 8.2.1 and 8.2.3 in [CLW22] hold.*

REFERENCES

- [CLW22] Francesc Castella, Zheng Liu, and Xin Wan. Iwasawa–Greenberg main conjecture for nonordinary modular forms and Eisenstein congruences on $\text{GU}(3,1)$. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 10:Paper No. e110, 90, 2022. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven. The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):563–594, 1992. [2](#)
- [Hid04] Haruzo Hida. Non-vanishing modulo p of Hecke L -values. In *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II*, pages 735–784. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004. [1](#)
- [Hid10] Haruzo Hida. The Iwasawa μ -invariant of p -adic Hecke L -functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):41–137, 2010. [1](#)
- [Hid24] Haruzo Hida. Non-vanishing of integrals of a mod p modular form. 2024. <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~hida/EllrevF.pdf>. [1](#)
- [Hsi12] Ming-Lun Hsieh. On the non-vanishing of Hecke L -values modulo p . *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(6):1503–1539, 2012. [1](#)
- [Hsi14a] Ming-Lun Hsieh. Eisenstein congruence on unitary groups and Iwasawa main conjectures for CM fields. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(3):753–862, 2014. [1](#)
- [Hsi14b] Ming-Lun Hsieh. Special values of anticyclotomic Rankin–Selberg L -functions. *Doc. Math.*, 19:709–767, 2014. [1](#), [5](#)
- [Hun17] Pin-Chi Hung. On the non-vanishing mod ℓ of central L -values with anticyclotomic twists for Hilbert modular forms. *J. Number Theory*, 173:170–209, 2017. [3](#)

F. C.: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA, UNITED STATES
Email address: castella@math.ucsb.edu

Z. L.: UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA, UNITED STATES
Email address: zliu@math.ucsb.edu

X. W.: ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: xwan@math.ac.cn