Quantum geometric Langlands as a fully extended TFT David Jordan (University of Edinburgh) - and this lot:



Recent approach via factorization homology & cobordism hypothesis II) III) Applications in quantum topology and representation theory

### Broader goals:

I)

- Underscore utility and convenience of stacks and higher categories
- Introduce stratified factorization homology, and its zoo of defects
- Emphasize 4D KW viewpoint vs 3D CS viewpoint on skeins
- Formulate S-duality/Langlands duality in skein theory

#### Character stacks

Fix G reductive (e.g. GL(N), SL(N)).

Def: A G-local system on a space X is a principal G-bundle E over X, together with a flat connection.

Our primary object of study is the character stack:

$$Ch(X) = \begin{cases} G-local systems \\ m X \end{cases} = \begin{cases} p:\pi_1(X) \rightarrow G \\ conj b \\ G \end{cases}$$

Via gauge-fixing, we can present it as a quotient stack:  $Ch^{fr}(X) = \begin{cases} G-local system E = X \\ u/ trovralization E_x = G \\ at x \in X \end{cases} \cong \begin{cases} p:\pi_1(X) \longrightarrow G \\ p:\pi_1(X) \longrightarrow G \end{cases}$  $(h(X)) = Ch^{fr}(X)/G$ 

Ch(X) is (2-dim(X))-shifted symplectic (Pantev-Toen-Vaquie-Vezzosi).

- When dim(X)=2, given by the Atiyah-Bott/Goldman/Fock-Rosly bracket.
- When dim(X)=3, given by the Batalin-Vilkovisky structure on the critical locus of the Chern-Simons action functional.

Appearances of quantum character stacks in physics

Kapustin-Witten twist of 4D N=4 theory

- has a dependence on a parameter  $\psi$ .
- On surfaces, it should attach a category  $Fuk(T^*Bun(5))$ , which is Beti 2 (Ben-Zui-Nadleu mathematically ill-defined.

Druse (Bur(E))

DY-mod (Bun(4))

Q((Ch(2))

JQ(Ch(E))

- Varying  $\psi$  <=> q-deformation.

4D N=2 "Theories of class S", from a Riemann surface.

- Compactifying on cirlce gives "Sicilian" 3D N=4 theory.
- Its Coulomb branch is the Hitchin system (Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke), whose hyper-Kahler rotation is  $Ch(\Sigma)$ .
- Omega-background <=> g-deformation.

### Character stacks vs. character varieties

We study a quotient stack through its category of sheaves:

- O(X) gets an action of the group G
- -QC(X/G) = G-equivariant O(X)-modules

$$-QC(X/G) = O(X)^G - modules$$

- We have a functor  $\Gamma:QC(X/G) \rightarrow QC(\overline{X/G})$ , but is destructive.

#### Who cares about stacks?

- Character stacks glue, their quotient varieties don't!
- Example: Compute  $Ch(S^1)$  and hence  $Z(S^1) = QC(Ch(S^1))$ . QC(pt/G) = G-equivariant vector spaces = Rep(G). Chfr([0,1]) = pt. Ch([0,1]) = pt/G. Ch([0,1]) = pt.

$$Z(0) = Z(() \boxtimes Z()) = \operatorname{RepG} \otimes \operatorname{RepG} - \operatorname{Geq}, O(G) - \operatorname{noelules}_{Z()} = \operatorname{RepG} \otimes \operatorname{RepG} - \operatorname{Q}((G)) - \operatorname{noelules}_{Z()} = \operatorname{RepG} - \operatorname{RepG} - \operatorname{Q}((G)) - \operatorname{RepG} - \operatorname{RepG$$

 $Ch^{fr}(S^{1}) = G.$   $Ch(S^{1}) = \frac{G}{G}.$   $\overline{Ch}(S^{1}) = \operatorname{Spec}(O(G)) = H_{W}$ 

# Quantizations of character varieties of surfaces

Historically, how it was done:

- Skein algebras (Przytycki, Turaev, many others...) for G=SL(2) mostly: Sk(M3)= C. {links}/skeins Sk(ExI) is an algebra via Sk(ExI) is an algebra via XI= q<sup>2</sup> Qi + q<sup>7</sup>/2 JQ Stacking in I direction.

Thm (Bullock-Frohman-Kania-Bartoszynska): At q=1, Sk(S) = O(Ch(S)), with AB/G Poisson bracket. g: gens : # punctures. - Moduli algebras (Alekseev-Grosse-Schomerus) - any G, Spunctured  $Ch^{fv}(\Sigma_{gir}) \cong G^{2g+v-1}, if v \ge 1$   $AGS \qquad A(\Sigma_{gir}) := O_{q}(G) \otimes O_{q}(G)$   $O(Ch^{fv}(\Sigma_{gir})) \cong O(G)^{\otimes 2g+v-1}$  Zg+v-1 Zg+v-1cross relig use quantity R matrices, after Fock-Roshy

- Quantum cluster algebras (Fock-Goncharov):
  - Consider decorated character variety (B-reductions near boundaries)
  - Triangulations/Ptolemy flips give toric log-canonical charts/mutations.  $\Delta \text{ of } \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\Delta) \subseteq Ch^{dec}(\Sigma), \quad \mathcal{U}(\Delta) \cong (\mathbb{C}^{*})^{r}, \quad \{z_{i}, z_{j}\} = a_{ij} z_{i} \cdot z_{j}$ "log canonical" Guentization XiXj= q<sup>2</sup>XjXi.

## Topological factorization homology

(Ben-Zvi-Francis-Nadler) Ch(X) is a (2-shifted) sigma model, Ch(X) = Maps(X, BG), hence it satisfies excision: ( v J v  $Ch(S, US_2) = Ch(S,) \times Ch(S_2)$  PKI Ch(PKI) $= \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \cup S_2 \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \ll \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \ll \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \ll \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_2 \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left( S_1 \right$ (Lurie, Ayala-Francis) Factorization homology is the universal assignment, (Lurie, Ayaia-Francis) routories  $(M, A) \longrightarrow Z_A(M) = \int A \subset Category$   $M^- \land K \in E_n-algebra$  mfld (or vector space, or Chain conplex, or dg-rat...)

The En algebra are the local observables,  $Z_A(M)$  is the global observables. A symmetric monoidal category like Rep(G) is an En-algebra for any n.

### Quantum character stacks (BZBJ1, BZBJ2)

In the case n=2, E2-algebras are braided tensor categories.

Defn: The quantum character stack is:

$$Z(\Sigma) := Z_{\text{Rep}}(\Sigma) = \int RepaG$$

Computations:

1) (BZBJ1) For punctured surfaces with a gate, we recover AGS algebras:  $Z(\Sigma) \simeq U_q(g)$ -equivariant  $A(\Sigma)$ -modules

2) (BZBJ2, BJ, GJV) For G=GL(N), on the closed torus we recover the q=t specialization of the sph. DAHA, via quantum Hamiltonian reduction.

$$Z(\bigcirc) = Z(\bigcirc) \otimes Z(\bigcirc)$$

3) (Cooke) For any surface, we recover Walker's "skein category":



Stratified factorization homology (Ayala-Francis-Tanaka)

This allows manifold with defects, retaining functoriality and excision.
Locality replaced by richer algebraic structures:

Local data



Central tensor & bimodule cat's



Quantum symmetric pairs & K-matrices

### Example coefficients

Conjugacy classes, G-Hamiltonian spaces CRepgGLN CMir(t)

Parabolic induction, flag varieties, Stokes phenomena ZRepaG ZRepaT ZRepaB B Bu Bu

> Symmetric spaces, orbifold character stacks

RepaG

0: C-7 C





Example output

Type A DAHA for q≠t。(J,BJ, Varagnolo−Vasserot)

Fock—Goncharov style quantization (JLSS, next slide)



Boalch—style quantization (AJP)



Type C DAHA (JM, Weelinck, JW)

### More details on Fock-Goncharov cluster quantization

We consider the decorated character stack, the moduli space of:

- G-local systems in white G-region
- T-local system in yellow T-region
- B-reduction of the resulting GxT-local system along red defects.



Defn (JLSS): The quantum decorated character stack is the factorization homology with coefficients in the triple (Repg(G), Repg(B), Repg(T)).

Thm(JLSS): Let G=SL(2). Each triangulation  $\Delta$  of S determines a subcategory  $Z(\Delta)$  of Z(S), an equivalence  $Z(\Delta)=A(\Delta)-mod$ , and and isomorphism,  $A(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{C}_q[X1^{\pm 1},..., Xr^{\pm 1}]$  (a quantum torus). triangulation excision  $\longrightarrow$  (computation of  $\Delta$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\Delta$ 

Recovers, extends quantum cluster A-, X-, and P- varieties of Fock-Goncharov and Goncharov-Shen.

This page was in case of guestions... 

Skein theory is intrinsically 4-dimensional => KW, not CS Most approaches to skein theory focus on 3-dimensional aspects.

But, braided tensor categories naturally form a 4-category (Haugseng, Johnson-Freyd-Scheimbauer)

- Objects are braided tensor categories A, B, ...
- Hom(A,B) are central tensor categories C,D, ...
- Hom(C,D) are central bimodule categories M,N, ...
- Hom(M,N) are bimodule functors F,G, ...
- Hom(F,G) are natural transformations.

Results: Applying cobordism hypothesis n-dualizability => n-dim TFT's.

1) (Calaque-Scheimbauer) All braided tensor categories are 2-dualizable.

- 2) (BJS) Rigid braided tensor categories are 3-dualizable.
- 3) (BJS, after Freed-Teleman, Walker) Braided fusion categories are 4-dualizable.
- 4) (BJSS) (non-semisimple) modular tensor categories are invertible.
- MTC's give Crane-Yetter theories, hence 3D WRT/CS theories.

## Applications to skein theory

Theorem (GuJS, conj. by Witten) Suppose q is generic, and M is an arbitrary closed, oriented 3-manifold. Then the skein module of M has finite dimension.

Theorem (GaJS, asked by Bonahon–Wong, see Frohman–Kania–Bartoszynska–Le) Suppose instead q is a root of unity. Then the skein algebra of  $\Sigma$  is Azumaya (i.e. locally Morita–trivial) over the smooth locus of the character variety.

#### Main ideas:

- First lift the problem from quantum character variety to quantum character stack (c.f. Walker's skein category TFT).
- Given a Heegard splitting of  $M = M1 \bigcup M2$ , write  $Z(M) = Z(M1) \circ Z(M2)$ , where  $Z(M1): Vect \rightarrow Z(\Sigma)$ ,  $Z(M2): Z(\Sigma) \rightarrow Vect$ .
- $Z(\Sigma)$  is the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of  $Z(\Sigma_0)$ . We express  $Z(M_1) \circ Z(M_2)$  instead as  $Z(M_1) \bigotimes_{A \in \Sigma} Z(M_2)$ .
- $A(\Sigma)$  is a quantization of a smooth variety  $Ch^{fr}(\Sigma^{o})$ .  $Z(M_1)$ ,  $Z(M_2)$  are holonomic modules, i.e. they quantize Lagrangians  $G^{g} \subset G^{2g}$ .
- Finite-dimensionality follows from Kashiwara-Schapiro theory of def. guantization and holonomicity.

## Langlands/S-duality for skein modules

At q=1, the TFT Z(X) recovers the character variety: - For X=S, it recovers the monoidal category  $QC(\frac{6}{6})=QC(Ch(S^1))$ - For X= $\Sigma$  a surface, it recovers the category  $QC(Ch(\Sigma))$ . - For X=M a 3-manifold it recovers the vector space O(Ch(M)). These are precisely the state spaces of Kapustin-Witten equations in each dimension, for  $\psi = \infty$ .

Expectation: For generic values of q, and corresponding generic values of  $\psi$ , Z(M) recovers the Kapustin-Witten state space of M.

Conj. (BZGUJS): The dimension of skein module at generic values of q coincide, for G and its Langlands dual G (e.g. SL(2) and PGL(2)).

Evidence: computations for SL(N) and PGL(N) on  $T^3$  and lens spaces.