Path integral derivations of K-theoretic Donaldson invariants

Heeyeon Kim (Rutgers)

Based on a work to appear with J. Manschot, G. Moore, R. Tao, X. Zhang

Five-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories provide important playgrounds in studying interaction between geometry and physics.

- They can be obtained by geometric engineering of M-theory on local Calabi-Yau threefolds. [Seiberg 96] [Morrison, Seiberg, Intriligator 96]...
- ∃ UV completion with 5d or 6d SCFTs [Seiberg, 96]
- Relation to 6d QFTs or lower dimensional QFTs by KK reductions

Key tools have been various exact computations of partition functions and indices, defined on compact manifolds such as S^5 , $S^4 \times S^1$ or $\mathbb{C}^2_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \times S^1$.

I will discuss correlators of 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ pure SU(2) gauge theory on $M_4 \times S^1$ with a topological twist on M_4 , when M_4 is a smooth closed four-manifold.

$$\langle W_{\mathfrak{R}}(x_1)\cdots D(S_1)\cdots \rangle_{M_4\times S^1} = \int [dV] W_{\mathfrak{R}}(x_1)\cdots D(S_1)\cdots e^{-S[V]}$$

Mathematically, they compute the K-theoretic Donaldson invariants on M_4 , which can be schematically written as

$$Z_{\mu}[\mathcal{R}, \{x_i, S_i\}] = \sum_{n} \mathcal{R}^{d(n)} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu}} \hat{A}(T\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu}) \left(\mathsf{Ch}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbb{E})/x_1\right) \cdots e^{\mu(S_1)} \cdots,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu}$ is the moduli space of instantons on M_4 . This formula can be thought of as a natural 4d analogue of the Verlinde formula.

The goal of this talk is to establish the precise relation between these two expressions and provide their physical derivations.

The K-theoretic Donaldson invariants have been considered in various physical and mathematical context.

- [Nekrasov] [Losev, Nekrasov, Shatashvili, 98] toric localization, K-theoretic instanton partition function
- [Göttsche, Nakajima, Yoshioka, 06] wall-crossing formula for algebraic toric surfaces
- [Göttsche, Kool, Williams 19] Verlinde formulas for algebraic surfaces
- [Hosseini, Yaakov, Zaffaroni 18] [Crichigno, Jain, Willett 18] toric localization and holography

However, a complete path integral derivation in a 5d gauge theory point of view is not available in the literature.

In this talk, I will provide two different physical ways of computing the K-theoretic Donaldson invariants. This reproduces and generalizes the result of [Göttsche, Nakajima, Yoshioka, 06] and [Göttsche, Kool, Williams 19].

- 1. Coulomb branch computation ("4d IR")
 - via U-plane integral analysis
 - applicable for general class of M_4 $(b_2^+(M_4) > 0$ and $b_1(M_4) = 0)$
- 2. Localization in SU(2) gauge theory ("4d UV")
 - from the perspective of SU(2) instanton counting
 - restricted to toric M₄
 - useful in understanding geometric interpretation of the partition function via reduction to SQM

In particular, when $b_2^+(M_4) = 1$, the partition functions are expected to jump discontinuously as a function of metric on M_4 .

We derive the wall-crossing formulas in the two approaches and show that they agree.

5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ SU(2) Gauge Theory

5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ SU(2) gauge theory on \mathbb{R}^5

• 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet

$$V = (A^{\mu}, \sigma, \lambda^{A}, D^{AB})$$

• Supersymmetric YMs action

$$S_{\rm YM} = \frac{1}{g_{\rm YM}^2} \int d^5 x \left[\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + |D_A \sigma|^2 + \frac{1}{2} D^{AB} D_{AB} + ({\rm fermionic}) \right]$$

• Global symmetry group is $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_I$, where $U(1)_I$ is flavor symmetry associated to the current

$$j = * \operatorname{tr}(F \wedge F)$$
,

whose charged particles are instanton particles. In particular, the gauge coupling constant $g_{\rm YM}$ can be thought of as the VEV of the scalar field in the background vector multiplet $V_{(1)}$ for $U(1)_{I}$,

$$\sigma_{(I)} \sim rac{1}{g_{
m YM}^2} \; .$$

• We also consider a mixed Chern-Simons term between SU(2) and $U(1)_I$

$$S_{\text{mixed CS}} = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi^2} \int F_{(I)} \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A^3\right)$$

• We also consider a mixed Chern-Simons term between SU(2) and $U(1)_I$

$$S_{\text{mixed CS}} = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi^2} \int F_{(I)} \wedge \text{tr} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A^3 \right) \\ + \frac{\kappa}{8\pi^2} \int d^5 x \, \sigma_{(I)} \left[\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + |D_A \sigma|^2 + \frac{1}{2} D^{IJ} D_{IJ} \right] \\ + \frac{\kappa}{4\pi^2} \int d^5 x \left[-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu}_{(I)} \text{tr} (\sigma F_{\mu\nu}) - D^{\mu} \sigma_{(I)} \text{tr} (\sigma D_{\mu} \sigma) \right. \\ \left. -\frac{1}{2} D^{IJ}_{(I)} \text{tr} (\sigma D_{IJ}) \right] + (\text{fermionic})$$

It is useful to think of the YMs action as a part of the supersymmetrized mixed CS action.

We consider the theory on $M_4 \times S^1$, with a topological twist on M_4 ,

$$[SU(2)_- \times SU(2)_+] \times SU(2)_R \leftarrow SU(2)_- \times SU(2)'$$

This gives a BRST supercharge $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ such that :

$$\begin{split} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}A_{\mu} &= \psi_{\mu} , & \bar{\mathcal{Q}}\psi_{\mu} &= F_{\mu5} + iD_{\mu}\sigma \\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}(iA_5 + \sigma) &= 0 , & \mathcal{Q}\chi_{\mu\nu} &= F^+_{\mu\nu} + D_{\mu\nu} \\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}(iA_5 - \sigma) &= \eta , & \bar{\mathcal{Q}}\eta &= D_5\sigma \\ \bar{\mathcal{Q}}D_{\mu\nu} &= D_5\chi_{\mu\nu} + [\sigma, \chi_{\mu\nu}] , \end{split}$$

which satisfies $\bar{Q}^2 \sim \partial_5$. This procedure gives a (partial) topological theory on $M_4 \times S^1$.

The topological reduction gives rise to 1d supersymmetric quantum mechanics on S^1 with one supercharge \bar{Q} .

What does the partition function compute?

Reduction to SQM and moduli space of instantons

The \bar{Q} -fixed point equations tell us that A is an anti-self-dual connection on M_4 :

$$F_A^+ = 0 \; .$$

The effective supersymmetric quantum mechanics on S^1 is the 1d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ "sigma-model" into the moduli space of instantons on M_4 ,

$$S^1 o \mathcal{M}_\mu$$
,

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,n} ,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}$ is the moduli space of ASD connections with instanton number n with $w_2(P) = \mu$.

The Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of QM is the space of sections of the spin bundle on \mathcal{M}_{μ} ,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\mu,n} \; .$$

The topologically twisted partition function on $M_4 \times S^1$ can be identified with the Witten index of the QM on S^1 :

$$Z_{\mu}[\mathcal{R}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}^{4n-3(1+b_2^+)/2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mu,n}}(-1)^F$$
.

From the standard argument of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQM, the Witten index can be written as an intersection integral over \mathcal{M}_{μ} [Nekrasov]

$$Z_{\mu}[\mathcal{R}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}^{4n-3(1+b_{2}^{+})/2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}} \hat{A}(T\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}) ,$$

where

$$\hat{A}(T\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}) = \prod_i \frac{x_i/2}{\sinh[x_i/2]} \; .$$

• Wilson loop along S¹

$$W_{\mathfrak{R}}(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{R}} \operatorname{\mathsf{P}exp} \int_{S^1} (iA_5 + \sigma) dx^5 \; ,$$

where $x \in H_0(M_4)$.

- Topological descents of Wilson loop
- 3D CS like defect on $S^1 \times S$, where $S \in H_2(M_4)$ [Baulieu, Losev, Nekrasov]

$$S_{
m mixed \ CS} = \int_{M_4 imes S^1} F_{(l)} \wedge {
m Tr} \left(A \wedge dA + rac{2}{3} A^3
ight) + ({
m SYSY \ completion}) \ ,$$

for a closed two-form $F_{(I)} = PD(S^1 \times S)$.

• Other 3D TFTs

Consider the 3D Chern-Simons like defect,

$$S_{ ext{mixed CS}}[V] = rac{1}{4\pi^2} \int F_{(I)} \wedge ext{Tr}\left(A \wedge dA + rac{2}{3}A^3
ight) + (ext{SYSY completion}) \;,$$

with $[F_{(I)}/2\pi] = \mathfrak{n}_I$. This corresponds to a choice of line bundle L_I on M_4 with $c_1(L_I) = \mathfrak{n}_I$.

Let Z^J be the coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}$. The mixed CS action $S_{\text{mixed CS}}[V]$ induces a coupling in the effective QM,

$$S_{\text{mixed CS}}[V] \xrightarrow[\text{reduction on } M_4]{} \int_{S^1} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \dot{Z}^J dt ,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the connection on a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{(I)}$ on \mathcal{M}_{μ} with

$$\mathcal{F} = d\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = rac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{M_4}F_{(l)}\wedge {
m Tr}\left(\mathbb{F}\wedge\mathbb{F}
ight) \;,$$

where \mathbb{F} is the curvature of the universal bundle \mathbb{E} on $\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu} \times M_4$.

3D CS-like defect and the moduli space

In the presence of the coupling

$$\int_{S^1} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_J \dot{Z}^J dt \; ,$$

the Hilbert space of the QM is now the space of sections of $S \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(I)}$ on \mathcal{M} . This leads to the expression

$$Z_{\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}^{4n-3(1+b_{2}^{+})/2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}} \hat{A}(T\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}) \wedge \exp c_{1}(\mathcal{L}_{(l)}) \ .$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{F} = d\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = rac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_4} F_{(l)} \wedge {
m Tr} \left(\mathbb{F} \wedge \mathbb{F}
ight) \; ,$$

can be thought of as a Donaldson map,

$$\mu: H_2(M_4) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{M}) ,$$

for a co-dimension two locus $S = PD(c_1(L_1)) \in H_2(M_4)$. Therefore we can also write $\exp c_1(\mathcal{L}_{(I)}) = \exp \mu(S)$.

We also consider an insertion of a Wilson loop at $x \in H_0(M_4)$.

$$W_{\mathfrak{R}}(x) = \mathsf{Tr}_{\mathfrak{R}} \, \mathsf{P} \exp \int_{S^1} (iA_5 + \sigma) dx^5 \; .$$

This leads to the insertion of the Chern character in representation \mathfrak{R} ,

 $\operatorname{Ch}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbb{E})/x$,

where $/: H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu} \times M_4) \times H_i(M_4) \to H^{\bullet-i}(\mathcal{M}).$

To summarize,

$$Z_{\mu}[\mathcal{R}, \{x_{\mathfrak{R}}, S\}] = \sum_{n} \mathcal{R}^{4n-3(1+b_{2}^{+})/2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}} \hat{A}(T\mathcal{M}_{\mu,n}) \left(\mathsf{Ch}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\mathbb{E})/x \right) e^{\mu(S)}$$

Our approach to computation of the partition functions on $M_4 \times S^1$:

- The 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory on S^1 can be thought of as an effective 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory on M_4 , with infinitely many Kaluza-Klein particles with mass $m_{\mathrm{KK}} \sim n/R$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Using the topological invariance on M_4 , we scale the metric on M_4 as

$$g_{\mu\nu}
ightarrow tg_{\mu\nu} , \qquad t
ightarrow \infty.$$

- The physics is replaced by a low energy effective theory on the Coulomb branch of 4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory.
- The Coulomb branch is described by the Seiberg-Witten geometry.
- Computation of the partition function is reformulated into that of Seiberg-Witten invariants, with contributions from the *U*-plane integral.

Seiberg-Witten Geometry of Effective 4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Theory

• Classical Coulomb branch of effective 4d theory is parametrized by

$$a = rac{1}{R} \int_{S^1} \left(\sigma + i A_5
ight) dx^5 \qquad \in \mathbb{R} imes S^1 \; .$$

• The Coulomb branch effective theory is determined by the prepotential

$$F(a,\Lambda) = \frac{2}{R^2} \left(Li_3(e^{-Ra}) - \zeta(3) \right) + a^2 \left(\log(\mathcal{R}) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(R\Lambda) ,$$

where Λ is a dynamically generated scale of the 4d theory, which is related to the 5d gauge coupling

$$4\log(R\Lambda) = -\frac{8\pi^2 R}{g_{\rm YM}^2}$$

It is useful to define a dimensionless parameter $\mathcal{R} = R\Lambda$, which is the instanton counting parameter.

• The prepotential F determines the gauge coupling of the effective theory

$$a_D = -rac{1}{2\pi i}rac{\partial F}{\partial a}\;,\qquad au = rac{\partial a_D}{\partial a}$$

- There are BPS particles on the Coulomb branch:
 - W-boson : *a*
 - Magnetic string wrapping S^1 : a_D
 - Instanton particle : $m_l = \frac{2}{R} \log(\mathcal{R})$
 - Kaluza-Klein particle : $m_{KK} = \frac{2\pi i}{R}$

The order parameter for the quantum corrected Coulomb branch is the VEV of the fundamental Wilson loop,

$$U = \langle \operatorname{Tr}_F \mathsf{P} \exp(Ra) \rangle = e^{Ra} + e^{-Ra} + \mathcal{O}(R\Lambda)$$
.

The Seiberg-Witten curve is parametrized by $U \in \mathbb{C}$, [Ganor, Morrison, Seiberg 96] [Eguchi, Sakai 02]

$$-\mathcal{R}^2 X\left(\omega+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)=P(X)^2$$
, $P(X)=X^2+UX+1$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i R} \log(X) \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \; .$$

Then

$$a = \int_A \lambda \; , \qquad a_D = \int_B \lambda \; .$$

U-plane

Seiberg-Witten geometry can be understood as an elliptic fibration over the "**U-plane**". For generic \mathcal{R} , it is parametrized by $U \in \mathbb{C}$ with four singularities, where a BPS particle becomes massless.



 U_1 : A monopole becomes massless U_2 : A dyon becomes massless U_3 , U_4 : A dyonic instanton becomes massless

See also [Closset-Magureanu, 21]

Fundamental domain of τ

From the Seiberg-Witten curve, we obtain the relation

$$U(\tau) = \pm \left(-4\mathcal{R}^2 \frac{\theta_2(\tau)^4 + \theta_3(\tau)^4}{\theta_2(\tau)^2 \theta_3(\tau)^2} + 4\mathcal{R}^4 + 4 \right)^{1/2}$$

- The fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a double copy of $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma^{0}(4)$ of 4d SYM.
- For $\mathcal{R} = 1$, $U(\tau)$ is modular invariant for $\Gamma^0(8) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}=1} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma^0(8)$.
- For generic \mathcal{R} , \exists branch points at $U(\tau) = 0$, whose position depends on \mathcal{R} .



See also [Aspman, Furrer, Manschot, 21]

U-plane integral

Following [Moore, Witten 97], the partition function of effective 4d theory for $b_2^+(M_4) > 0$ can be written as

$$Z_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] = \Phi_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z_{J,\mu,i}^{SW}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] ,$$

where Φ is the so-called "U-plane integral" contribution and Z^{SW} is the Seiberg-Witten contribution at the four singular points in the U-plane, where a BPS particle becomes massless.

- For $b_2^+ > 1$, $Z_{J,\mu}$ is independent of metric on M_4 .
- For $b_2^+ > 1$, $\Phi_{J,\mu}$ identically vanishes.
- For $b_2^+ = 1$, the metric dependence comes through J, the period point. $J \in H^2(M_4, \mathbb{R})$ with J = *J and $J^2 = 1$).



- For b₂⁺ = 1, Z_{J,μ} is a piecewise constant function of J. The dependence on J only comes from the region U → ∞.
- The J-dependence of Φ around the singularities at finite $U = U_i$ are also non-trivial, but they are canceled with the wall-crossing of $Z_{J,u,i}^{SW}$.
- We can utilize this fact to compute $Z_{J,\mu,i}^{SW}$ for $b_2^+ > 1$.

We will focus on $b_2^+ = 1$ in this talk.

Coulomb branch effective action

- The effective theory on Coulomb branch can be thought of as a ${\cal N}=2$ $U(1)_G\times U(1)_I$ theory.
- When b₂⁺ = 1, only the zero mode contributes to the *U*-plane integral.
 [Moore-Witten 97] The Coulomb branch effective action restricted to zero modes reads

$$S = \int_{M_4} \frac{i}{16\pi} \left(\bar{\tau}_{ab} F^a_+ \wedge F^b_+ + \tau_{ab} F^a_- \wedge F^b_- \right) - \frac{1}{8\pi} \mathsf{Im}(\tau_{ab}) D^a \wedge D^b$$
$$+ \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{16\pi} \bar{F}_{abc} \eta^a \chi^b \wedge (D + F_+)^c .$$

• The couplings τ_{ab} for a = 1, 2 are

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a^2} , \quad v = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a \partial m_l} , \quad \xi = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial m_l^2} ,$$

where $m_l = \frac{2}{2} \log(\mathcal{R})$.

Integrating over the zero modes of D,η,χ and summing over gauge fluxes, we arrive at a finite-dimensional integral

$$\Phi_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] = K_{U} \int da \wedge d\bar{a} \; \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{d\bar{a}} A^{\chi} B^{\sigma} C^{\mathfrak{n}^{2}} \Psi^{J}_{\mu}(\tau,\bar{\tau},v\mathfrak{n}_{I}/2,\bar{v}\mathfrak{n}_{I}/2) \; .$$

where

$$C = \exp{rac{1}{8}rac{\partial^2 F}{\partial m_l^2}}$$
, $v = -rac{1}{2\pi i}rac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a \partial m_l}$

Similar couplings are discussed in [Shapere,Tachikawa 08][Manschot,Moore 21] Ψ^J_{μ} is the sum over fluxes

$$egin{array}{lll} \Psi^J_\mu(au,ar{ au},z,ar{z}) &= e^{-2\pi y b_+^2} \sum_{k\in H^2(M_4,\mathbb{Z})+\mu} \partial_{ar{ au}}(\sqrt{2y}B(k+b,J)) \ \cdot (-1)^{B(k,\mathcal{K}_{M_4})}q^{-k_-^2/2}ar{q}^{k_+^2/2}e^{-2\pi i B(k_-,z)-2\pi i B(k_+,ar{z})} \ , \end{array}$$

with b = Im z/y. We defined $B(k_1, k_2) = \int_{M_4} k_1 \wedge k_2$ and $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

It is natural to change the variable to the fundamental domain of $\boldsymbol{\tau}.$

$$\Phi_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}]=K_{U}\int_{\mathcal{F}_{R}}d\tau\wedge d\bar{\tau}\ \nu_{R}(\tau)C^{\mathfrak{n}_{I}^{2}}\Psi_{\mu}^{J}(\tau,\bar{\tau},\mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{n}_{I}/2,\bar{\mathfrak{v}}\mathfrak{n}_{I}/2).$$

From the Seiberg-Witten geometry, the couplings can be written as *q*-expansions [Göttsche, Nakajima, Yoshioka]

$$rac{ heta_1(au, extsf{v}/2)}{ heta_4(au, extsf{v}/2)} = -\mathcal{R} \;, \qquad \mathcal{C} = e^{2\pi i \xi} = rac{ heta_4(au, extsf{v}/2)}{ heta_4(au)} \;.$$

We also have

$$\nu_{R}(\tau) = -\frac{i}{4} \frac{\theta_{4}^{13-b_{2}}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{9}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\mathcal{R}^{2}\mathfrak{u} + 4\mathcal{R}^{2} + 4}} , \qquad \mathfrak{u}(\tau) = \frac{\theta_{2}(\tau)^{4} + \theta_{3}(\tau)^{4}}{2\theta_{2}(\tau)^{2}\theta_{3}(\tau)^{2}}$$

Monodromies



- It is highly non-trivial that the integrand is single-valued under various monodromies in the U-plane.
- A proper choice of \bar{Q} -exact terms is crucial in establishing the single-valuedness.
- This condition determines further quantization of the background flux n_1 .

Suppose that we have two period points J and J'. One can show that [Korpas, Maschot 17] [Korpas, Manschot, Moore, Nidaiev 19]

$$\Psi^J_\mu(au,ar au,z,ar z) - \Psi^{J'}_\mu(au,ar au,z,ar z) = \partial_{ar au} \widehat{\Theta}^{J,J'}_\mu(au,ar au,z,ar z) \;,$$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Theta}^{J,J'}_{\mu}(\tau,\bar{\tau},z,\bar{z}) &= \sum_{k \in H^2(M_4,\mathbb{Z}) + \mu} \frac{1}{2} \left[E(\sqrt{2y}B(k+b,J)) - E(\sqrt{2y}B(k+b,J')) \right] \\ &(-1)^{B(k,\mathcal{K}_{M_4})} q^{-k^2/2} e^{-2\pi i B(k,z)} \;, \end{split}$$

where $E(x) = \text{Erf}(\sqrt{\pi}x)$.

Therefore the metric dependence of the partition function is determined by the contribution from the boundary of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$ at $\tau \to i\infty$

$$Z^{J}_{\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}]-Z^{J'}_{\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}]=\lim_{Y\to\infty}\int_{iY-1/2}^{iY+15/2}d\tau \ \nu_{R}(\tau)\mathcal{C}^{\mathfrak{n}^{2}}\widehat{\Theta}^{JJ'}_{\mu}(\tau,\mathfrak{n}_{V}/2) \ ,$$

We arrive at the wall-crossing formula

$$Z^{J}_{\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] - Z^{J'}_{\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{W}_{J,J'}} 8 \left[\nu_{\mathcal{R}}(\tau) C^{\mathfrak{n}^{2}}(-1)^{B(k,K)} q^{-k^{2}/2} e^{-2\pi i B(k,\mathfrak{n}_{V}/2)} \right]_{q^{0}} ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_{J,J'} = \left\{ k \mid B(k - n_I/4, J) > 0 \text{ and } B(k - n_I/4, J') < 0
ight\}.$$

There are two ways to evaluate this expression.

Expand the integrand in small *R* first and evaluate the q⁰ term. This procedure reproduces the formula of [Göttsche, Nakajima, Yoshioka 06]. For example, Z_{μ,n} for M₄ = P² can be computed via the WC formula, based on a blowup and existence of vanishing chamber.

$$Z_{0,\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(\mathcal{R}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}^{5} + \mathcal{R}^{9} + \cdots & \mathfrak{n} = 0\\ 3\mathcal{R} + 6\mathcal{R}^{5} + 10\mathcal{R}^{9} + \cdots & \mathfrak{n} = 1\\ 6\mathcal{R} + 21\mathcal{R}^{5} + 56\mathcal{R}^{9} & \mathfrak{n} = 2\\ 10\mathcal{R} + 56\mathcal{R}^{5} + 230\mathcal{R}^{9} + \cdots & \mathfrak{n} = 3\\ \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

which agrees with the interpretation

$$Z_{0,n}^{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{d \ge 0} \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,d}^{\mathbb{P}^2}, \mathcal{O}(\mu(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}))\right) \mathcal{R}^d \ .$$

However, in the five-dimensional theory point of view, it is more natural to

(2) Keep R finite and expand the integrand in small q first, and take the q⁰ term. Surprisingly, we find that the result does not agree with (1). In particular, for b₂⁺ = 1, the result of the U-plane integral contains arbitrary negative powers of R.

A puzzle : How do we interpret meaning of the \mathcal{R}^{-1} dependence? Existence of another branch? Subtleties in the UV completion?

Supersymmetric Localization

There is an alternative path integral derivation when M_4 is a smooth toric four-manifold, which can be construted by gluing copies of \mathbb{C}^2 . For example,



The partition function can be computed from the perspective of instanton counting in the SU(2) gauge theory. This is the approach adopted by many authors including [Nekrasov][GNY][Hosseini et al.][Crichigno et al.][Bonelli et al.]...

Note that $b_2^+ = 1$ for a toric four-manifold. The partition function undergoes a wall-crossing.

The Q-fixed point equations are

$$F^+_{\mu\nu} + rac{i}{2} \xi^I \Gamma_{\mu\nu} \xi^J D_{IJ} = 0 \; , \qquad \iota_v F = 0 \; , \qquad D_\mu \sigma = 0 \; .$$

When $\sigma \neq 0$, the gauge bundle splits into a sum of line bundles

$$E=L\oplus L^{-1},$$

and the moduli space reduces to a product of of abelian instantons, \mathfrak{N} , which can be described as a product of Hilbert scheme of points on M_4 .

The path integral reduces to a finite-dimensional integral over the zero modes of effective 1d $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet

$$(a, \overline{a}, \lambda, \overline{\lambda}, h),$$

and a sum over abelianized fluxes

$$\left[rac{F}{4\pi}
ight]=k\in H^2(M_4,\mathbb{Z})+\mu\;.$$

The supersymmetric localization process gives

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}] &= \sum_{k} \int dad\,\overline{a}d\lambda d\overline{\lambda}dh\,\,Z_{k,\mu,J}(a,\overline{a},\lambda,\overline{\lambda},h) \\ &= \sum_{k} \int \frac{dh}{h} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} dad\,\overline{a}\,\,\partial_{\overline{a}}Z_{k,\mu,J}(a,\overline{a},0,0,h) \\ &= \sum_{k} \oint_{C_{J}} da\,\,Z_{k,\mu}(a) \end{aligned}$$



The contour C_J is determined by the choice of metric on M_4 .

Integrand

The toric action on M_4 induces a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -action on the moduli space \mathfrak{N} . The path integral of the gauge theory localizes to its fixed points \mathfrak{N}^T .

For the SU(2) gauge theory, the fixed loci are labeled by

$$\mathfrak{N}^{\mathcal{T}} \longleftrightarrow 2\chi$$
-tuples of Young-tableaux $\{Y_{i=1,\cdots,\chi}^{a=1,2}\}$

and one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{k,\mu}(a) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\chi} Z(a^{i},\epsilon_{1}^{i},\epsilon_{2}^{i},R,\Lambda) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\chi} Z_{\mathsf{pert}}(a^{i},\epsilon_{1}^{i},\epsilon_{2}^{i},R,\Lambda) Z_{\mathsf{inst}}(a^{i},\epsilon_{1}^{i},\epsilon_{2}^{i},R,\Lambda) \;, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z(a^i, \epsilon^i_1, \epsilon^i_2, R, \Lambda)$ is the K-theoretic Nekrasov partition function on $S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2_{\epsilon^i_1 \epsilon^i_2}$, localized at *i*-th fixed locus on M_4 .

Let us focus on the non-equivariant limit $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ of the partition function. The Nekrasov conjecture tells us that

$$\log Z(a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R, \Lambda) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} F(a, R, \Lambda) + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} H(a, \Lambda) + \log A(a, \Lambda, R) + \frac{\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2}{3\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \log B(a, \Lambda, R) + \cdots$$

Summing over contributions from all the fixed loci, we obtain the finite non-equivariant limit,

$$Z_{k,\mu}(a,\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\chi} Z(a^{i},\epsilon_{1}^{i},\epsilon_{2}^{i},\mathcal{R},\Lambda)$$

$$= \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial a^{2}}k^{2} + \frac{R}{4}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial a\partial \log \mathcal{R}}k\mathfrak{n}_{l} + \frac{R^{2}}{32}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial \log \mathcal{R}^{2}}\mathfrak{n}_{l}^{2} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial a}k\mathcal{K}_{M_{4}} + \chi A + \sigma B\right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{2}) .$$

Let us go back to the contour integral formula.

$$\widetilde{Z}_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] = \sum_{k} \int \frac{dh}{h} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} dad\bar{a} \,\partial_{\bar{a}} Z_{k,\mu,J}(a,\bar{a},0,0,h)$$
$$= \sum_{k} \oint_{C} da \, Z_{k,\mu}(a)$$

- The contour *C* depends on the details of the function $Z_{k,\mu,J}(a, \bar{a}, 0, 0, h)$, especially on its *h*-dependence.
- This expression in general gets contribution from non-BPS modes.
- Using the fact that $b_2^+ = 1$, we can argue that

$$Z_{k,\mu}(a,\bar{a},0,0,h) = \exp\left(\frac{-\mathrm{Im}\tau}{\pi}\left[h^2 + 2\pi i h B\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{Im}(v)}{\mathrm{Im}(\tau)}\mathfrak{n},J\right)\right]\right) Z_{k,\mu}(a).$$

in non-equivariant limit.

The metric dependence is encoded in the contour at large |a| region. The *h*-integral gives the error function,

$$\int \frac{dh}{h} e^{\left(\frac{-\ln\tau}{\pi} \left[h^2 + 2\pi i h B\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(v)}{\ln(\tau)} \mathfrak{n}, J\right)\right]\right)} Z_{k,\mu}(a)$$

$$= -i\pi E \left[\sqrt{2 \ln(\tau)} B\left(k + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln(v)}{\ln(\tau)} \mathfrak{n}, J\right)\right] Z_{k,\mu}(a) .$$

which gives the wall-crossing formula,

$$\widetilde{Z}_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] - \widetilde{Z}_{J',\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{W}_{J,J'}} \left(\operatorname{res}_{a=\infty} da + \operatorname{res}_{a=-\infty} da \right) Z_{k,\mu}(a,\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}) \;,$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_{J,J'} = \left\{ k \ | \ B(k - \mathfrak{n}_I/4, J) > 0 \ \text{ and } B(k - \mathfrak{n}_I/4, J') < 0 \right\} \,.$$

In the non-equivariant limit,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_{k,\mu}(a,\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{I}) &= \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial a^{2}}k^{2} + \frac{R}{4}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial a\partial\log\mathcal{R}}k\mathfrak{n}_{I} + \frac{R^{2}}{32}\frac{\partial^{2}F}{\partial\log\mathcal{R}^{2}}\mathfrak{n}_{I}^{2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{\partial H}{\partial a}k\mathcal{K}_{M_{4}} + \chi A + \sigma B \bigg] \end{aligned}$$

Changing the variable from a to $q = \exp(2\pi i \tau)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] - \widetilde{Z}_{J',\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] &= \sum_{k\in B^{+}} \left(\operatorname{res}_{a=\infty} da + \operatorname{res}_{a=-\infty} da \right) Z_{k,\mu}(a,\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}) \\ &= 8 \sum_{k\in B^{+}} \operatorname{res}_{q=0} dq \frac{da}{dq} Z_{k,\mu}(a(q),\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}) \\ &= 8 \sum_{k\in B_{+}} \left[Z_{k,\mu}(a(q),\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l})q \frac{da}{dq} \right]_{q^{0}} \\ &= Z_{J,\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] - Z_{J',\mu}[\mathcal{R},\mathfrak{n}_{l}] , \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the U-plane integral analysis.

$b_2^+(M_4) > 1$ and the Seiberg-Witten contribution

From

$$Z_{J,\mu} = \Phi_{J,\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} Z^{SW}_{J,\mu,i} \; ,$$

and the fact that the wall-crossing at the strong coupling singularities cancels between Φ and $Z^{SW},$

$$\Phi_{J,\mu}|_{U_i} - \Phi_{J',\mu}|_{U_i} = - \left(Z^{SW}_{J,\mu,i} - Z^{SW}_{J',\mu,i}
ight) \; ,$$

it is possible to derive the K-theoretic Donaldson invarinat for $b_2^+(M_4) > 1$. We find that the result reproduces [Göttsche, Kool, Williams 19].

Conclusion

• The topological correlator of 5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ SU(2) gauge theory computes the K-theoretic Donaldson invariant

$$Z_{J,\mu}[\Lambda, R, \{x, S\}] = \sum_{n} \Lambda^{4n-3(1+b_2^+)/2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu}} \hat{A}_R(T\mathcal{M}_{n,\mu}) \left(\mathsf{Ch}_R(\mathbb{E})/x \right) e^{R\mu(S)} .$$

- In particular, we derived the metric dependence of $Z_{J,\mu}$ for $b_2^+ = 1$ in the perspective of the U-plane integral, and also in the SU(2) gauge theory perspective. We show that they agree in a non-trivial way.
- Using the U-plane integral approach, the computation can be generalized to b₂⁺ > 1. This reproduces the result of [Göttsche, Kool, Williams 19]

- Extension to general rank 1 E_N theories and 5d $\mathcal{N} = 1^*$ theory.
- Computation on more general five-dimensional manifolds (e.g., S⁵) using the *KK*-symmetry.
- Uplift to 6d $\mathcal{N}=(1,0)$ gauge theories.
- Extension to $b_1(M_4) > 0$ and dimensional reduction to 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories.
- More general topological defects.