### **Dynamics Over Number Fields**

### Jordan Schettler

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

2/23/10

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Outline

### Introduction

### Classical Dynamics Definitions Examples

### **Arithmetic Dynamics**

Finite Extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ Finite Extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

Dynamics Over Number Fields

## Introduction

▲口▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 少々⊙

Dynamics Over Number Fields

Classical Dynamics

Arithmetic Dynamics

### Definition A (discrete) dynamical system $(S, \phi)$ is a set S and a map

$$\phi: S \to S.$$

The **orbit** of  $\alpha \in S$  is

$$\mathsf{Orb}_{\phi}(\alpha) := \{\phi^n(\alpha) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

where

$$\phi^{n} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{S}} & \text{for } n = 0\\ \underbrace{\phi \circ \phi \circ \cdots \circ \phi}_{n \text{ times}} & \text{for } n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Dynamics Over Number Fields

### **Definition (continued)**

We categorize points of finite orbit into the following subsets

$Fix(\phi)$	fixed	$\phi(\alpha) = \alpha$
$\cap$ I $Per(\phi)$	periodic	$\phi^{n}(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ some } n \geq 1$
$PrePer(\phi)$	preperiodic	$\phi^{m+n}(\alpha) = \phi^m(\alpha)$ some $m \ge 0, n \ge 1$

Points of infinite orbit will (in this talk) be called wandering.

Dynamics Over Number Fields

Classical Dynamics

Arithmetic Dynamics

### Example Consider

$$\phi:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{Z}:x\mapsto x^2-3.$$

Then

$$\mathsf{Fix}(\phi) = \{\},\$$

$$Per(\phi) = \{-2, 1\},\$$

$$\mathsf{PrePer}(\phi) = \{-2, -1, 1, 2\}.$$

Dynamics Over Number Fields

Usually, *S* has some additional structure (algebraic, topological, analytic) and  $\phi$  is related to this structure.

For our purposes, *S* will be  $\mathbb{P}^{N}(F)$  for a field *F*, and  $\phi$  will be a morphism defined over *F*.

Ultimately, we'll be interested in morphisms on  $\mathbb{P}^{N}(K)$  for a number field *K*. Beforehand, we consider rational maps

 $\phi(z)\in K_v(z)$ 

on  $\mathbb{P}^1(K_v)$  where

 $K_v$  = completion of K at a place v.

Dynamics Over Number Fields

If *v* is archimedean, then  $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . This is the context of classical dynamics, which we consider first.

If *v* is nonarchimedean, then  $K_v$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some prime *p*. This is a natural starting point for arithmetic dynamics, which we'll consider second.

# **Classical Dynamics**



Dynamics Over Number Fields

Each  $\phi(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  is viewed as a map from

$$\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \{[z,1] : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \cup \{[1,0]\} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$$

to itself and, as such, is open and Lipschitz with respect to the **chordal metric**  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined by

$$\rho([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2]) := \frac{|x_1y_2 - x_2y_1|}{\sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |y_1|^2}\sqrt{|x_2|^2 + |y_2|^2}}.$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

If we identify  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{S}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  via the stereographic projection from the unit sphere as seen below, then

$$\rho(P_1, P_2) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (length of the chord joining  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ )



Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Definition If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a periodic point of $\phi(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ , the **multiplier** of $\alpha$ is

$$\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha) := (\phi^m)'(\alpha)$$

where  $\alpha$  has exact period  $m = |Orb_{\phi}(\alpha)|$ .

If  $\infty \in \mathsf{Per}(\phi)$ , we take

$$\lambda_{\phi}(\infty) := \lambda_{\phi(z^{-1})^{-1}}(\mathbf{0}).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Definition (continued)

With  $\alpha$ ,  $\phi$  as above, note that

$$|\phi^{m}(z) - \alpha| \approx |\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha)| \cdot |z - \alpha|$$

for *z* in a small neighborhood of  $\alpha$ , so we say  $\beta \in \text{Per}(\phi)$  is...

( \$	superattracting	$\text{if }  \lambda_\phi(\beta)  = 0$
) ;	attracting	if $ \lambda_{\phi}(eta)  < 1$
) i	neutral	if $ \lambda_{\phi}(\beta)  = 1$
l	repelling	if $ \lambda_{\phi}(\beta)  > 1$ .

### Definition (continued)

With  $\phi$  as above, we define the Fatou set of  $\phi$  as

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) := ext{maximal open set on which} \ \{\phi^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} ext{ is equicontinuous,}$$

and we define the **Julia set** of  $\phi$  as

$$\mathcal{J}(\phi) := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \mathcal{F}(\phi).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Remark

Points in  $\mathcal{F}(\phi)$  which are close together tend to stay close together under iterates of  $\phi$ . In fact,

{attracting periodic points}  $\subseteq \mathcal{F}(\phi) = \phi(\mathcal{F}(\phi))$ 

Points in  $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$  which are close together tend to drift apart under iterates of  $\phi$ . In fact,

{repelling periodic points}  $\subseteq \mathcal{J}(\phi) = \phi(\mathcal{J}(\phi)).$ 

### Example When $\phi(z) = z^2$ , the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$ is the unit circle...



Dynamics Over Number Fields

### Example When $\phi(z) = z^2 - 2$ , the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$ is a line segment...



Dynamics Over Number Fields

### Example When $\phi(z) = z^2 - 1$ , the Julia set $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$ is fractal-like...



#### Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

Arithmetic Dynamics

#### Examples

### Example

When  $\phi(z) = z^2 + i$ , the Julia set  $\mathcal{J}(\phi)$  is again fractal-like...



Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

Theorem Suppose  $\phi(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  has degree  $d \ge 2$ . Then

• 
$$\mathcal{J}(\phi) = \overline{\{\text{repelling periodic points}\}} \neq \{\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{J}(\phi) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\phi)^\circ \neq \{\}$$

▶  $\exists \leq 2d - 2$  non-repelling periodic orbits in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ .

Dynamics Over Number Fields

## **Arithmetic Dynamics**



Dynamics Over Number Fields

For the remainder of the talk we fix the following notation:

- ► *K* is a number field
- $\mathfrak{p}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$
- $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the standard absolute value
- $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the completion of K w.r.t.  $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$
- ▶  $p = char(\mathbb{F}_p)$

Again, each  $\phi(z) \in K_p(z)$  is viewed as a map from

$$\mathbb{P}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{[z,1] : z \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \cup \{[1,0]\} = K_{\mathfrak{p}} \cup \{\infty\}$$

to itself and, as such, is Lipschitz with respect to the p-adic chordal metric  $\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined by

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2]) := \frac{|x_1y_2 - x_2y_1|_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\max\{|x_1|_{\mathfrak{p}}, |y_1|_{\mathfrak{p}}\}\max\{|x_2|_{\mathfrak{p}}, |y_2|_{\mathfrak{p}}\}}$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Definition

If  $\alpha \in K_p$  is periodic for  $\phi(z) \in K_p(z)$ , the **multiplier** is again

 $\lambda_{\phi}(\alpha) := (\phi^m)'(\alpha)$ 

where  $m = |Orb_{\phi}(\alpha)|$ . Again we say  $\beta \in Per(\phi)$  is...

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{superattracting} & \text{if } |\lambda_{\phi}(\beta)|_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \\ \text{attracting} & \text{if } |\lambda_{\phi}(\beta)|_{\mathfrak{p}} < 1 \\ \text{neutral} & \text{if } |\lambda_{\phi}(\beta)|_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1 \\ \text{repelling} & \text{if } |\lambda_{\phi}(\beta)|_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1. \end{array} \right.$ 

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

Introduction	Classical Dynamics oooooo ooooo	Arithmetic Dynamics
Finite Extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$		

Let

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \alpha \mapsto \widetilde{\alpha}$$

### denote the natural projection, and define

$$\mathbb{P}^1(K_\mathfrak{p}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}) : P \mapsto \widetilde{P}$$

by

$$[x,y]\mapsto \left[\widetilde{x/a},\widetilde{y/a}\right]$$

where  $|a|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \max\{|x|_{\mathfrak{p}}, |y|_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$ 

Every  $\phi(z) \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$  can be written as

$$\phi(z) = f(z)/g(z)$$

where

 $f(z), g(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}_p}[z]$ 

and 
$$\widetilde{f}(z) 
eq 0$$
 or  $\widetilde{g}(z) 
eq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[z]$ . Thus $\widetilde{\phi}(z) := \widetilde{f}(z)/\widetilde{g}(z)$ 

is a rational map on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Definition We say  $\phi(z) \in K_p(z)$  has good reduction when

 $\deg(\phi) = \deg(\widetilde{\phi});$ 

equivalently, there are no solutions  $[x, y] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  to

$$y^{\deg(f)}\widetilde{f}(x/y) = y^{\deg(g)}\widetilde{g}(x/y) = 0$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

Theorem Suppose  $\phi(z) \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$  has good reduction. Then

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi(P_1),\phi(P_2)) \leq \rho_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_1,P_2)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \widetilde{\phi^n(P_1)} = \widetilde{\phi}^n(\widetilde{P_1})$$

for all  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Corollary

Suppose  $\phi(z) \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$  has good reduction. Then

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{J}(\phi) = \{\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \widetilde{\operatorname{Per}(\phi)} \subseteq \operatorname{Per}(\widetilde{\phi}).$$

(中) (型) (目) (目) (日) (つ) (つ)

Dynamics Over Number Fields

In fact, we have the following more precise statement.

Theorem

Suppose  $\phi(z) \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$  has good reduction and degree  $d \ge 2$ . Let  $P \in Per(\phi)$ . Then

$$|Orb_{\phi}(P)| = |Orb_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{P})| \cdot |\{\lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{P}), \lambda_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{P})^2, \ldots\}| \cdot p^n$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Dynamics Over Number Fields

Example Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  have degree  $d \ge 2$ .

### Suppose f(x) has good reduction in both $\mathbb{Q}_2(x)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_3(x)$ .

Consider a periodic point  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  of f(x).

Dynamics Over Number Fields

### Example (continued)

On the one hand, f(x) has good reduction in  $\mathbb{Q}_2(x)$ .

Hence

$$|\operatorname{Orb}_f(\alpha)| = 2^m$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  since

 $|\operatorname{Orb}_{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\alpha})| = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ and } |\{\lambda_{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\alpha}), \lambda_{\widetilde{f}}(\widetilde{\alpha})^2, \ldots\}| = 1.$ 

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Example (continued)

### On the other hand, f(x) has good reduction in $\mathbb{Q}_3(x)$ .

Hence

 $|\operatorname{Orb}_f(\alpha)| = 2^r \cdot 3^n$ 

for some  $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  since

 $|Orb_{\tilde{f}}(\tilde{\alpha})| = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3 \text{ and } |\{\lambda_{\tilde{f}}(\tilde{\alpha}), \lambda_{\tilde{f}}(\tilde{\alpha})^2, \ldots\}| = 1 \text{ or } 2.$ 

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Example (continued) Thus

$$Orb_f(\alpha) = 1, 2, \text{ or } 4.$$

Each of these possibilities is realized for  $\alpha = 0$  and...

Dynamics Over Number Fields

### Theorem (Narkiewicz)

Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic of degree  $d \ge 2$ . Suppose  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  is a periodic point for f. Then

$$|Orb_f(P)| = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

Dynamics Over Number Fields

The above example generalizes over an arbitrary number field.

Theorem Let  $\phi(z) \in K(z)$  have degree  $d \ge 2$ . Suppose  $\phi(z)$  has good reduction in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}(z)$  and  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}(z)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$ . Then  $\forall \alpha \in \operatorname{Per}(\phi)$ 

$$|\mathit{Orb}_{\phi}(\alpha)| \leq (\mathit{N}(\mathfrak{p})^2 - 1)(\mathit{N}(\mathfrak{q})^2 - 1).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

Now we turn to morphisms 
$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$$
, i.e.

$$\phi(\mathcal{P}) = [f_0(\mathcal{P}), \dots, f_N(\mathcal{P})]$$
 where  $f_0, \dots, f_N \in \overline{K}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$ 

are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree s.t.

$$f_0(X)=\cdots=f_N(X)=0$$

has no solutions in  $\mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$ .

Say  $\phi$  is **defined over** K when we can take  $f_0, \ldots, f_N \in K[X]$ .



We define the **relative height** of  $P = [x_0, ..., x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N(K)$  by

$$H_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{P}) := \prod_{\nu} \max\{|x_0|_{\nu}, \ldots, |x_N|_{\nu}\}^{[\mathcal{K}_{\nu}:\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}]}$$

where the product ranges over all places v on K.

We write

$$h_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{P}) := \log(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{P})).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Definition

More generally, we define the **absolute height** of  $P \in \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  as

 $H(P) := H_L(P)^{1/[L:\mathbb{Q}]}$ 

for any number field *L* such that  $P \in \mathbb{P}^1(L)$ .

We write

 $h(P) := \log(H(P)).$ 

Dynamics Over Number Fields

## Lemma For every $C \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\{\pmb{P}\in\mathbb{P}^{\pmb{N}}(\pmb{K}):\pmb{H}_{\pmb{K}}(\pmb{P})\leq\pmb{C}\}|<\infty,$$

### so also

$$|\{\pmb{P}\in\mathbb{P}^{\pmb{N}}(\pmb{K}):\pmb{h}_{\pmb{K}}(\pmb{P})\leq\pmb{C}\}|<\infty.$$

Dynamics Over Number Fields

Lemma Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$  be a morphism. Then  $\exists C_{1}, C_{2} > 0$  s.t.

$$C_1 H(P)^d \leq H(\phi(P)) \leq C_2 H(P)^d$$

for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$  where  $d = \deg(\phi)$ .

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

## Theorem (Northcott)

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K}) \to \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$  be a morphism of degree  $d \ge 2$  defined over K. Then

 $PrePer(\phi)$ 

is a set of bounded height. In particular,

 $|\textit{PrePer}(\psi)| < \infty$ 

where

$$\psi = \phi|_{\mathbb{P}^N(K)} : \mathbb{P}^N(K) \to \mathbb{P}^N(K).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Proof.

There is a constant *C* s.t. for every  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$  we have

$$dh(Q) - C \leq h(\phi(Q)),$$

so if  $\phi^m(P)$  has exact period *n*, then induction gives

$$d^m(h(P)-C) \le h(\phi^m(P))$$

and

$$d^n(h(\phi^m(P)) - C)) \leq h(\phi^n(\phi^m(P))) = h(\phi^m(P)).$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Proof continued. Therefore

$$h(P) \leq rac{1}{d^m}h(\phi^m(P)) + C \leq rac{1}{d^m} \cdot rac{d^n}{d^n-1}C + C \leq 3C.$$

Department of Mathematics University of Arizona

### Remark

We need the morphism assumption in Northcott's theorem. To see why, consider the rational map

$$\phi: \mathbb{P}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}): [x,y,z] \mapsto [x^2,y^2,xz].$$

Then

$$\mathsf{Fix}(\phi) \cap \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q}) \supseteq \{[1,0,1], [1,0,2], \ldots\}$$

is infinite.