Homework 2 Solutions
(D) Katy Craig, 2023
(D) By (M3), 1²=1, so by part (iv)
of the Theorem, 0 = 1²=1. By (MS),
0 = 1, so 0 < 1.
(D) By part (v) of the Theorem, 0 < b and 0 < d,
so it suffices to show
$$\frac{1}{6} < \frac{1}{4}$$
.
Note that $a < b = > a \leq b$
(a) $a \cdot (\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}) \leq b \cdot (\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}) = b \cdot (\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6})$
(m) $a \cdot (\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}) \leq b \cdot (\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}) = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} \leq \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6}$
The remains to show $\frac{1}{6} \neq \frac{1}{4}$. Suppose
for the sake of contradiction that $\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{4}$.
Then, $\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{$

(2) If so=max(s), then so & S and so Z & F & S. Consequently, so is an upper bound of S. Suppose s, is another upper bound of S. Since so & S, s, Z so. Thus, so is the least upper bound of S, so so=sup(S).

(3), mild notational difference: replace ai with yi.
Base case: When
$$n=1$$
, $|a_i| \leq |a_i|$.
Inductive step: Assume $|a_i + a_2 + ... + a_n| \leq |a_i| + ... + |a_n|$
By the triangle inequality (as stated in
part Θ) with $x = a_i + a_2 + ... + a_n$ and $y = a_n + i$
 $|a_i + a_2 + ... + a_n + a_{n+1}| \leq |a_i + a_2 + ... + a_n| + |a_{n+1}|$.
By the inductive hypothesis, the right
hand side is bounded above by
 $|a_i| + |a_2| + ... + |a_n| + |a_{n+1}|$, which completes
the proof.

۱.

DO Lets be an element of S. Since inf(S) is a lower bound for S, $inf(S) \leq s$. Since sup(S) is an upper bound for S, s= sup(S). Therefore, inf(S) = sup(S).Whe will show S= {inf(s)}, so there is one element in the set. Since inf(s)=sup(s), inf(s) is both an upper and lower bound for S. In particular, for any seS, inf(S)=s and inf(s)=s. Thus inf(s)=s for all SES. This shows S= {inf(s)}.

mild notational change: A, B becomes S, T. (7) (Since inf(T) is a lower bound for the set T, if SET, then inf(T) is also alower bound for the set S. Since inf(S) is the greatest lower bound of S, inf(T) = inf(S). The fact that sup(s)=sup(T) follows from an analogous argument. The fact that inf(s) = sup(s) follows from (5). (b) Since sup(s) is an upper bound for S and sup(T) is an upper bound for T, max Esup(S), sup(T) is an upper bound for SUT. Thus, since sup(SUT) is the least upper bound for SUT, sup(sut) ≤ max žšup(s), sup(t){. By Q7@, since SESUT and TESUT, we have sup(s) = sup(sUT) and sup(T) = sup(SUT). Thus max Esup(S), sup(T) = sup(SUT). Combining these two inequalities, we conclude max Esup(S), sup(T) = sup(SUT).

(b) Since S is nonempty, so is -S. Since -Sis bounded above, by obfinition of the real numbers, it has a supremum, sup(-s).

€) Since sup(-S) is an upper bound for -S, -s = sup(-S) for all s ∈ S, hence s ≥ -sup(-S) for all s ∈ S. Therefore -sup(-S) is a lower bound for S, and it suffices to show it is the greatest lower bound. for the sake of contradiction that Suppose ^v mo is a lower bound for S with ms>-sup(-S). As argued in part @, -mo is an upper bound for -S. Furthermore, ms>-sup(-S) implied -mo<sup(-S). This is a contradiction, since sup(-S) is the least upper bound for -S.

() Therefore - sup(-s) must be the greatest lower bound of -s. notational change: At B becomes St7 J 8) Step 1: Show that for all tET, inf(S+T)-t is a lower bound for S.

By defn of S+T and the infimum, inf(S+T) is a lower bound for S+T, so $s \neq t \ge inf(S+T) \iff s \ge inf(S+T) - t$ for all $s \in S$, $t \in T$. Thus, for all $t \in T$, inf(S+T) - t is a lower bound for S.

Step 2: Show that inf(StT)-inf(S) is a lower bound for T.

By Step 1, for all $t \in T$, inf(S+T)-t is a lower bound for S. By defn, inf(S)is the greatest lower bound of S. Thus, $inf(S) \ge inf(S+T)-t$. $\implies t \ge inf(S+T) - inf(S)$ for all $t \in T$. Since inf(StT) - inf(S) is a lower bound for T and inf(T) is the greatest lower bound,

 $inf(T) \ge inf(ST) - inf(S).$ $inf(S) + inf(T) \ge inf(ST). (*)$

It remains to prove the opposite inequality. Since inf(S) and inf(T) are lower bounds for S and T, for all set and teT, $inf(S) \leq s$ and $inf(T) \leq t \Rightarrow inf(S) + inf(T) \leq s + t$. Thus, inf(S) + inf(T) is a lower bound for S+T. Since inf(S+T) is the greatest lower bound, $inf(S) + inf(T) \leq inf(S+T)$. (***)

Thus, combining inequalities (*) and (***), we obtain

inf(S) + inf(T) = inf(S+T). \square

(b) As shown in part(
$$\Theta$$
, any tet is an upper
bound for S. Since $\sup(S)$ is the least
upper bound, $\sup(S) \leq t$ for all $t \in T$. Thus,
 $\sup(S)$ is a lower bound for T, and $\sin ce$
 $inf(T)$ is the greatest lower bound,
 $\sup(S) \leq inf(T)$.

$$\bigcirc S = [0,1], T = [1,2]$$

 $\bigotimes S = [0,1), T = (1,2]$

(f) sup(s) = 1, inf(s) = -1(g) S = [-1, 1], so sup(s) = 1 and inf(s) = -1D@ sup(s) = 1, inf(s)=0 (D) S is not bounded above, inf(s)=0 (C) S is not bounded above, inf(s)=0 (a) Sis neither bounded above or below (e) S={03, so sup(s)=inf(s)=0 (F) S is not bounded above, inf(s)=2^{1/3} (g) sup(s)=inf(s)=0