Fix  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \min\{|t-1|, |t-(-1)|\}$ . Then  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\{n : |(-1)^n - t| < \varepsilon\} = \emptyset$ . By the main subsequences theorem, this implies that t is not a subsequential limit.

For 
$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$$
,  $\varepsilon : |an-t| \le \varepsilon$  is  
infinite only  $\varepsilon = 1$  or  $-1$ .  
Thus 1 and  $-1$  are the  
only possible subsequential  
limits.  
If a sequence hava  
 $\varepsilon = \varepsilon + \alpha \varepsilon^2$  limit, then all subsequence  
have the same limit

limsup an =  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n \to \infty} 1 = 1$ liming an =  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \inf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n \to \infty} 1 = -1$ (c)

Since the limits of bn, cn exist, their limsup's and liming's must coincide with their limits. Thus,

linsuppor = lining br = 0 linsop = lining noo cn = nod cn = too

(d) an does not converge, since its set of subsequential limits contains more than one element. It also does not diverge to +/-∞, since it is bounded.

Cn is not bounded, since it diverges to too.

(2) A sequence sn converges to a limits if for all E>O, 3 NS.t. n>N ensures Isn-5/<E.

(DA sequence sn doebn't converge to alimits if JE>OS.t. VN, Jn>NS.t. Isn-SIZE

ⓒ We construct such a subsequence. Taking N=1 in part (B),  $\exists n_1 \ge 1 \text{ s.t.}$   $ls_{n_1} = s \land b \ge \epsilon$ . Suppose we have chosen  $n_{k-1}$ . Taking N=n\_{k-1} in part (B),  $\exists n_k \ge n_{k-1} \text{ s.t. } ls_{n_k} = sl \ge \epsilon$ .

Therefore there exists a subsequence  $Sn_K s.t. |Sn_k-s| \ge 2 \forall k.$ 

(3) (2) We must show that for all \$>0 and a \$\epsilon R, S=\fred: a=\fred: a=\fred: a+\fred; is infinite. We proceed by induction. By denseness of Q in TR, there exists r1 \$\epsilon D\$ so that a=\fred: a+\fred; so r1 \$\epsilon S. By denseness of Q in TR, there exists r2 \$\epsilon D\$ so that a=\fred: a+\fred; so r2 \$\epsilon S. By denseness of Q in TR, there exists r2 \$\epsilon D\$ so that a=\fred: a=\fred: a+\fred; so r2 \$\epsilon S. Assume we have picked k distinct elements r1, \$\fred: r2, \$\fred: Fk \$\epsilon S \$\fred: So \$\fred:

By denseness of & in R, there exists rifie & so that a - E< rK+1< rK<...<r, 2atE, SOFRAIES. Thus S has infinitely many elements. (b) Since Ere Q: Ir-ales contains infinitely many elements and m is the sequence of rational numbers, Ene/D: /rn-alEE3 is infinite for all E>0. By the main subsequences theorem, this ensures that there is a subsequence my that converges to a.

© Since rn is unbounded above, the main subsequences theorem ensures that there is a subsequence that diverges to too.

(4

 Suppose Sn is a Cauchy sequence, according to our definition from class. Fix E>0. Then there exists N s.t. n,m>N ensureD /sn-sm/<E. In particular, if n>m>N, we have /sn-sm/<E.
 </p>

Now, Suppose sn is a Cauchy sequence, according to the new definition. Fix 2>0. Then I N s.t. k>l>N ensured 15k-sel< E. Suppose n,m>N. If n=m, then 1sn-sm1=0<E. If n>m, take k=n, l=m to see 1sn-sm1<E. Lastly, if n<m, take k=m, l=n to see 1sn-sm1<E.

Fiax is convergent () n sn = ziax converges Sn is Cauchy 10 YETO, J NER SO that NOMON ensures (sm-sm)< E A Zak - Zak = Zmiak VE>0, J NERSO that n>M>N ensures 12 ax1<2 C) Suppose Ziax is convergent. WTS limar=0. Fix 2>0. By part (b), HN s.t. n>m>N implies 12 arles. In particular, J Ns.t. m>N and n=m+1 implies lanks, so lan-OKE. Thus limar=0.

(a) Base case: When m=0,  $l=\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ Inductive step: Suppose Itat. tam-1-1-am Then  $|+a+..+am+am-am-am+1am + am = \frac{1-am}{1-a} + am = \frac{1-am-am-am-am+1}{1-a} = \frac{1-am+1}{1-a}$ which completes the proof. (b) By the hint and part@, Note that, for m>n, lsm-snl= lsm-sm-1+sm-1-sm-2+...+sn+1-snl € = |sm = sm - 1 + |sm - 1 - sm - 2 | + \_+ |sn + , - sn |  $(b) \int = (\frac{1}{4})^n - (\frac{1}{4})^m$ ≤ 4 (1)n. Fur thermore for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n < \varepsilon < \varepsilon > \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \leqslant n \log\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) < \log\left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{4}\right)\right)$   $(=) n > \log\left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{4}\right) / \log(\frac{1}{4}).$ Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define  $N = \frac{\log(\frac{3\varepsilon}{4})}{\log(\frac{4}{4})}$ . Then m,n > N ensures  $1 \text{ sm} - \text{ sn} 1 \epsilon \varepsilon$ . There 0. Therefore Sn is Cauchy

Wes. The sequence sn converges since all Cauchy sequences are convergent.



(b) Taking a= to in Q5(a) gives  $\begin{array}{c} 1 + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{10^n} = \frac{1 - (1/10)^{n+1}}{9/10} \\ (=) q + \frac{q}{10} + \frac{q}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{q}{10^n} = 10 - (1/10)^n \\ (=) q + \frac{q}{10} + \frac{q}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{q}{10^n} = 1 - (\frac{1}{10})^n \end{array}$ 

 $(C) Since sn = K + \frac{d_i}{10} + \frac{d_e}{10^2} + \frac{d_n}{10} and di = 9$ for all i = 1, ..., n,  $sn = K + \frac{q}{10} + \frac{q}{10^2} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{q}{10^2} = K + 1 - \frac{1}{10n} = K + 1$ .
Therefore sn is bounded above. Since sn = 0, i + is also bounded below, hence bounded.

Det  $s_n = .99 ...9$ . Then  $s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{10}n+1$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{10n} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{10n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{10n} \frac{1}{10} = 0$ , hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{10n+1} = 0$ . Thus,  $\overline{9} = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = 1$ . ntimes

Define 
$$sn = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{k}$$
.  
(a)  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} sn = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r} = \frac{1}{1 - r} = \frac{1}{1 - r}$ .  
(b) By the corollary,  $r \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{k}$  converges,  
then  $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} \neq 0$ . Thus, if we can  
show  $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} \neq 0$ , we must have  
 $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} \neq 0$ , we must have  
 $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} \neq 0$  and if  $r < -1$   
 $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} \neq 0$ .  
The  $r > 1$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} = 1$  and  
if  $r = -1$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} = 1$  and  
if  $r = -1$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} r^{k} = 1$ .

3) First, note that limingon = limsupon by definition of liming and limsup. We now show liming sn & liming on by first proving the nint. Note that if n>M>N on=n(s,+s2+...+sn) = m(s1+52+ -+ SN+SN+1+ -+ SM+ +SN) Since for imm Si>infisnin>Nj and there  $since (= (-\frac{1}{n})inf \epsilon sn n>N3$   $n>M (= (1-\frac{1}{n})inf \epsilon sn n>N3$ ase (n-N) elements in the sum Therefore (I-m)infEsnin>NJ is a lower bound for the set Esnin>ME. Hence inférnin>M3=(1-Filinférnin>NJ. BM BM First suppose N is fixed. Since BM=(1-m) bn for all M>N, sending M->+00 gives liming on= m=>0Bm=bn. Now, sending N->+2 gives liminfon = N->= liminfon, which proves the first inequality. Now we show limsupon = limsupon by proving the other hint.

Note that it n>M>N, Sn= n(S1+S2+ --+ SN+SNA1+ + SM+ ...+ SN) Since for i>N = n(s1+s2+...+SN) + n(SN+(+...+SM+...+SN) Si-SUDISN'NN = m(S1+S2+...+ SN)+m(n-N)SUPESn:n>N3 andthere are (n-N) 1 = m(s1+s2+...+ SN)+ SUP & Snin>N3 ~ m-N)=1 n>m m(s1+s2+..+SN)+ SUP & Snin>N3 n>m m(s1+s2+..+SN)+ SUP & Snin>N3 elements in the second sum Thus sup 25n: n>M3 = m(sitszt + sn)+sup[sn:n>N] Sending M->+00 for firced N gives, limsup on = lim Am = O+an. Then sending NOW gives limsupon = "Doan = limsupon, which completes the proof. (b) If lim sn exists, then limsupsn=liminfsn. Hence, by part 0, limsupon=liminjon? Therefore limon exists.

© Consider sn=(-1)<sup>n+1</sup>, so lim sn down't exist. Then sn=in for nodd () for n even, so lim on = 0.

(a) First, note that, for any NE/N, if Ms is an upper bound for Esn:n>NE and Me is an upper bound for Etn: n>NS, then Ms+Mt is an upper bound for {sn+tn:n>N}. Consequently, & NE/N, (\*) sup{sn+tn:n>N} = sup{sn:n>N}+ sup{tn:n>N}

Recall that XN limsup(snttn)= lim n=>00 (snttn)= N=>00 sup2snttn:n>N3

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup Sn = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup Sup 2Sn : n7N}$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup tn = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup Sup 2Sn : n7N}$  ZN

We have  $\chi_N = y_N + z_N$  for all NEN. Furthermore, since snand the are bounded sequences, so are  $\chi_N, y_N, Z_N$ . Since bounded monotone sequences converge, the limit of sum is sum of limits:

(b) Let 
$$Sn = (-1)^n$$
,  $tn = (-1)^{n+1}$ . Then  $Sn^+ tn = 0$ . Thus,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Snttn} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Snttn} = 0$ 

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup S_n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup \{(-1)^n : n^2 N_s^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} |= |$  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{n = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sup \{(-1)^n : n^2 N_s^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} |= |$ 

Since 0<2, this gives the result.