

Homework 7 Solutions

© Katy Craig, 2023

① t is a subsequential limit of s_n



there exists a subsequence s_{n_k} of s_n s.t.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = t$$



← If t is a real number, this follows since limit of product is product of limit. If $t = \pm\infty$, this follows from result from class.

there exists a subsequence s_{n_k} of s_n s.t.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} -s_{n_k} = -t$$



there exists a subsequence t_{n_k} of $-s_n$

s.t. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{n_k} = t$



t is a subsequential limit of s_n

② First, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. There exists N_a, N_c s.t. $n > N_a$

ensures $|a_n - s| < \epsilon$ and $n > N_c$ ensures $|c_n - s| < \epsilon$.

Furthermore, there exists N s.t. $n > N$ ensures

$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$. Let $\tilde{N} = \max\{N_a, N_c, N\}$. Then $n > \tilde{N}$

ensures $s - \epsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < s + \epsilon$, so $|b_n - s| < \epsilon$.

This shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = s$.

Next, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = +\infty$. Fix $M > 0$.

There exists N_a s.t. $n > N_a$ ensures $a_n > M$.

There exists N s.t. $n > N$ ensures $a_n \leq b_n$.

Let $\tilde{N} = \max\{N_a, N\}$. Then $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures $b_n > M$. This shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = M$.

Finally, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = -\infty$. Then

$-c_n \leq -b_n \leq -a_n$ for all but finitely many n

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -c_n = +\infty$. By the previous case,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -b_n = +\infty$. Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = -\infty$.

③ (a) Claim: $S = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{l} : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Since $s_{n_k} = \frac{1}{k}$ is a subsequence, $0 \in S$. Since $s_{n_k} = \frac{1}{l}$ is a subsequence for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{l} \in S$.

It remains to show no other real number or $\pm\infty$ belongs to S .

Neither $+\infty$ nor $-\infty$ belong to S , since the sequence is bounded.

Suppose $a \in S$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. By the main subsequences theorem, it suffices to

show $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ so that $|a - s_n| \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all n .

If $a > 1$, then $|a - s_n| \geq |a - 1| =: \varepsilon_0 \forall n$

If $a < 0$, then $|a - s_n| > |a| =: \varepsilon_0 \forall n$.

If $\frac{1}{l} > a > \frac{1}{l+1}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ then $|a - s_n| \geq \min \{ |a - \frac{1}{l}|, |a - \frac{1}{l+1}| \} =: \varepsilon_0 \forall n$

This completes the proof.

$$\textcircled{b} \quad \limsup s_n = \max(S) = 1$$
$$\quad \liminf s_n = \min(S) = 0$$

④ (a) s_n is a bounded sequence if $\exists M > 0$
s.t. $|s_n| < M \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Assume for the sake of contradiction
that $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $B_k := \{s_n : s_n > s - \frac{1}{k}\}$
has finitely many elements.

Case 1: B_k has zero elements

Then $s_n \leq s - \frac{1}{k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This contradicts the fact that s
is the least upper bound.

Case 2: B_k has a nonzero number
of elements. Then B_k has a

maximum $M_k := \max B_k$. Since
 $s_n < s$ for all n , $M_k < s$. Also,

note that if $s_n \notin B_k$, then

$s_n \leq s - \frac{1}{k} \leq M_k$. Thus M_k is
an upper bound for $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Since $M_k < s$, this contradicts that
 s was the least upper bound.

Therefore, B_k has infinitely many
elements for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(c) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$.
 Then $\{n: |s_n - s| < \varepsilon\} \supseteq \{n: |s_n - s| < \frac{1}{k}\}$
 $= \{n: s - \frac{1}{k} < s_n < s + \frac{1}{k}\}$
 since $s_n < s \forall n \downarrow = \{n: s - \frac{1}{k} < s_n\}$

Furthermore $|\{n: s - \frac{1}{k} < s_n\}| \geq |B_k|$, since
 each element s_n in B_k corresponds to
 at least one index n in $\{n: s - \frac{1}{k} < s_n\}$.

By part (b), we obtain $|\{n: |s_n - s| < \varepsilon\}| = +\infty$.
 Thus, by the main subsequences
 theorem, there is a subsequence of s_n
 converging to s .

(d) Define $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$. Then $s = \sup\{s_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$,
 but since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$, all subsequences
 of s_n converge to 0.

(5) (a) If s_{n_k} is bounded, by Bolzano-Weierstrass, s_{n_k} must have a convergent subsequence $s_{n_{k_\ell}}$. Since $s_{n_{k_\ell}}$ is also a subsequence of s_n , s_n has a convergent subsequence.

(b) Suppose $|s_n|$ does not diverge to $+\infty$. Then $\exists m > 0$ s.t. $\forall N, \exists n > N$ for which $|s_n| \leq m$. Since $|s_n| \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $0 \leq |s_n| \leq m$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence s_{n_k} for which $0 \leq |s_{n_k}| \leq m \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore s_{n_k} is a bounded sequence, so by part (a), s_n must have a convergent subsequence.

(6) (a) If $\lim s_n = s$, then all subsequences of s_n also converge to s . Hence every subsequence s_{n_k} has a further subsequence $s_{n_{k_\ell}} = s_{n_k}$ that converges to s .

⑥ Suppose $\lim s_n \neq s$. Then,
 $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall N, \exists n > N$ s.t. $|s_n - s| \geq \varepsilon$

First,
taking $N=1$, we have $\exists n_1 > 1$ s.t.
 $|s_{n_1} - s| \geq \varepsilon$. Suppose we have chosen
 n_{k-1} . Taking $N=n_{k-1}$, we see that
 $\exists n_k > n_{k-1}$ s.t. $|s_{n_k} - s| \geq \varepsilon$.

Therefore there exists a subsequence
 s_{n_k} s.t. $|s_{n_k} - s| \geq \varepsilon \forall k$. Since
 s_{n_k} is always at least distance ε from
 s , no further subsequence of s_{n_k} can
converge to s .

⑦ If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = +\infty$, then $s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ diverges to $+\infty$.

Since $0 \leq a_k \leq b_k$, $t_n := \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \geq s_n$. The result
then follows from the generalized
squeeze lemma.

(8)

$$\text{Define } s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \quad t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

Note that our hypotheses ensure s_n converges to $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and t_n converges to $B \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$(a) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n + t_n) \stackrel{\text{limit of sum is sum of limit}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = A + B$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c s_n) = c \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = cA.$$

(9)

(a) Since $|a_k| \geq 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $s_{n+1} = s_n + |a_{n+1}| \geq s_n$. Thus s_n is
monotone, so it must either
converge or diverge to $+\infty$.
Therefore $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ either converges

or diverges to $+\infty$.

(b) Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ is convergent, so it
satisfies the Cauchy criterion. We
will show $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ is convergent by showing
it satisfies the Cauchy criterion.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. $\exists N$ s.t. $n > m > N$ implies

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n |a_k| \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \right| \leq \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n |a_k| \right|$, we have

that $n > m > N$ implies

$$\left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ satisfies the Cauchy criterion.