- Upside:
- <u>Thm</u>: For any sequence sn, linsop sn and liminfsn are subsequential limits.

Pl: First, we will show how subsequential limit.

ICASEII: Suppose limsup Sn = -20 Since limined Sn = limsup Sn, then limined Sn = -20, SO $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n = -\infty$

 $\frac{|CASE2|: Suppose \lim_{n \to 0} S_n = +\infty, \text{ that is}}{\lim_{N \to \infty} a_N = +\infty}.$ Fix arbitrary M>O. Then there exists No s.t. N>No ensures an >M. Thus M is not an upper bound of $S_n: n>N_3$ when N>No, so there exists $S_{N_2} > M$. Thus sn is not bounded above. Hence two is a subsequential limit.

) . . . -

/ - -

 $\frac{|CASE3|}{Suppose} \sup_{n \to \infty} Sn = t \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ that is}$ $\lim_{N \to \infty} AN = t. \text{ Fix arbitrary } E>0. We will show$ $\frac{1}{2}n: t-\varepsilon < Sn < t + \varepsilon^{2}_{3} = \varepsilon n: |Sn-t| < \varepsilon^{2}_{3} \text{ is infinite.}$

By defn of convergence of an tot, I No s.t. N>No ensures lan-tl<E=> supesnin>NJ=an<t+E. In particular, for N= Nol+1, supesnin> Nol+1J<t+E. Thus for all n>Nol+1, Sn<t+E.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that {n:t-e<sn<t+e} is finite. Since we know n> Nol+lensured Sn2+E, there must be N, > Not I for which $Sn = t - \varepsilon for all n > N_1$.

Then $a_N = \sup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n \to \infty} \sum_{$

Next, we show inder is a subsequential

Fact: liming Sn = - limsup - Sn

Thus, by what we've already shown, - liming sh is a subsequential limit of -sn Fact: t is a subseq. limit of sn (=)-t is a subseq. limit of -sn

In fact, insuf on and limit on aren't just any subsequential limit: they are the largest and smallest subsequential limit.

Thm: Let S denote the set of subsequential limits of sn, then limsup sn= max(s) and liminfsn=min(s). Pl: By the previous theorem, we have limsup on ES and liminfsn=s, we have to show that, for all teS, we have liminf on E te limsup on . Suppose limon sng = t.

Since
$$n_{k} \ge k$$
, $\{ \le n_{k} \le k > N \} \le \{ \le n \le n > N \}$
for any NER. Thus
 $b_{N} = inf \{ \le n > N \} \le inf \{ \le n_{k} \le k > N \}$
 $sup \{ \le n_{k} \le k > N \} \le sup \{ \le n > N \} = a_{N}$

