Lecture 6: Highlights
(C) Katy Craig, 2024
Thm (limit of sum is sum af limits): If sn and th are
convergent sequences, how (sn th) = hows n those th.
Then (limit of product is product ag limits): If sn and
th are convergent sequences, now sn the box sn theorem.
Then (limit of quotient is quotiental limits): If sn and th
are convergent sequences, now sn the box sn theorem.
Then (limit of quotient is quotiental limits): If sn and th
are convergent sequences, sn to for all n,
and how sn to, then
lim (
$$\frac{tn}{sn}$$
) = $\frac{higstim}{higstim}$.
Then (basic examples):
(a) how $(t_1)^p = 0$ if $p > 0$
(b) higstime $n'n = 1$
(d) how $a'h = 1$ if $a > 0$
Reminder: $\cos(n\pi) = (-1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1, -1) = (-1)^n$

 $sin(n\pi) = (0, 0, 0, 0, -)$

Ex: Find the limit of $s_n = \frac{n-2}{n^2+2}$ and justify your answer. Note that $s_n = \frac{\frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2}}{1 + \frac{2}{n^2}}$. Let $a_n = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2}$. Let $b_n = 1 + \frac{2}{n^2}$.

Since we just showed $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-2}{n^2} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} -1 = -1$, by the that limit of product is product of limits, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-2}{n^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(-2)}{n^2}(-1) = (\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-2}{n^2})(\lim_{n \to \infty} -1) = 0.(-1) = 0.$ Finally, since $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1 = 1$, by the that $\lim_{n \to \infty} 1 = 0.(-1) = 0.$ Is sum of limits, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \ln = \lim_{n \to \infty} 1 + \frac{2}{n^2} = (\lim_{n \to \infty} 1) + (\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{n^2}) = (+0 = 1.$

Since an converges, bn converges, $bn \neq 0 \forall n$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} bn \neq 0$, by Thm that limit of quotient is quotient of limit, $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sn = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{an}{bn} = (\lim_{n \to \infty} an) = 0 = 0$. $(\lim_{n \to \infty} bn)^{-1}$ Comments on HW1:

- A good number of students (maybe a quarter) seem to have misunderstandings using quantifiers like for all vs. there exists.
- Many of the write ups I looked at seemed to be closer to scratchwork than a complete proof. For example, in proving an induction statement they would write what they set out to show and then cross terms out, etc. until they arrived at something like the induction hypothesis. I assume that the quality of writing is as important here as figuring out the puzzle.
- Many students skipped steps or gave handwavy arguments, so it might be worth reiterating that they really need to use the axioms/lemmas/propositions/theorems rather than intuition.

Base Case: Consider the case of
$$n=4$$
, and since
 $4! = 24 > 16 = 4^2$ use full
 $P(4)$ is true.