Lecture 9 © Katy Craig, 2023 <u>Thm</u>: Given a sequence sn, limsnexists (=> limingsn=limsupsn. Furthermore, if either of these equivalent conditions holds, now on = liming on = linsup now on = insup. (Kemarks: DIf s<M ∀s∈S, then sup(s)≤M. (2)  $b_N = inf(s_n; n^2N_3) \leq sup(s_n; n^2N_3) = a_N$ 3 If rn and the are sequences whose limits exist and rn the the VnEN, then limorn < limoth. hand Sn= lim DN = lims an = limsup Sn (4) limsup (-Sn) = 11m SUP &-Sn: n>N}  $= \lim_{N \to \infty} -in \int_{S} Sn \cdot n > N \int_{S}$ =  $-\lim_{N \to \infty} in \int_{S} Sn \cdot n > N \int_{S}$ =  $-\lim_{N \to \infty} Sn \cdot n > N \int_{S}$ Similarly, liming -sn = - limsup sn.



CASEI Suppose limsn=-a), so for all M<O, there exists No s.t.  $n^2N_{0}$ , sn < M. Thus  $a_{N_0} = sup \{sn : n^2N_0\} \leq M$ . Since  $a_N$  is a decreasing sequence,  $a_N \leq a_{N_0} \leq M \forall N \ge N_0$ . Since M<O was arbitrary, by the definition of divergence to  $-\infty$ , we have  $N \ge \infty$  as  $= -\infty$ . Thus inder to  $-\infty$ , we have  $N \ge \infty$  as  $= -\infty$ .

CASEZ Suppose limsn=too. Then how -Sn=-or. By previous case, liming -Sn = limsup -Sn = lim -Sn=-or. Thus, -limsup Sn = - liming Sn = - lim of Sn = -or. Multiplying by -1, limsup Sn = liming sn = - limson Sn = +or.

CASE 3 (Suppose limsn=s, for seR) Fix E>O. By defn of convergence, Z No s.t. n>No implies Isn-sl<E (=) s-E < sn < s+E. Hence ANO = SUP ESN: n>No] = s+E, and since an is decreasing, N>NO ensures an = ano = s+E. Likewise, bno = infEsn: n>No] = s-E, and since bn is increasing, N>NO ensures bn = bno = s-E. Thus, N>No ensures S-E = DN = an = StE. Since E>O was arbitrary, lim noo bn = lim ap = S, that is limsup sn = liming sn = S.

Consider a sequence sn and sER CLAIM: If  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N \epsilon R$  so that n > N ensures  $lsn - sl = \epsilon$ , then sn converges to s.

Pl: Fix E>O. Then  $\frac{e}{2}$  >O. B. hypothesis,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{R} \ s.t. \ n > N \ ensure > O/sud - s1 \leq \frac{e}{2} < \epsilon.$ Thus sn converges to s.

Now suppose liminfsn=limsupsn WTS limsn exists  
and limsn=liminfsn=limsupsn.  
CASET Suppose liminfsn=limsupsn=-...  
CASET Suppose liminfsn=limsupsn=-...  
By defn of limsup,  

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_N = -\infty$$
. Fix M<0. There exists No s.t.  
N>No, SupesninNI=a\_N < M. Let  
NI= [No]+1 = min & melN: m2No]+1, so  
Supesnin>NJ = a\_N < M. Thus sn
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = -\infty$ .  
 $[asser][liminfsn=limsupsn=+\infty]$  Then  
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = -\infty$ . By what we just  
showed,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = -\infty$ . By what we just  
showed,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = -\infty$ , so  $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = +\infty$ .  
 $[asser][liminfsn=limsupsn=sforseR]$  Thus  
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_N = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_N = S$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists Na  
and Nb so that N>Na ensures [an-ske  
and Nb so that N>Na ensures [an-ske  
and N> ensures [b\_N-s]< $\varepsilon$ . Define  
No = max  $\xi No$ , Nb3+1. Then  
 $b_{No} = S - \varepsilon$  and  $a_{No} < s+ \varepsilon$ . Therefore  
for all n>No,

 $S - \varepsilon < b_{No} = inf \varepsilon n^2 No \widetilde{f} \leq sn \leq sup \varepsilon n^2 n^2 No \widetilde{f} \leq a_{No} < S + \varepsilon$ .

Since E>O was corbitrary, him sn=S. D