

Lecture 13

Recall:

Def: A sequence of measurable functions $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converges in measure to a measurable function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

Likewise, f_n is Cauchy in measure if, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

Thm: Consider $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ meas.

(i) If f_n is Cauchy in measure, then $\exists f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ meas. s.t.

(a) $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure

(b) $\exists f_{n_k}$ s.t. $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e.

(ii) If, in addition, $f_n \rightarrow g$ in measure, then $f = g$ μ -a.e.

Prop:

(a) If f_n is Cauchy in $L^1(\mu)$, then it's Cauchy in measure.

(b) If f_n is convergent in $L^1(\mu)$, then it's convergent in measure.

↓ MAJOR THEOREM 8

Cor: If f_n is Cauchy in $L^1(\mu)$, then $\exists f \in L^1(\mu)$ and a subsequence f_{n_k} s.t.
 $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e.

MAJOR THEOREM a

Cor: $L^1(\mu)$ is a Banach space, that is, a complete normed vector space.

Summary of different modes of convergence:

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^1(\mu)$$

⇔

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in measure}$$

⇓ "upto a subsequence"

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

~~$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}_{[0,n]}$~~

↑ (?)

To answer $\textcircled{?}$, we first show...

MAJOR THEOREM 10

Thm (Egoroff): Suppose $\mu(X) < +\infty$ and $f_n, f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e..

Then, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists E \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t. $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E^c .

Pl:

Case 1 Assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

Define $E_{n,k} = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \{x: |f_m(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$

Then $E_{n,k} \supseteq E_{n+1,k} \quad \forall n, k$.

Since $\mu(X) < +\infty$, cty from above ensures, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_{n,k}) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n,k}\right), \quad \downarrow \begin{array}{l} f_n \rightarrow f \\ \text{pointwise} \end{array}$$

$$= \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$\forall x \in X, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists M > 0$ s.t. $\forall m \geq M$
 $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k}$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Then $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $\exists n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\mu(E_{n_k, k}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Let $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{n_k, k}$. Then

$$\mu(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{n_k, k}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \varepsilon.$$

If $x \notin E$, then $x \notin E_{n_k, \frac{1}{k}} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.
that is, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall m \geq n_k$,
 $x \notin \{x : |f_m(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$.

Therefore, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$
 $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall m \geq n_k \text{ and } x \in E^c$.

Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ unif on E^c .

Case 2 Assume $f_n \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e.

Define $N := \{x : f_n(x) \not\rightarrow f(x)\}$,
so $\mu(N) = 0$.

Define $g_n := f_n \mathbf{1}_{N^c}$, $g := f \mathbf{1}_{N^c}$

Then $g_n \rightarrow g$ pointwise.

By Case 1, $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists E \in \mathcal{M}$
with $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ s.t. $g_n \rightarrow g$
uniform on E^c .

Let $F := E \cup N$. Then $\mu(F) < \varepsilon + 0 = \varepsilon$.
and $f_n = g_n \rightarrow g = f$ uniformly
on F^c . \square

Cor: Suppose $\mu(X) < +\infty$ and
 $f_n, f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable s.t.
 $f_n \rightarrow f$ μ -a.e.. Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ in
measure.

Pl: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Egoroff,
 $\forall \delta > 0, \exists E \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t. $\mu(E) < \delta$
s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ unif on E^c .

Thus,

$$\mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\})$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\mu(\{x \in E^c: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\})}_{< \delta} + \mu(E)$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\})$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in E^c: |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\})}_{=0} + \delta$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e. on E^c ...

Sending $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we conclude
 $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure. □

Product Measures

X is a nonempty set

Prop: Given $\mathcal{E} \subseteq 2^X$, \exists a smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{E} , denoted $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$.

Rmk

- (a) if $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$
- (b) if $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$

Let

- $\{(\chi_\alpha, \mathcal{M}_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a countable collection of measurable spaces
- $\chi := \prod_{\alpha \in A} \chi_\alpha = \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \times \dots \times \chi_\alpha \times \dots$
- Let π_α denote projection of χ onto χ_α

Def: The product σ -algebra is

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha := \mathcal{M} \left(\underbrace{\left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha : E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \right\}}_{\text{"rectangle"}} \right)$$

Ex: $\mathcal{M}_\alpha := \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}$, $E_\alpha := [a, b]$, $A = \{1, 2\}$

Goal: WTS $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \bigotimes_{\alpha=1}^d \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}$

Prop: Given $E_\alpha \subseteq 2^{X_\alpha}$ s.t. $X_\alpha \in E_\alpha$,
suppose $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \mathcal{M}(E_\alpha)$. Then

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{M}_\alpha = \mathcal{M} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha : E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha \right)$$

Ex: $\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \{(a, +\infty) : a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } a = -\infty\}$

Pf: By (b),

$$\mathcal{M} / \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha})$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{M} / \{ \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \}.$$

We now show the opposite containment. By (a), it suffices to show

$$\{ \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \}$$

$$\subseteq \mathcal{M} / \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}.$$

Note that...

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha} &= \{ x \in X : \pi_{\alpha}(x) \in E_{\alpha} \ \forall \alpha \in A \} \\ &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{ x \in X : \pi_{\alpha}(x) \in E_{\alpha} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\dots = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(E_{\alpha})$$

~~*~~ countable intersection

Thus, it suffices to show,
 $\forall \alpha \in A$ and $E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$,

$$\pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(E_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{M}(\underbrace{\{\pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(E_{\alpha}) : E_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}\}}_{\mathcal{A}})$$

Recall that, since $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}$ is a σ -algebra, its pushforward under the function $\pi_{\alpha}: X \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$ is also a σ -algebra, where..

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} := \{E \subseteq X_{\alpha} : \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}\}$$

Furthermore, because $x_\alpha \in E_\alpha$
 $\forall \alpha \in A$ and

$$\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) = x_1 \times x_2 \times \dots \times E_\alpha \times x_{\alpha+1} \times \dots,$$

we have, $\forall E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$,

$$\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) \in \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha : E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$. Hence
 $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$.

This shows $(*)$.

□

topological: see Bogachev 6.4.2

Thm: Given metric spaces
 X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and

$$X := \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

endowed with the metric

$$d_{\max}(\overbrace{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}^{\vec{x}}, \overbrace{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)}^{\vec{y}}) \\ = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} d_i(x_i, y_i).$$

Then, $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n B_{X_i} \subseteq B_X$.

Furthermore, if the X_i 's are all separable, then $B_X = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n B_{X_i}$.
 \exists countable dense subset.

Rmk: d_{\max} is convenient because

$$\begin{aligned} B_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : d_{\max}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < r\} \\ &= \{\vec{y} : d_i(x_i, y_i) < r \ \forall i\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_r(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Rmk: However, since the defn of B_x only depends on the topology of X , this result continues to hold if d_{\max} is replaced by any equivalent metric.