

## Lecture 12 Announcements:

Recall:

- First wiki articles due this Friday 2/11
- No class Tuesday 2/15, rescheduled to Friday 2/18, 1:30-2:45pm, SH 6635

Suppose  $X$  cpt Polish space.

Thm: For all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $c: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  cts,

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} K(\gamma) = \sup_{\substack{(\varphi, \psi) \in C(X) \times C(X) \\ \varphi + \psi \leq c}} \int \varphi d\mu + \int \psi d\nu$$

- Do "Primal"
- P<sub>0</sub>
"Dual"

Furthermore, the maximum is attained.

From Kantorovich back to Monge

Questions:

- ① When does an OT map  $t(x)$  exist?
- ② When do the optima of Monge and Kantorovich's problems coincide?
- ③ When does  $t(x) = \nabla \varphi(x)$  for  $\varphi$  convex?

Thm (Knott-Smith Optimality Criterion):  
 Fix  $x^1, x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let  $c(x^1, x^2) = \|x^1 - x^2\|^2$ .

(i) There exists  $f_* \in L^1(\mu)$  proper, lsc, convex s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i.a)} \sup_{\substack{\varphi, \psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ \varphi + \psi \leq c}} & \int \varphi d\mu + \int \psi d\nu = -P_0 = \int \|x\|^2 - 2f_*(x) d\mu(x) \\ & + \int \|x\|^2 - 2f_*^*(x) d\nu(x) \end{aligned}$$

(i.b) For any optimal transport plan  $\gamma_*$ , we have  $x^2 \in \partial f_*(x^1)$  for  $\gamma_*$ -a.e.  $(x^1, x^2)$

(ii) Conversely, if  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$  and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  proper, lsc, convex for which  $x^2 \in \partial f(x^1)$  for  $\gamma$ -a.e.  $(x^1, x^2)$  then...

(ii.a)  $\gamma$  is optimal

$$\text{(ii.b)} -P_0 = \int \|x\|^2 - f(x) d\mu(x) + \int \|x\|^2 - 2f^*(x) d\nu(x).$$

Remark: More generally, the result continues to hold for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int \|x\|^2 d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

Lemma (Double convexification for quadratic cost on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )

Given

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sum_x \varphi(x) - \sum_x \psi(x) + \chi_{\{\varphi(x^1) + \psi(x^2) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2\}} \\ & \quad \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\{(\varphi, \psi)\} \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^d) \times C(\mathbb{R}^d), F((\varphi, \psi), 0) < +\infty$$

define

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^1) = \inf_{x^2 \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^1 - x^2|^2 - \psi(x^2),$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(x^2) = \inf_{x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^1 - x^2|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1).$$

Then,

$$(i) f(x^1) = \frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1)) \in L^1(\mu) \text{ is}$$

proper, lsc, and convex

$$(ii) f^*(x^2) = \frac{1}{2}(|x^2|^2 - \tilde{\psi}(x^2))$$

$$(iii) F((\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}), 0) \leq F((\varphi, \psi), 0)$$

Remark:  $\tilde{\varphi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$  are the Moreau-Yosida regularizations of  $-\psi_i, -\varphi_i$  with respect to the square distance

Pf:

First, note that

$$\varphi(x^1) + \psi(x^2) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2$$

↓

$$\varphi(x^1) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2 - \psi(x^2)$$

↓

$$\varphi(x^1) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x^1)$$

Likewise, note that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^1) + \tilde{\psi}(x^2) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2$$

↑

$$\tilde{\psi}(x^2) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1)$$

$$\psi(x^2) \leq \tilde{\psi}(x^2)$$

Since, by definition  
 $\tilde{\varphi}(x^1) + \tilde{\psi}(x^2) \geq |x^1 - x^2|^2$ , we  
have,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\varphi, \psi, 0) &= -\int \varphi d\mu - \int \psi d\nu \\ &\geq -\int \tilde{\varphi} d\mu - \int \tilde{\psi} d\nu \\ &= F(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^1) = \inf_{x^2 \in X} |x^1 - x^2|^2 - \tilde{\psi}(x^2)$$

↓

$$g(x^2)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1))}_{g^*(x^1)} = \sup_{x^2 \in X} \underbrace{\langle x^1, x^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}(|x^2|^2 - \tilde{\psi}(x^2))}_{g(x^2)}$$

By defn of convex conjugate,

$f(x^1) = g^*(x^1)$  is proper, lsc, convex.

Likewise,

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(|x^2|^2 - \tilde{\Psi}(x^2))}_{=g^{**}(x^2)} = \sup_{x^1 \in X} \langle x^1, x^2 \rangle - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1))}_{=g^*(x^1)} = f^*(x^1)$$

To see  $f \in L^+(\mu)$ , note that Young's inequality implied

$$g^*(x^2) + g(x^2) \geq \langle x^1, x^2 \rangle$$

$$\text{Hence, } g^*(x^1) \geq \langle x^1, x^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}(|x^2|^2 - \tilde{\Psi}(x^2))$$

$$\text{OTOH, } g^*(x^1) = \frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1)) \leq \frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \varphi(x^1))$$

Thus,  $f = g^* \in L^+(\mu)$ .

□

Now, we have everything we need to prove the Knott-Smith optimality criterion.

Pf:

Part (i)

By Kantorovich Duality Thm,  $\exists \varphi_0, \psi_0 \in C(X)$  s.t.  $\varphi_0(x^1) + \psi_0(x^2) \leq |x^1 - x^2|^2$  with

$$-P_0 = \int \varphi_0 d\mu + \int \psi_0 d\mu = -F((\varphi_0, \psi_0), 0).$$

By Double Convexification Lemma,  
 $\exists f \in L^1(\mu)$  proper, lsc, convex where

$$F((\varphi_0, \psi_0), 0) \geq F((\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}), 0)$$

for  $f(x^1) = \frac{1}{2}(|x^1|^2 - \tilde{\varphi}(x^1))$   
 $f^*(x^2) = \frac{1}{2}(|x^2|^2 - \tilde{\psi}(x^2)).$

Thus if  $\gamma_*$  is an OT plan,

$$P_0 = F((\varphi_0, \psi_0), 0)$$

$$\geq F((\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}), 0)$$

$$= F(|x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1), |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2)), 0)$$



$$= - \int |x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1) d\mu(x^1) - \int |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2) d\lambda(x^2)$$

$$\text{Sun} = - \int |x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1) + |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2) d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

<sup>Young</sup>

$$\geq - \int |x^1|^2 + |x^2|^2 - 2\langle x^1, x^2 \rangle d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

$$= - \int |x^1 - x^2|^2 d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

$$= D_0$$

$$= P_0$$

Thus, equality must hold throughout.



ensures (i.a).

Subtracting eqn below from ,

$$\int f(x^1) + f^*(x^2) - \langle x^1, x^2 \rangle d\gamma(x^1, x^2) = 0$$

Since Young's inequality guarantees the integrand is nonnegative, it must vanish  $\gamma$ -a.e.

Thus  $x^2 \in \partial f(x^1)$   $\gamma^*$ -a.e.

### Part (ii)

Suppose

- o  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$
- o  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  proper, lsc, convex
- o  $x^2 \in \partial f(x^1)$   $\gamma$ -a.e.

WTS

(ii.a)  $\gamma$  is optimal

$$(ii.b) -P_0 = \int |x|^2 - f(x) d\mu(x) + \int |x|^2 - 2f^*(x) d\nu(x)$$

Since equality holds in Young's inequality  
 $\gamma$ -a.e.

$$-\int |x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1) d\mu(x^1) - \int |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2) d\nu(x^2)$$

$$= -\int |x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1) + |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2) d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

use  $\downarrow$  Young equality

$$= -\int |x^1|^2 - 2\langle x^1, x^2 \rangle + |x^2|^2 d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

$$= -\int |x^1 - x^2|^2 d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

For arbitrary  $\gamma' \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$  we have " $\geq$ " at  $\textcolor{blue}{m}$ .

Thus

$$-\int |x^1 - x^2|^2 d\gamma(x^1, x^2)$$

$$= -\int |x^1|^2 - 2f(x^1) d\mu(x^1) - \int |x^2|^2 - 2f^*(x^2) d\nu(x^2)$$

$$\geq -\int |x^1 - x^2|^2 d\gamma'(x^1, x^2)$$

Thus  $\gamma$  is optimal.

Finally, since  $\gamma$  is optimal, which shows (ii.b)

Applying  $\textcolor{blue}{m}$  again shows (ii.a).  $\square$

Now, we have what we need to not only solve the Monge problem, but also to characterize its unique solution.

$$\mu = \delta_x \quad \nu = 1_{[0,1]}$$

Thm (Brenier): Given  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mu \ll \mathcal{L}^d$

① For any optimal transport plan  $\pi$ ,  $\exists$  an optimal transport map  $t_\pi$  s.t.  $\pi = (\text{id} \times t_\pi)_\# \mu$   
"Any OT plan is induced by an OT map"

② Given  $t$  s.t.  $t^\# \mu = \nu$ ,  
t is optimal  $\Leftrightarrow t = \nabla \varphi$  for  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$  convex, lsc  
defined  $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^d$       differentiable  $\mu$ -a.e.

③ the OT map is unique, up to  $\mu$ -a.e. equiv

The proof relies strongly on following theorem:

Thm: Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mu \ll \mathcal{L}^d$ ,  $\varphi \in L^1(\mu)$  convex,  
then

- $\varphi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -a.e.

- where it is differentiable,  $\partial \varphi = \{\nabla \varphi\}$

- $\nabla \varphi$  coincides with the distributional gradient.

Sketch of Proof:

- Any convex function is locally Lip on  $\text{Int}(D(\varphi))$ .
- $D(\varphi)$  is convex, so  $\partial D(\varphi)$  has Lebesgue meas 0.
- Thus  $\varphi$  is differentiable a.e. on  $D(\varphi)$ .
- $\varphi \in L^1(\mu) \Rightarrow \mu(D(\varphi)) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -a.e.

Now solve Monge's problem!