

Lecture 4

260R, Advanced Measure Theory
© Katy Craig, 2026

23

Reminder: Presentation Topics (Apr ~~16~~)
Makeup Lecture: Friday, April 10th
2-3:45 pm
HSSB 3202

Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Thm

Def: Given a signed measure ν and a positive measure μ on (X, \mathcal{M}) , ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , denote $\nu \ll \mu$, if

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{M}, \mu(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0.$$

Remark: $\nu \ll \mu \Leftrightarrow |\nu| \ll \mu$
 $\Leftrightarrow \nu^+ \ll \mu$ and $\nu^- \ll \mu$

Thm: Given a finite signed measure ν and a positive measure μ on (X, \mathcal{M}) ,
 $\nu \ll \mu \Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.
 $\mu(E) < \delta$ ensures $|\nu(E)| < \varepsilon$.

(*)

Remark: The finiteness hypothesis on ν cannot be weakened to σ -finiteness. For example,
 $\mu = \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\nu(E) := \int_E |x| d\mu(x)$$

Then ν is σ -finite, $\nu \ll \mu$,

To see ~~(*)~~ fails, take $\varepsilon = 1$.

Suppose $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$\mu(E) < \delta$ ensures $|v(E)| < 1$.

Then we must have

$|v(E)| < 1$ on any interval
of length $< \delta$.

But $v(E)$ can be come arbitrarily
large as the interval E
moves away from the origin.

==

To build up to Radon-Nikodym
theorem, we require four
lemmas.

First, two 201a reminders...

Lemma 1: Given σ -finite positive measures $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^n$ on (X, \mathcal{M}) , $\exists \{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ disjoint s.t. $\bigcup_k A_k = X$ and $\nu_i(A_k) < +\infty \quad \forall i, k$.

Pl: If $n=1$, by definition of σ -finiteness, $\exists \{B_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ s.t. $\bigcup_k B_k = X$, $\nu_1(B_k) < +\infty$.

Define $A_1 := B_1$, $A_k := B_k \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j$.

Suppose the result holds for ν_1, \dots, ν_n , with partition $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. We will show \exists partition for ν_1, \dots, ν_{n+1} .

By σ -finiteness of ν_{n+1} ,
 $\exists \{B_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ disjoint, $\bigcup_j B_j = X$,
 $\nu_{n+1}(B_j) < +\infty$.

Define $C_{j,k} := A_k \cap B_j$. \square

Lemma 2: Consider a positive measure μ on (X, \mathcal{M}) and suppose $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$. Then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow f = g \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Now, two more lemmas about signed measures.

Lemma: Given a sequence of positive measures $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$

and a positive measure μ ,
 $\nu_j \perp \mu \forall j \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_j \perp \mu$.

Pf: Exercise.

Lemma 4: Given finite positive measures μ and ν on (X, \mathcal{M}) either

(i) $\nu \perp \mu$

or

(ii) $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ and $E \in \mathcal{M}$ s.t.

$\mu(E) > 0$ and $\nu \geq \varepsilon \mu$ on E .

that is, E is a positive set for $\nu - \varepsilon \mu$.

Pl: Let $X = P_n \cup N_n$ be a Hahn decomposition for $\nu - \frac{1}{n}\mu$.

Define $P := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$, $N := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n = P^c$.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $(\nu - \frac{1}{n}\mu)(N) \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq \nu(N) \leq \frac{1}{n}\mu(N)$.
Thus $\nu(N) = 0$.
 μ is a finite measure \downarrow

If $\mu(P) = 0$, then $\mu \perp \nu$.

If $\mu(P) > 0$, then $\mu(P_n) > 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since P_n is a positive set for $\nu - \frac{1}{n}\mu$, part (ii) holds with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$. \square

Thm (Radon-Nikodym):

Consider σ -finite signed measure ν and σ -finite positive measure μ on (X, \mathcal{A}) .

There exists

- a σ -finite signed measure λ on (X, \mathcal{A})
 - a measurable function $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ s.t. $E \mapsto \int_E f d\mu$ is σ -finite
- "Lebesgue Decomposition"

for which $\boxed{d\nu = d\lambda + f d\mu}$
and $\lambda \perp \mu$.

Furthermore, λ is unique and f is unique, up to μ -a.e. equivalence.

Rmk: When $\nu \ll \mu$, then $\lambda = 0$ and $\nu = f d\mu$.

The function f is known as the **Radon-Nikodym derivative** of ν with respect to μ , denoted $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$.

Motivation for notation:

Lebesgue decomposition becomes $d\nu = \left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) d\mu$.

Pf of Thm:

First, we will show existence of Lebesgue decomposition.

Case I: Suppose ν and μ are finite, positive measures.

Define $\mathcal{H} := \left\{ f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty] : \int_E f d\mu \leq \nu(E) \right\}$ ^{measurable} $\forall E \in \mathcal{M}$

Note that:

- \mathcal{H} is nonempty, $0 \in \mathcal{H}$
- $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow f \vee g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(f \vee g)(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))$$

$$\int_E f \vee g d\mu = \int_{E \cap \{f > g\}} f \vee g d\mu + \int_{E \cap \{f \leq g\}} f \vee g d\mu$$

$$= \int_{E \cap \{f > g\}} f d\mu + \int_{E \cap \{f \leq g\}} g d\mu$$

$$\leq \nu(E \cap \{f > g\}) + \nu(E \cap \{f \leq g\})$$

$$\rightarrow \nu(\{x \in X : f(x) > g(x)\}) = \nu(E)$$

• $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, $h_n \nearrow h \Rightarrow h \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$

By MCT,
 $\int_E h d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E h_n d\mu \leq v(E)$.

Define $a := \sup_{f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_X} \int f d\mu \leq v(X) < +\infty$.

Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a maximizing sequence.

Define $g_n := \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
Also $\int g_n d\mu \geq \int f_n d\mu$.

Define $f = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i$. Then

$g_n \nearrow f$ pointwise, so $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Thus, by MCT,

$$\begin{aligned} a &\stackrel{f \in \mathcal{F}_1}{\geq} \int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n d\mu \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = a. \end{aligned}$$

Define $d\lambda = dv - f d\mu$.
Since $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, λ is a positive measure.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $\lambda \perp \mu$ fails. By Lemma 4, $\exists E \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\mu(E) > 0$ and $\lambda \geq \varepsilon \mu$ on E .

Then $\int \varepsilon 1_E d\mu \leq d\lambda = d\nu - f d\mu$
 $\Leftrightarrow (f + \varepsilon 1_E) d\mu \leq d\nu$

This would imply $f + \varepsilon 1_E \in \mathcal{F}$
 and

$$\int f + \varepsilon 1_E d\mu = a + \varepsilon \mu(E) > a.$$

This contradicts our construction of f . This proves existence of Lebesgue decomposition.

Case II: Now, suppose ν and μ are σ -finite positive measure. By Lemma 1, \exists partition $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of X s.t. $\mu(A_k) < \infty$, $\nu(A_k) < \infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Defining

$$\nu_k(E) := \nu(E \cap A_k)$$

$$\mu_k(E) := \mu(E \cap A_k)$$

We can apply Case I to these finite measures, obtaining

$$d\nu_k = d\lambda_k + f_k d\mu_k$$
$$\lambda_k \perp \mu_k$$

Since $\mu_k(A_k^c) = \nu_k(A_k^c) = 0$,
we have $\lambda_k(A_k^c) = 0$.

We may also assume
 $f_k = 0$ on A_k^c .

Define $\lambda := \sum_k \lambda_k$, $f := \sum_k f_k$.

Then

$$d\nu = \sum_k d\nu_k = d\lambda + f d\mu$$

Claim: $\lambda \perp \mu$

By Lemma 3, $\lambda \perp \mu$.

Remains to show σ -finiteness
of λ and $f d\mu$. \square