

Lecture 6

260R, Advanced Measure Theory
© Katy Craig, 2026

Reminder: Presentation Topics (Apr 23)

make sure to come to
office hours to show me
detailed notes ahead of time

Goal: ≤ 15 minutes (≤ 3 pages notes)

Next week: sign up for topics
for second round of
presentations

Thm (Radon-Nikodym):

Consider σ -finite signed measure ν and σ -finite positive measure μ on (X, \mathcal{A}) .

There exists

- a σ -finite signed measure λ on (X, \mathcal{A})
 - a measurable function $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ s.t. $E \mapsto \int_E f d\mu$ is σ -finite
- "Lebesgue Decomposition"

for which $d\nu = d\lambda + f d\mu$
and $\lambda \perp \mu$.

Furthermore, λ is unique and f is unique, up to μ -a.e. equivalence.

This uniqueness result gives some nice basic properties of the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

Also suppose $\nu_1 + \nu_2$ well-defined

Lemma: Suppose ν_1 and ν_2 are σ -finite signed measures, μ σ -finite positive measure and $\nu_1 \ll \mu$ and $\nu_2 \ll \mu$.

Then
$$\frac{d(\nu_1 + \nu_2)}{d\mu} = \frac{d\nu_1}{d\mu} + \frac{d\nu_2}{d\mu}, \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Prop: Suppose ν is a σ -finite signed measure and μ, λ are σ -finite positive measures s.t. $\nu \ll \mu$ and $\mu \ll \lambda$. Then $\nu \ll \lambda$ and

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}, \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

====

Lebesgue - Besicovitch Differentiation

Specialize to $(X, \mathcal{M}) = (\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d})$

Thm (Besicovitch's covering Theorem): For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists S(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property...

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of closed, nondegenerate balls in \mathbb{R}^d . Let C denote the centers of the balls.

Assume that either...

(i) $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded

(ii) $\sup \{ \text{diam}(\bar{B}) : \bar{B} \in \mathcal{F} \} < +\infty$

Then $\exists \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{S(d)}$ (possibly empty) subfamilies of \mathcal{F} s.t.

(a) Each \mathcal{F}_i is disjoint and \mathcal{F}_i are mutually disjoint. *that is the closed balls in \mathcal{F}_i are mutually disjoint*

(b) $C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{S(d)} \bigcup_{\bar{B} \in \mathcal{F}_i} \bar{B}$

Q: If (i) holds and (ii) fails, isn't result trivially true by taking an arbitrarily large ball?

A: Yes. If C is bounded, $\text{diam}(C) < +\infty$ so if we choose $\bar{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\text{diam}(\bar{B}) > 2\text{diam}(C)$, then $C \subseteq \bar{B}$.

Remark: The theorem is formulated in this way since, in many applications, C is clearly bounded... then don't need to check anything about diameters.

We will always assume μ is a locally finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^d . μ gives finite measure to all balls

In our study of Radon measures, we will prove that all such measures satisfy the following:
 $\forall E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$

inner regular: $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \text{ open}, U \supseteq E\}$

outer regular: $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \text{ cpt}, K \subseteq E\}$

continuous functions are dense

in $L^1(\mu)$: $\forall f \in L^1(\mu), \forall \varepsilon > 0,$
 $\exists g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\|f - g\|_{L^1(\mu)} < \varepsilon.$

Def: A measurable function f is locally integrable w.r.t. μ , denoted $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$, if

$$\int_K |f(x)| d\mu(x) < +\infty, \forall K \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{ bounded}$$

Def: Given $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$, $r > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the average value of f on $B_r(x)$ is

$$A_r f(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) d\mu(y) & \text{otherwise} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu(B_r(x)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Rmk: If $f \in (L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mu)$,
 we have that, for any
 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. $\mu(B_r(x)) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0$,
 we have $(*)$
 $A_r f(x) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} f(x).$

To see why this is true, note
 that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists R$ s.t.
 $r \leq R, |x-y| \leq r \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon.$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |A_r f(x) - f(x)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) d\mu(y) - f(x) \cdot \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} 1 d\mu(y) \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} f(y) - f(x) d\mu(y) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\overline{B_r(x)})} \int_{\overline{B_r(x)}} \underbrace{|f(y) - f(x)|}_{< \varepsilon} d\mu(y)$$

$< \varepsilon$ \downarrow provided $r \leq R$.

This shows $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(\overline{B_r(x)})} \int_{\overline{B_r(x)}} f(y) d\mu(y) = f(x)$.

Remark: (*) holds for μ -a.e. x .

That is, the set
 $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mu(\overline{B_r(x)}) = 0 \text{ for some } r > 0\}$
 is μ -null. For any such x ,
 $\exists q_x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}, p_x \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ s.t.
 $x \in \overline{B_{q_x}(p_x)} \subseteq \overline{B_r(x)}$, so $\mu(\overline{B_{q_x}(p_x)}) = 0$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 = \{ \overline{B_{q_x}(p_x)} : x \in S \}$

$$\mu(S) \leq \sum_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} \mu(B) \leq \sum_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1} 0 = 0.$$

Major goal of this week:
extend $A_r f(x) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} f(x)$
all $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$.

Lemma: For all $r > 0$,

$x \mapsto \mu(B_r(x))$ is lsc,
 $x \mapsto \mu(\overline{B_r(x)})$ is usc.

Pf: Exercise

Lemma: If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu)$, $r > 0$,
 $A_r f(x)$ is measurable in x .

Furthermore, if x, r are such
that $A_r f(x) > 0$, then $r_n \downarrow r$,
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{r_n} f(x) = A_r f(x)$.

Pf: Applying the previous Lemma to μ and $|f|d\mu$ we see that

$$x \mapsto \mu(\overline{B_r(x)}) + \frac{1}{\overbrace{\mu(\overline{B_r(x)})}^{\text{Borel set}}} \chi_{\{x: \mu(\overline{B_r(x)})=0\}}(x)$$

$$x \mapsto \int_{\overline{B_r(x)}} f_+(y) d\mu(y)$$

$$x \mapsto \int_{\overline{B_r(x)}} f_-(y) d\mu(y)$$

are all measurable.

Therefore, $\forall r > 0$,

$$A_r f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu(\overline{B_r(x)})} \int_{\overline{B_r(x)}} f(y) d\mu(y) & \text{else,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu(\overline{B_r(x)}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

is measurable in x .

Suppose $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r > 0$ satisfy $A_r f(x) > 0$.
Then $\mu(\overline{B_r(x)}) > 0$.

Fix $r_n \downarrow r$. By city from above, for any (locally) finite ν ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(\overline{B_{r_n}(x)}) &= \nu\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{B_{r_n}(x)}\right) \\ &= \nu(\overline{B_r(x)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$|y-x| \leq r_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow |y-x| \leq r$$

Applying to $\nu = \mu$ and $\nu = f dx$

$\frac{1}{\mu(\overline{B_r(x)})}$ and $\frac{\int f(y) dx(y)}{\overline{B_r(x)}}$
are both right continuous.

Thus, their product is right etc. \square

Def: Given $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $Hf: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is

$$Hf(x) := \sup_{r>0} A_r |f|(x).$$

Rmk: For any $a > 0$, $\underbrace{\quad}_{\in B_{\mathbb{R}^d}}$

$$Hf^{-1}((a, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{r>0} \underbrace{(A_r |f|)^{-1}((a, +\infty))}_{\in B_{\mathbb{R}^d}}$$

$$x \in Hf^{-1}((a, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{\substack{q>0, q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ g>0, g \in \mathbb{Q}}} (A_g |f|)^{-1}((a, +\infty))$$
$$\Leftrightarrow Hf(x) = \sup_{r>0} A_r |f|(x) > a$$

$\Leftrightarrow a$ is not an upper

bound of $\{A_r |f|(x) : r > 0\}$

where the last equality followed by right continuity,

$$A_r |f|(x) > a \Leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbb{Q}, q > 0, \\ \text{s.t. } A_q |f|(x) > a$$

Thus $Hf^{-1}((a, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and Hf is measurable.

Thm (Hardy Littlewood maximal theorem): For all $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\alpha > 0$

$$\mu(\{x : Hf(x) > \alpha\}) \leq \frac{3(d)}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1(\mu)}.$$

Rmk: It turns out that $H: L^1(\mu) \not\rightarrow L^1(\mu)$. The previous thm show $H: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow L^{1,\alpha}(\mu)$.

Proof next time ☺