Math 260R: Optimal Transport Prof. Katy Craig (No office hours on Friday) Gaspard Monge, 1781 "On cuttings and embankments" flx g(x) dirt dirt Q: How can we rearrange the dirt in f to look like g in the most efficient way? Q': When do we care? A': The amount of effort it takes to rearrange one pile of dirt to look like another provides a notion of distance that is useful in PDE, geometry, statistics, machine learning,...

Ex: 11(X) f3(x)  $\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \chi$ 100 10 1

If we measure distance in the "usualway" (LR norms, statistical divergences, ...)  $\int |f_1(x) - f_2(x)| dx = 4 = \int |f_1(x) - f_3(x)| dx$ 

... isn't f1 "more similar" to f2 than f3?

Moral: common notions of distance between functions do not eddow independent variable with a spatial interpretation.



For other data sets, disregarding the spatial interpretation of independent variable throws away important information:



w.g

oogl

W<sup>1</sup> jet

e.co m/url?

sa=i&url=https%3A%2F%2Fdatasets.activeloop.ai%2Fdocs%2Fml%2-Fdatasets%2Ffashion-mnist-

dataset%2F&psig=AOvVaw1KXqxVNf9FmZL16cfZlSJh&ust=

t<sup>1</sup> jet

Optimal transport provides a notion of distance between [functions, data distributions, measures] That preserves the spatial interpretation of the independent variable. Over the past 20 years, this has had an enormous impact in: ① PDE: two Fields medals Villani (2010), Figalli (2018) ② Geometry: novel characterization of Ricci curvature in terms of convexity of entropy (3) Statistics: sampling (4) Machine learning: neural networks, normalizing flows,...

Back to original optimal transport problem... Source measure target measure  $dv(y) = g(y)d\lambda(y)$  $d\mu(x) = f(x) d\lambda(x)$ 





<u>Rmk</u>: This problem only makes sense if  $Sf(x) d\lambda(x) = Sq(y) d\lambda(y)$  $\mu(\chi) = \mathcal{M}(\chi)$ 

## WLOG, assume $\mu(\chi) = \nu(\chi) = 1$

It turns out that the "right" mathematical setting to solve this problem is more general... We will represent the piles of dirt as measures instead of Functions. (X,dx), (Y,dy) metric spaces (B(X) Borel 5-algebra M(X) finite (Borel) measures on X P(X) (Borel) probability measures on ) What does it mean to "rearrange" one probability measure to look like another? Del: (transport map) Given  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ , and a measurable function  $t: X \rightarrow Y$ , we say t transports  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  if  $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{B}) = \mu(t^{-1}(\mathcal{B})), \forall \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}(Y).$ 

We call  $\vee$  the pushforward of  $\mu$ under t, written  $\nu = t_{\#}\mu$ , and we call t a transport map from Mto V.

Source measure  $d\mu(x) = f(x) d\lambda(x)$ 





Informally, "mass starting at location xo in µ is sent to t(xo) in v." See Exercise 1.

Extranslation /dilation) Suppose  $(\chi, d_{\chi}) = (\chi, d_{\chi}) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ Fix a>0, ber, and let t(x)=ax+b. Thus, for any MEP(Rd), t# en satisfies  $(t \neq \mu)(B) = \mu(t'(B)) = \mu(\frac{B-B}{a})$ = m( 3 y=b : y E B3)





 $g(y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (f) \\ (det(Dt)) \end{array} \right\} \circ t^{-1}(y) \quad \text{if } y \in t(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ (O) \quad \text{if } r, \not \in t(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ \text{if } r, \not \in t(\mathbb{R}^d) \end{array} \right\}$ wher if y & t(R<sup>a</sup>) Pl: Exercise 3 Application: Normalizing Flows Reference: Kobyzev, Rince, Brubaker (2) eg. uniform problemas or us a Gaussians Broblem Given a reference measure uEP(x) about which we know everything, and given a target measure velly, from which we have samples Eyijing find t: X>Y "nice" so that 七井ルペン.