Homework 7 Solutions C Katy Craig, 2025 Define a sequence sn as follows: since M is the least upper bound for $\frac{2}{2}f(x):xes3}$, $\forall ne/N$, $\exists xness$ s.t. $m-n \leq f(xn) \leq M$. Thus, by the Squeeze Lemma, hospitan)=M. b) Since xnes and S is bounded, xnis a bounded sequence. By Bolzano Weierstrass, lithorad convergent subsequence Xnk. Since flank) is a subsequence of f(xn), imof(xnk)=M. There, the result holds with the Xnr.

 2 with a < b. Then we also have a checker.
 6 Fix a, b ∈ IR. By cleansity of Q in IR, ∃ r∈Q s.t.
 a checker checker. Thus, a < J5r < b. Since J5rES, this shows the result.

(b) Fix x∈R. By part @, ∀n∈N, ∃sn∈S st. x<sn × x+n. Then hmo sn=x,</p>

by the Squeeze Lemma. Since f is continuous, $\int_{n\to\infty}^{\lim} f(s_n) = f(x)$. Finally, since $f(s_n) = \pi \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $f(x) = \pi$.

(c) Let $h(x) = f(x) - a(x) + \pi$. Since fand a are continuous and constant functions are continuous, using that the sum and product of 0 continuous functions is continuous, we have cts, since product of g and -1 $h(x) = f(x) + (-a(x)) + \sigma$

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x) + (-a(x)) + \pi}{sum of cts is cts}$$

is continuous.

Furthermore, by definition, $h(s)=\pi$ for all $s \in S$. By part Θ , we see $h(x)=\pi$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows $f(x)=a(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, thus f(x)=g(x)for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(3)(a) Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that f is not bounded on S. Then, Z M>O s.t. [f(x)] & M V x es. Hence, V n e/N, J xn e S s.t. |f(xn)|>n.

Since SER is bounded, Xn is bounded. By Bolgeno-Weienstrass, it has a contendent Subsequence Xnx. Since Xnx is Cauchy and f is unif cts, $f(x_{n_k})$ is (auchy, hence bounded. This contradicts the fact that $|f(x_{n_k})| > n_k = k \forall k \in [N]$.

Thus, f must be bounded on S.

 $\bigcirc No.$ Consider $S = (o_1 i)$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$.

(4) Suppose f is cts on (x,x,). Fix a E(x,x,). By cty, for any sequence xn E(x,x,) [22] satisfying $xn \neq a$, we have $f(x_n) \neq f(a)$. Thus, $\lim_{x \neq a} f(x) = f(a)$ Now suppose lim f(x)=f(a) for all a e(x,x). Fix $a \in (x_0, x_i)$ and $x_n \in (x_0, x_i)$ satisfying $x_n \ge a$. Every subsequence x_{n_k} has a further subsequence $x_{n_{k,e}}$ satisfying either $case 1: \chi_{n_{ke}} = a$ $case 2: \chi_{n_{ke}} \neq a$ Y LEN V LEN In the first case, $\lim_{e \to \infty} f(x_{n_{k_e}}) = a$. In the second case, our hypothesis () ensures $\lim_{e \to \infty} f(x_{n_{k_e}}) = a$.

Thus, every subsequence of f(xn) has a further subsequence. That converges to fal. This shows f(xn) Converges to f(a). Thus f is cts at a. Since $a \in (x_0, x_1)$ was arbitrary, f is cts on (x_0, x_1) .

5) Fix E>0 We must show 3 \$70 and htyles ensures s.t. x,y ES 1762-FGY1 < E.

Since fn->f uniformly on S, JN s.t. n=Nensures Ifn(1x)-f(x)<%, VxeS Choose NIE/N s.t. NI>N. Since FNI is unificts on (a,b), I S>O s.t. $x_{y} \in \mathcal{O}$ and $fx - y < \delta$ ensured $|f_{N_{1}}(x) - f_{N_{1}}(y)| < \varepsilon$.

Thus, x, yES and hx-yl<s ensures
$$\begin{split} |f(x)-f(y)| &\leq |f(x)-f_{N_{1}}(\omega)| + |f_{N_{1}}(\omega)-f_{N_{1}}(y)| \\ &\quad + |f_{N_{1}}(y)-f(y)| \\ &\quad < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &\quad = \varepsilon \,. \end{split}$$
This gives the result.

Solutions to Practice Final Exam (i) Consider $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 20 & \text{if } x \ge 0 \end{cases}$

If $a \ge 0$, for any $x_n \in (a, +\infty)$ Satisfying $x_n \ge a$, $f(x_n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $f(x_n) \ge 0$. Thus $\lim_{x \ge a^+} f(x) = f(a)$.

If a < 0, for any $x n \in (a, +\infty)$ satisfying x n > a for n sufficiently large, $x n < a + \frac{|a|}{2} = \frac{a}{2} < 0$.

We have thus shown f is right cts. To see that f is not continuous, note that $-\frac{1}{n} \neq 0$, but $f(-\frac{1}{n}) = 1$ does not converge to f(c) = 0.

(b) Note that $a \le b \le f_n(a) \le f_n(b)$ thus $a \le b \le f(a) \le f(b)$, so f is also increasing. Fix a ER. We must show that for the formation of the sufficiency of the show formation of the formation of the sufficiency of the show formation of the show form First, we will prove the result under the additional hypothesis that χ_n is decreasing. Fix E > 0. $|f(x_n) - f(\omega)| = f(x_n) - f(\alpha)$ since findesing = $f_N(x_n) - f(\alpha)$ for any NeNC since Au $f_{N}(x_{n}) - f_{N}(a) + f_{N}(a) - f_{n}(a)$ Choose N sufficiently large so that frain - flai < \$2. Choose No s.t. n>No ensures frain - frais

then Y n=No, $|f(x_{n})-f(\alpha)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$ 2) Since a = xn = b & n eIN, x e [a, b]. Fix $E^{>0}$ arbitrary. Choose No s.t. $n^>N_0$ ensured $|f_n(y) - f(y)| < \frac{E}{2}$ $\forall y \in [a,b]$. Since the uniform limit of cts ind is cts, f is cts on $([a,b], s_0 \exists N, s.t.]$ $n^>N$, ensured $|f(x_n) - f(s_0)| < \frac{E}{2}$. Then n>max ENo, N.3 ensures $\begin{aligned} |f_n(x_n) - f(x)| &\leq |f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| \\ &+ |f(x_n) - f(x)| \\ &< \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$

(3) (1) False - suppose xn = yn = (1, 1, 1, ...). Then lim xn = lim yn = 1, so limsup xn = lim yn, but xn = yn for allnelN.
(1) True. Assume, for the sake of contradiction that there exist infinitely many n so that xn = yn. Then, for any N ∈/N, there exists k>N so that x = yk. Thub, ∀ N ∈/N, SUP {xn : n>N} = xk = yk = inf {ynin>N}. Since the limits of an and by exist, this shows limsup xn = lim and = liming yn. This is a contradiction.

Consider the sequence $\frac{1}{n} > 0$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_a(f_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n = +\infty$ $\neq a = q_a(o)$. This shows $q_a(x)$ is not continuous at x = 0, hence not continuous. (b) WLOG, suppose a≤b. Since f(a)f(b) < 0, f(a) and f(b) must be of opposite sign. Thus, by the intermediate value theorem, $U \exists x \in [a,b]$ s.t. f(x) = 0.