Midtern 2 Solutions © Katy Craig, CS117, S25 (I) By the main subsequences theorem, it
suffices to show that, ∀ €>0,
[{n: |sn-s| < €}] = +∞.
</p> Assume, for the sake of contradiction. that I 2>0 s.t. IEn: ISn-SI<ESI<+00. Then Ne:=max{n: |sn-s|< 25 exists.

for  $n \ge N\varepsilon$ ,  $sn \le s - \varepsilon$ . M1 On the other hand, for  $n \le N\varepsilon$ ,  $sn \le \max \ge S_k : k \le N\varepsilon \le < S$ . M2

Since |Sn-5|< € ⇐> S-E < Sn < S+ E

and we have sn<st E UneN,

Thus, M:=max 2M1, M23 is an upper bound for 2sn: nE/N3 that is strictly less than s. This is a contradiction, O Since s is the least upper bound.

(b) Consider  $sn = \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $s = 1 = s_1$ , but since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} sn = 0$ , all subsequences of Sn converge to zoro 20 First, note that, for any  $n^{N}$ , Sn+tn = sup $2sn: n^{N}$  + sup  $2tn: n^{N}$ Hence, the RHS is an upper bound tor Esnttn: n>NJ, so <u>q</u>N supisatin n>N}  $\leq$  sup $2sn: n > N_3 + sup 2tn: n > N_3$ 

Since by and cy are convergent, the limit of the RHS is lim but lim EN = limsup sn + limsup tu. Since an is convergent, the limit of the LHS is limsupshit the This gives the result.

(b)  $sn = (-1)^n$ ,  $tn = (-1)^{n+1}$ 

limsup Snttn= 0 < 2 = limsup sntlimsuptn

(3) @ Since sn=0 UneIN, s=0.

First, suppose s>0. Then there exists No s.t. n'>No ensures sn> 5/2 (=> Non > 1/2 Fix E>O. Note that, for n>No, JSn - JSI < E <=> <u>JJSn - JS /</u> (JSn + JS) < E Sn+JS  $\langle = \rangle |s_n - s| < (\Lambda s_n + \Lambda s) \mathcal{E}$ <= Isn-sl < (1=+15)E

Since Sn⇒s, ∃ Ni s.t. n>Ni ensures Isn-sI < (J= + ds) E. Thus n>max ENO, Nij ensures [JSn-Js] < E.

Next, Suppose S=0. Fix E>0. Note that Isn < E <> sn < E?. <> Isn-s/<ε? Since sn >s, JNs.t. n > Nensures Isn-s < 2=> //sn-/s < 2.

This shows Isn > Is.

(b) Fix xo e [0,10). Consider a segmence xn of nonnegative numbers xrs.t.  $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi_n$  By UQ,  $f(\chi_n) \rightarrow f(\chi_n)$ . Thus f is Condinuous at x. Since xo Eloptal was arbitrary, f is continuous on Coptas).

C Define  $Q(x) = \frac{x^{100}}{\pi 1 - x}$ . Note that  $Q(x) = \frac{g^{(x)}}{f(h(x))}$ . Since h is cts on [0,1] and f is cts on h([0,1])=[0,1], foh is cts on [0,1]. Furthermore, since for is nonzero on [0,1), and q is cts on [0,1), P is cts on [0,1).

Note that Q(c)=0. Since q(x) is continuous and q(1) D I,  $\exists S_{70} s.t. x \in (I-S_{1}) ensures <math>q(x)^{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ Since f(h(x)) is continuous on [0,1] and f(h(1)) = 0,  $\exists \delta > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \chi \in (1-\delta_{1}, 1) \text{ ensures}$   $f(h(\chi)) = \frac{1}{4}$ . Taking  $\delta = \min(\delta_{0}, \delta_{1})$ ,  $\chi \in (1-\delta_{1}, 1) \text{ ensures} \quad P(\chi) = \frac{q(\omega)}{f(h(\chi))} > \frac{1}{2} = 2$ . Thus, by the interned just value theorem, for all  $C \in [0,2]$   $\exists y \in [0,\infty] \text{ s.t. } P(y) = C$ . In the tase C=2, we see y=0is impossible (Q(0)=0), so y E(0, x) = (0, 1).

El@First, note-that, Une/N. lanti-anl = xn laz-ail Thus, by the triangle inequality,  $\forall m \equiv n$ ,  $|a_m - a_n| = |a_m - a_{m-1} + a_{m-1} - ... + a_{n+1} - a_n|$   $\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k+1} - a_k|$ Since  $a \in [0,1)$ , the series  $\tilde{Z}_{a}^{k}$ is convergent. Thus, by the Cauchy criterion,  $\forall E^{>0}$ ,  $\exists \cup M s.t. m \geq n \geq M$  ensures ∑ d<sup>k-1</sup> |a2-a1 | < €. This gives the rebuilt

(b) Since an is Cauchy, it converges to some a ER. We also have anti convergestoa. Since f is cfs, anti=f(an) ensures  $a = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(a_n) = f(a).$ 

Suppose there exists  $b\neq a$  so that f(b)=b. Then  $|f(a) - f(b)| \leq x |a - b| < |a - b|$ 1( la-61

This is a contradiction. Thus, the fixed point 15 unique.