

Midterm 1 Solutions

© Katy Craig, 2025

① Since $L < 1$, if we define $a = \frac{L+1}{2}$, then $0 \leq L < a < 1$. Let $\varepsilon = a - L$. Then $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall n > N$, $|\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} - L| < \varepsilon$
 $\Rightarrow |\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}| < L + \varepsilon = a \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{|s_{n+1}| < a |s_n|}_{*}$

We now prove that $|s_n| \leq a^{n-N-1} |s_{N+1}|$ for all $n > N$ using induction. For the base case of $n = N+1$, note that $|s_{N+1}| = a^0 |s_{N+1}| = a^{n-N-1} |s_{N+1}|$.

For the inductive step, assume $|s_n| \leq a^{n-N-1} |s_{N+1}|$. By (*) above, this implies $|s_{n+1}| \leq a |s_n| \leq a^{n+1-N-1}$, which completes the proof of the inductive step.

Finally, we use that $|s_n| \leq a^{n-N-1} |s_{N+1}|$ to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$. Since $a < 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^n = 0$. Since the limit of the product is the product of the limit, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^n a^{-N-1} |s_{N+1}| = 0 \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, n > N$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose \tilde{N} s.t. $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures $|a^{n-N-1}| |s_{N+1}| < \varepsilon$. Then $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures $|s_n| < \varepsilon$. This shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

② If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n > 0$, either a_n diverges to $+\infty$ or converges to some $a > 0$. In the former case, $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $a_n > 1$. In the latter case, $\exists \tilde{N}$ s.t. $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures $|a_n - a| < \frac{a}{2} \Rightarrow a_n > a - \frac{a}{2} = \frac{a}{2} > 0$. In both cases, we see $\exists b > 0$ s.t. $a_n \geq b$ for all but finitely many n .

Applying this to $a_n = s_n t_n$ and $a_n = t_n$, $\exists b_1, b_2 > 0$ so that $t_n \geq b_1, s_n t_n \geq b_2$ for all but finitely many n .

Thus, there exists N_1, N_2 so that $n > N_1$ ensures $t_n \geq b_1 \geq 0$ and $n > N_2$ ensures $t_n s_n \geq b_2 \geq 0$.

Thus $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ ensures $s_n \geq 0$. This shows $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n \leq 0\}$ has at most $\max\{N_1, N_2\}$ elements.

③ See HW2, Q9

④ This was a problem on our Ph.D. students' qualifying exam.

① Suppose s_n converges to s . Then s_n is bounded so $\exists M$ s.t. $|s_n - s| \leq M \forall n$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $|s_n - s| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Thus, if $n > (N+1)^2$, $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor > N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n - s| &= \left| \frac{s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n}{n} - s \right| = \left| \frac{(s_1 - s)}{n} + \dots + \frac{(s_n - s)}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{s_1 - s}{n} \right| + \dots + \left| \frac{s_n - s}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{n} \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + \left| \frac{s_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} - s}{n} \right| + \left| \frac{s_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1} - s}{n} \right| + \dots + \left| \frac{s_n - s}{n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{2n} \\ &= \frac{M}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, choosing $\tilde{N} = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{M}{\varepsilon} \right)^2, (N+1)^2 \right\}$, we have that $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures $|\sigma_n - s| < \varepsilon$. This shows $\sigma_n \rightarrow s$.

② Let $s_n = (-1)^n$. Then $\sigma_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ -\frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$ Hence σ_n converges but s_n doesn't.

(c) Following the hint, we see

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k (s_{k+1} - s_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} [(s_2 - s_1) + 2(s_3 - s_2) + \dots + n(s_{n+1} - s_n)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} [-s_1 - s_2 - s_3 - \dots - s_n + \overbrace{n s_{n+1}}^{-s_{n+1} + (n+1)s_{n+1}}]$$

$$= s_{n+1} - \frac{s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_n + s_{n+1}}{n+1}$$

which gives the result.

Since $k a_k \rightarrow 0$, part (a) ensured $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \rightarrow 0$, so $(\frac{n}{n+1}) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k \rightarrow 0$.

Since s_{n+1} converges, this implies s_n converges to the same limit.

Q3) (a) Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = s$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N_a and N_b so that $n > N_a$ ensures $|a_n - s| < \varepsilon$ and $n > N_b$ ensures $|b_n - s| < \varepsilon$. Recall that $|a_n - s| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow s - \varepsilon < a_n < s + \varepsilon$ and $|b_n - s| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow s - \varepsilon < b_n < s + \varepsilon$. Define $N = \max\{N_a, N_b\}$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $n > N$ ensures $s - \varepsilon < a_n$ and $b_n < s + \varepsilon$. Since $a_n \leq s_n \leq b_n$, this shows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $n > N$ ensures $s - \varepsilon < s_n < s + \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow |s_n - s| < \varepsilon$, i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$.

(b) Apply the squeeze lemma, since

$$-t_n \leq s_n \leq t_n$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -t_n = (-1)(0) = 0$.

(c) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$, it is not necessarily true that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$. For example, if $s_n = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_n = 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $|s_n| \leq t_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1 \neq 0$.

4

(a) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that, by the reverse triangle inequality,

$$||t| - |t_n|| \leq |t - t_n|.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $|t - t_n| < \varepsilon$, hence $||t| - |t_n|| < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\lim |t_n| = |t|$.

(b) The converse is not true.

Let $t_n = (-1)^n$. Then $|t_n| = 1$ is a convergent sequence, but t_n is not a convergent sequence.

(5) (a) First, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$ for $s < a$. If we define $\varepsilon = a - s$, then $\varepsilon > 0$.

By definition of convergence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow s - \varepsilon < s_n < s + \varepsilon$

Thus $n > N$ ensured $s_n < s + \varepsilon = a$.
Hence $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n \geq a\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.
Thus, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n \geq a\}$ is a finite set.

Next, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = -\infty$. Let
 $m = \min\{-1, a\}$. Then $\exists N$ s.t.
 $s_n < m \leq a$ for all $n > N$.
Thus, $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n \geq a\}$ is a finite set.

(b) First, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t > 0$. Then
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n > \frac{t}{2}$. Applying part (a) with
 $s_n = -t_n$ and $a = -\frac{t}{2}$, we obtain that
 $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_n \geq a\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : -t_n \geq -\frac{t}{2}\}$
 $= \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n \leq \frac{t}{2}\} \supseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n < \frac{t}{2}\}$
are all finite sets. This shows the
result for $b = \frac{t}{2}$.

Now, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = +\infty$. Then \exists
 N s.t. $\forall n > N, t_n > 1$. Thus,
 $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n \leq 1\} \supseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : t_n < 1\}$ is
finite. This shows the result for
 $b = 1$.

(6) Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$

(a) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since s_n converges to s , there exists N s.t. $n > N$ ensures

$$|s_n - s| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\tilde{N} = \max\{N, m\}$. Then $n > \tilde{N}$ ensures

$$|t_n - s| = |s_n - s| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$.

(b) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since s_n converges to s , there exists N s.t. $n > N$ ensures

$$|s_n - s| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $n > N$ implies $n+m > N$, we have

$$|t_n - s| = |s_{n+m} - s| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$.

⑧ By the inequality,

$$|t_n^p - t^p| \leq p \max\{(t_n)^{p-1}, (t)^{p-1}\} |t_n - t|$$

Since t_n is a convergent sequence, it is bounded and $\exists M > 0$ s.t.

$|t_n| < M$ for all n . By Question 5, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |t_n| = |t|$. Let $\tilde{M} = \max(M, |t|)$.

Then the above inequality ensures.

$$(*) |t_n^p - t^p| \leq p \tilde{M}^{p-1} |t_n - t|.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since t_n converges to t , $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $|t_n - t| < \frac{\varepsilon}{p \tilde{M}^{p-1}}$.

Then, by (*), $n > N$ ensures $|t_n^p - t^p| < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows $\lim t_n^p = t^p$.

⑨ The correct definition is (d).

(a) Consider $s_n = (1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$, $s = 0$.

Then for $\varepsilon = 2$ and all $N \in \mathbb{R}$, $n > N$ ensures $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon$.

(b) Consider $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $s = 0$. For $\varepsilon = 0$ there is no $N \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $n > N$ ensures $|s_n - 0| < \varepsilon$.

(c) Consider $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $s = 0$. For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon$ is not true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(10) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = -\infty$, the result is immediate. Thus, it remains to consider the remaining cases.

Case 1: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = r \in \mathbb{R}$

Case 1a: If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = +\infty$, we are done.

Case 1b: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that $t < r$. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{r-t}{2} > 0$.

Then $\exists N_r, N_t$ s.t. $n > N_r$ ensures $|r_n - r| < \varepsilon$ and $n > N_t$ ensures $|t_n - t| < \varepsilon$.

Let $N = \max\{N_r, N_t\}$. Then $n > N$ ensures

$$t_n < t + \varepsilon = t + \frac{r-t}{2} = \frac{t+r}{2} = r - \frac{r-t}{2} = r - \varepsilon < r_n.$$

This contradicts that $r_n \leq t_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t \geq r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n$.

Case 1c: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = -\infty$. Then $\exists N_t$ s.t.

$\forall n > N_t$, $t_n < r - 1$. There also exists

N_r s.t. $\forall n > N_r$, $r - 1 < r_n$. Thus for

$N = \max\{N_t, N_r\}$, $n > N$ ensures

$$t_n < r-1 < r_n.$$

Again, this contradicts that $r_n \leq t_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = -\infty$ is impossible.

Case 2: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = +\infty$. Fix $m > 0$. Then $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N$, $m < r_n \leq t_n$. This shows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = +\infty$.

⑪ Suppose s_n is increasing. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will prove

$$m \geq n \Rightarrow s_m \geq s_n$$

by induction.

Base case: $m = n$. By definition $s_m = s_n$.

Inductive step: Suppose $m \geq n$ and $s_m \geq s_n$. Since it is an increasing sequence, $s_{m+1} \geq s_m \geq s_n$. This shows the inductive step.

Now, suppose $m \geq n \Rightarrow s_m \geq s_n \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $m = n+1$. Then $s_{n+1} \geq s_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows s_n is increasing.

(12)(a) Assume for the sake of contradiction that s_n converges to some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\exists N$ s.t. $\forall n > N$, $s-1 < s_n < s+1$. Thus, it is impossible for s_n to diverge to $+\infty$

Since, for $m = |s+1|$, there is no N_m s.t. $s_n > m = |s+1| \geq s+1 \quad \forall n > N_m$.

Likewise, it is impossible for s_n to diverge to $-\infty$ since for $m = -|s-1|$, there is no \tilde{N}_m s.t. $s_n < m = -|s-1| \leq s-1 \quad \forall n > \tilde{N}_m$.

(b) Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = +\infty$. Fix $m < 0$. Then $-m > 0$, so $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $s_n > -m \Rightarrow m > -s_n$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n = -\infty$.

Now, suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -s_n = -\infty$. Fix $M > 0$. Then $-M < 0$, so $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$ ensures $-s_n < -M \Rightarrow s_n > M$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = +\infty$.

Since $t_n = (k, k, k, \dots)$ is a sequence that converges to k and s_n is a convergent sequence, by the theorem that the limit of the product is the product of the limits,

Case 2: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_n = \pm\infty$ and $k = 0$

Case 3a: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} = +\infty$ and $k > 0$

Case 3b: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} = +\infty$ and $k < 0$

Case 4a: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_n = -\infty$ and $k > 0$

Case 4b: $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s_n = -\infty$ and $k < 0$

Then $-(ks_n) = (-k)s_n$. By Case 4a, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (-k)s_n = -\infty$. By Q12(b), this implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} ks_n = +\infty$.