

Lecture 8

CS 117, S26 © Katy Craig, 2026

Homework 4 due Thursday, April 30th at 11:59pm

Thm: Given a sequence s_n ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \text{ exists} \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$$

Furthermore, if either of these equivalent conditions holds,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n.$$

Def: A ^(real valued) sequence s_n is Cauchy if, $\forall \epsilon > 0$,
 $\exists N$ s.t. $n, m > N$ ensures

$$|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon.$$

Thm: All convergent sequences are Cauchy.

Prop: All Cauchy sequences are bounded.

Thm: All Cauchy sequences converge.

Recall

(a) $s_n \leq t_n$ for all but finitely many n and limits exist, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$

(b) $a \leq b + \epsilon \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow a \leq b$.

Pf: Let s_n be a Cauchy sequence.

We will show

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\sup \{s_n : n > N\}}_{a_N} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\inf \{s_n : n > N\}}_{b_N} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (*)$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since s_n is Cauchy, $\exists N$ s.t. $n, m > N$ ensure

$$|s_n - s_m| < \varepsilon$$



$$s_m - \varepsilon < s_n < s_m + \varepsilon$$

This implies $s_m + \varepsilon$ is an upper bound of $\{s_n : n > N\}$, so

$$a_N \leq s_m + \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow a_N - \varepsilon \leq s_m$$

Thus $a_N - \varepsilon \leq b_N$.

By monotonicity, $\forall k > N$,

$$a_k - \varepsilon \leq b_k.$$

By (a),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n - \varepsilon \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, by (b),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n.$$

Since Cauchy sequences are bounded and $\liminf S_n = \limsup S_n$ always holds, we get (*).

Types of Sequences

	MONOTONE	NOT MONOTONE	
BOUNDED	CONVERGENT $\sum 1/n$	$\sum (-1)^n/n$	$(-1)^n$
UNBOUNDED	DIVERGES $\sum n$	TO $\pm \infty$ $(1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$	$\sum n(-1)^n$

the limit exists

Final topic in sequences:
bounded sequences

Our most important theorem will rely on notion of subsequence.

Recall:

Def: A sequence is a function whose domain is a set of the form $\{m, m+1, m+2, \dots\}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We write s_n instead of $s(n)$ to emphasize it is a special type of function.

Def: Given a sequence, s_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers n_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequence of the form $s_{n_k} = s(n_k)$ is a subsequence of s_n .

Ex: $s_n = (-1, 2, -3, 4, -5, \dots)$
 $n_k = (1, 3, 5, 7, \dots)$
 $s_{n_k} = (-1, -3, -5, -7, \dots)$

$n_k < n_{k+1}$

Informally, a subsequence s_{n_k} of s_n is any infinite collection of elements from s_n listed in order.

Limits of Subsequences

Lemma: For any strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers n_k , we have $n_k \geq k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Pf: by induction $\ddot{\smile}$

Def: A subsequential limit of a sequence s_n is a real number or $\pm\infty$ that is the limit of some subsequence of s_n .

Ex: $s_n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, 3, \frac{1}{4}, 5, \frac{1}{8}, \dots)$
0 and $+\infty$ are subsequential limits. In fact, they are the only subsequential limits.

Thm: If a sequence s_n converges to $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then every subsequence also converges to s .

Pf: Assume s_n converges to s .
Consider a subsequence s_{n_k} .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. $\exists N$ s.t. $n > N$
ensures

$$|s_n - s| < \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma, $k > N$ ensures
 $n_k > N$, so

$$|s_{n_k} - s| < \varepsilon.$$

□

Thm (main subsequencethm):
Let s_n be a sequence.

(a) For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$
 t is a subsequential limit of s_n
 \iff
 $\forall \epsilon > 0,$

$|\{n \mid s_n - t < \epsilon\}| = +\infty$
(b) $+\infty$ is a subsequential limit
 \iff
 s_n is unbounded above

(c) $-\infty$ is a subsequential limit
 \iff
 s_n is unbounded below.

Pl:

First, we show (a). Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assume $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$|\{n: |s_n - t| < \varepsilon\}| = +\infty$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\{n: t - \varepsilon < s_n < t + \varepsilon\}| = +\infty$$

Strategy: show there exists s_{n_k} of s_n satisfying

$$(*) \quad t - \frac{1}{k} \leq s_{n_k} \leq t + \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

By Squeeze Lemma, this will ensure $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{n_k} = t$.

We will construct the sequence inductively.

Let $k=1$. Taking $\varepsilon=1$,

$|\{n: |s_n - t| < 1\}| = +\infty$,
so $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$t-1 < s_{n_1} < t+1.$$

Suppose we have defined
 $s_{n_1}, s_{n_2}, \dots, s_{n_k}$ s.t.

$$s_{n_\ell} \in \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad n_\ell < n_{\ell+1} \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k+1}$

$$|\{n: |s_n - t| < \frac{1}{k+1}\}| = +\infty$$

Choose n in this set satisfying
 $n > n_k$. Let $n_{k+1} := n$.

This constructs a subsequence
of s_n that satisfies ~~(*)~~,
so t is a subsequential
limit.