WORKSHEET 2

Date: 10/03/2024 Name:

Implication Statements and Negations

Math 8 - Section 1.2 - 1.3 Worksheet

Key Terms: Tautology, Contradiction, Conditional sentence, antecedent, consequent, Converse, Contrapositive, Biconditional sentence, Open sentence/Predicate, Truth set, Universe of discourse, equivalent, Existential quantifier, Universal quantifier, Unique existential quantifier.

Lets look at the following question: What numbers satisfy the following equation?

 $z^3 + 1 = 0$

As you would have guessed this is a vague question and is not a great "mathematical question". In other words the statement $p(z) := "z^3 + 1 = 0"$ is not a propositional statement. Is there a way to make this into a propositional statement?

So how do we remedy the remark above? Well first, we should pick our solutions of this equation to come from a particular source. In the language of the book we call it a "Universe of discourse". But we still have an issue. An idea what it is? Hint: we want a proposition to be either true or false.

Lets see if we can answer the previous question with some examples. Let p(z) be the open sentence above with our universe of discourse equal to the natural numbers, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4...\}$.

- 1. There exists a natural number that satisfies p(z).
- 2. For every natural number p(z) is true.

Let p(z) be the open sentence above with our universe of discourse equal to the natural numbers, $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\dots\}.$

- 1. There exists an integer *z* such that p(z) is true.
- 2. For every integer z, p(z) true.
- 3. There exist two integers which make p(z) true.

What do all these questions have in common? How can we make an open sentence into a proposition (in most cases)?

Negation

- We write \exists to mean "there exists". Example: $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z} \ni x + 1 = 0$ reads "there exists x in the integers such that x + 1 = 0.
- We write ∀ to mean "for all". Example: ∀*x* ∈ ℤ, −*x* ∈ ℤ reads " for all *x* in the integers, −*x* is in the integers".
- Whats the negation of the statements above?
- Negation of \leq is >
- 1. Negate the following statements:

(a) $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x + y \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \neq n^2 + 2$

(c) Let A and B be non empty sets. What is $\sim (A \subseteq B)$. Recall the definition of a subset:

 $\forall x \in A, x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B.$

Practice Problems

- 1. What can be said about the truth value of Q when
 - (a) *P* is false and $P \Rightarrow Q$ is true?
 - (b) *P* is false and $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ is true?
- 2. Give, if possible, an example of a true conditional sentence for which
 - (a) the converse is false.
 - (b) the contrapositive is true.
- 3. Which of the following conditional sentences are true? Hint: put the statements in conditional form i.e. $P \Rightarrow Q$. Also, label what the statements *P* and *Q* are.
 - (a) If Euclid's birthday was April 2, then rectangles have four sides.

(b) 5 is prime if $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational.

(c) 1+1=2 is sufficient for 3 > 6.

4. Complete the truth table for the propositional form:

P	Q	R	$P \wedge Q$	$Q \wedge R$	$(P \land Q) \lor (Q \land R)$	$P \lor R$	$(P \land Q) \lor (Q \land R) \Rightarrow (P \lor R)$
T	T	T					
T	Τ	F					
T	F	T					
Τ	F	F					
F	T	T					
F	T	F					
F	F	T					
F	F	F					

5. Determine whether each of the following is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither.

(a) $P \Rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow P \land \sim Q$.

(b) $P \land (Q \lor \sim Q) \Leftrightarrow P$.

6. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If x is even, then x^2 is even. Give the contrapositive, inverse and converse of the statement. State which are true and which are false.