

If A is not diagonalizable, maybe we can write $A = PJP^{-1}$ for an “almost diagonal” matrix J . This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem. Suppose that A is a 2×2 matrix with repeated eigenvalue λ whose eigenspace is only one-dimensional, say $E_\lambda = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1\}$. Let \mathbf{v}_2 be a solution to $(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_1$.

Let $J = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ and $P = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2]$. Then $A = PJP^{-1}$.

Proof:

The proof that P is invertible is left for the reader. Note that

$$A = PJP^{-1} \Leftrightarrow AP = PJ \Leftrightarrow A[\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the columns of P must satisfy $A\mathbf{v}_1 = \lambda\mathbf{v}_1$ and $A\mathbf{v}_2 = \lambda\mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_1$.

Rearranging, this becomes $A\mathbf{v}_1 - \lambda\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $A\mathbf{v}_2 - \lambda\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1$,

or $(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{v}_1$.

The first equation says that \mathbf{v}_1 is an eigenvector for A and the second equation says that \mathbf{v}_2 is a solution to $(A - \lambda I)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v}_1$, as assumed.