

SIMPLICIAL TRIANGULATION OF NONCOMBINATORIAL MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION LESS THAN 9

BY

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the simplicial triangulation of all noncombinatorial manifolds in the dimension range $5 \leq n \leq 7$, for which the integral Bockstein of the combinatorial triangulation obstruction is trivial. A weaker theorem is proven in case $n = 8$.

The appendix contains a proof that a map between PL manifolds which is a TOP fiber bundle can be made a PL fiber bundle.

0. Two of the oldest and most difficult problems arising in manifold theory are the following:

- (i) Is every manifold homeomorphic to a simplicial complex?
- (ii) Is every simplicial triangulation of a manifold combinatorial (i.e. must the link of every simplex be a sphere)?

Among the consequences of the fundamental breakthrough of Kirby-Siebenmann [9] was that at least one of these questions must be answered negatively, for there are topological manifolds without combinatorial (PL) triangulations.

The existence of a counterexample to the second question is equivalent to the following conjecture: *There is some homology m -sphere K , not PL equivalent to S^m such that the p -fold suspension $\Sigma^p K$ is homeomorphic to S^{m+p} .*

Siebenmann shows that if the answer to question (i) is affirmative for manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$, then the following hypothesis is true for $m = n - 3$:

Hypothesis $H(m)$. There is a PL homology 3-sphere K such that $\Sigma^m K \simeq S^m$, and K bounds a PL manifold of index $8 \pmod{16}$ (i.e. the Rochlin invariant of K is nontrivial).

Furthermore, if hypothesis $H(2)$ is true, then all orientable 5-manifolds are simplicially triangulable [15].

The purpose of this paper is to prove

0.1. THEOREM. *Let N^n be a connected closed noncombinatorial manifold of dimension $5 \leq n \leq 8$, and let $k_N \in H^4(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ be the obstruction to the*

Received by the editors February 26, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57C15, 57C25; Secondary 55F10, 55F60.

Key words and phrases. Noncombinatorial triangulation, PL triangulation obstruction, integral Bockstein homomorphism, manifold category (DIFF, TOP, PL).

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society

existence of a PL structure on N . Suppose the integral Bockstein homomorphism

$$\beta: H^4(N; Z_2) \rightarrow H^5(N; Z)$$

maps k_N to zero. Then

- (i) For $5 \leq n \leq 7$ hypothesis $H(n-3)$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a simplicial triangulation of N .
- (ii) For $n = 8$, $k_N \cup k_N = 0$ and hypothesis $H(2)$ together imply that N is simplicially triangulable.

REMARK 1. We do not assume that N is orientable. However, the assumption $\beta(k_N) = 0$ is an orientability assumption of sorts. See §1. If N is simply connected, then $\beta(k_N) = 0$ implies that N has the homotopy type of a PL manifold [4]. A pleasant corollary of the theorem is that for $n = 5$, if $w^1(N) \cdot k(N) = 0$, hypothesis $H(2)$ is sufficient for N to have a triangulation.

ADDED IN PROOF (FEBRUARY 1976). R. Edwards has announced that for $m \geq 4$, $\Sigma^2 K^m \simeq S^{m+2}$. Using this result, Matumoto and Galewski-Stern have announced necessary and sufficient conditions for the triangulation of all n -manifolds, $n \geq 5$.

Operating under the assumptions of Theorem 0.1, the argument proceeds as follows:

§1. It is possible to represent k_N by a codimension 4 smoothable submanifold with smooth orientable normal bundle.

§2. Any such bundle has a simplicial triangulation which restricts to an exotic PL structure on the sphere bundle boundary of the total space. The triangulation is constructed by defining a space X , showing that X is homeomorphic to the bundle space, and simplicially triangulating X in the required manner.

§3. The proof of Theorem 0.1.

I would like to thank the referee for suggestions leading to considerable abbreviation of the original manuscript.

1. Representing triangulation obstructions by submanifolds. The following well-known observation is used throughout the proof.

Suppose a connected closed m -manifold M is imbedded in a closed $m + p$ manifold N with normal p -disk bundle $\bar{\nu}(M)$. Let $\dot{\nu}(M)$ be the $p - 1$ sphere bundle boundary of $\bar{\nu}(M)$, $i: M \rightarrow N$ the inclusion, $e: H^*(N, N - M) \rightarrow H^*(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M))$ the excision isomorphism and $j: (N, \emptyset) \rightarrow (N, N - M)$ the inclusion of pairs. Let $U \in H^p(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M); Z_2)$ be the Thom class of $\bar{\nu}(M)$ and $[M] \in H_m(M; Z_2)$ the fundamental class of M .

1.1. LEMMA. The Poincaré dual of $i_*[M]$ in N is the image of U under the composition

$$H^*(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M); Z_2) \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} H^*(N, N - M; Z_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^*(N; Z_2).$$

NOTATION. For any space X let $r: H^*(X; Z) \rightarrow H^*(X; Z_2)$ be the mod 2 reduction homomorphism. Throughout the paper the notation e and j will always refer to excision homomorphisms and inclusion maps of pairs, respectively.

The following theorem is the central result of this section.

1.2. THEOREM. Let N^n be a connected closed n -manifold, $5 \leq n \leq 8$, and let $k_N \in H^4(N; Z_2)$ be the obstruction to the existence of a PL structure on N . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) There is an imbedding of a connected smooth $n - 4$ manifold $M \xrightarrow{i} N$ such that M has an orthogonal oriented (i.e. $SO(4)$) normal bundle in N with $w^4 = 0$ and the image in $H_{m-4}(N; Z_2)$ of the fundamental Z_2 homology class $[M]$ of M is Poincaré dual to k_N .
- (ii) $\beta(k_N) = 0$. For $n = 8$, $k_N \cup k_N = 0$.

PROOF OF 1.2. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\bar{\nu}(M)$ be the oriented orthogonal normal bundle to M in N with sphere bundle boundary $\dot{\nu}(M)$, and let U be the Thom class in $H^4(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M); Z)$. By Lemma 1.1, $i_*[M]$ is Poincaré dual to $j^*e^{-1}r(U)$ in N . Hence $k_N = j^*e^{-1}r(U)$. Since the Bockstein is natural, $\beta k_N = j^*e^{-1}\beta r(U) = 0$.

It remains to show that for $n = 8$, $k_N \cup k_N = 0$. Note first that if $\nu(M)$ is the total space of the normal bundle, $\nu(M)$ is smooth. Hence k_N pulled back to $\nu(M)$ is 0, or $i^*(k_N) = 0$. Let x be the Poincaré dual of k_N . Since $n = 8$, $k_N \cup k_N = 0$ if and only if $k_N \cap x = 0$. But $k_N \cap x = k_N \cap i_*[M] = i_*(i^*k_N \cap [M]) = 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since $\beta(k_N) = 0$ there is an α in $H^4(N; Z)$ such that $r(\alpha) = k_N$. Since $n \leq 8$ it follows from [18] that there is a map $N \rightarrow MSO(4)$ which pulls back the Thom class of $MSO(4)$ to α .

For $n < 8$ f can be made TOP transverse to $BSO(4)$ in $MSO(4)$ [8] so that $f^{-1}(BSO(4)) = M$ is an m -manifold with normal $SO(4)$ bundle in N . M is smoothable and w^4 of the normal bundle is trivial since $m \leq 3$, and by standard arguments $i_*[M]$ is Poincaré dual to k_N .

In [13] a codimension 4 TOP transversality theory is developed which here implies that when $n = 8$ we may take $f^{-1}(BSO(4)) = M$ to be a homology manifold equipped with an open neighborhood W such that the inclusion $W - M \rightarrow W$ has the homotopy type of a 3-spherical fibration over M and the Thom class U in $H^4(W, W - M)$ satisfies $k_N = j^*r(U)$. Since $k_N \cup k_N = 0$ and j^* is an isomorphism in dimension 8 it follows easily that $k_N|_W = 0$ and so W is smoothable. Hence by smooth transversality, M can be a smooth manifold, W an $SO(4)$ normal bundle and again $i_*[M]$ is dual to k_N .

To show $w^4 = 0$ it suffices to show $r(U) \cup r(U) = 0$ [10]. Since j^*e^{-1} is an isomorphism in dimension 8, this follows immediately from $k_N \cup k_N = 0$.

It is well known that in all dimensions M may be assumed connected, and the proof is complete.

2. **Simplicial triangulation of oriented 4-disk bundles.** Once the triangulation obstruction is represented by a smooth manifold M with normal bundle ξ , we hope to simplicially triangulate near M in such a way that the simplicial triangulation extends to a PL triangulation away from M .

In case N is non-PL and $n = 8$, there is hope for this procedure only if $w^4(\xi) = 0$. For consider the following portion of the Thom-Gysin cohomology sequence for $\bar{\xi}$ (Z_2 coefficients)

$$0 \rightarrow H^3(M) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^3(\dot{\xi}) \rightarrow H^0(M) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^4(M) \rightarrow H^4(\dot{\xi}).$$

The image of the fundamental class in $H^0(M)$ under ψ is $w^4(\bar{\xi})$ [10, Theorem 12].

If $w^4(\bar{\xi}) \neq 0$, then p^* is an isomorphism and, since p^* is induced by the inclusion $H^3(M) \simeq H^3(\bar{\xi}) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^3(\dot{\xi})$, i^* is an isomorphism. The classification of PL structures is natural with respect to codimension 0 inclusions [9] so i must induce an isomorphism between PL structures on $\bar{\xi}$ and on a collar neighborhood of $\dot{\xi}$. By the product structure theorem [6] i then induces an isomorphism between PL structures on $\dot{\xi}$ and on $\bar{\xi}$. That is, any PL structure on $\dot{\xi}$ is the restriction of some PL structure on $\bar{\xi}$.

However, if $w^4(\xi) = 0$ then the cokernel of i^* is Z_2 , and there are $\text{order}(H^3(M; Z_2))$ isotopy classes of PL structures on ξ which do not extend to $\bar{\xi}$.

2.1. **THEOREM.** *Let $\bar{\xi}$ be an oriented smooth closed 4-disk bundle over a connected closed smooth manifold M^m of dimension $m = 2, 3, 4$, and let $\dot{\xi}$ be the sphere bundle boundary of $\bar{\xi}$. Assume $w^4(\bar{\xi}) = 0$ and there is a homology 3-sphere satisfying $H(m + 1)$ for $m = 2, 3$ or $H(2)$ for $m = 4$. Then at least one of the order $H^3(M; Z_2)$ PL structures on $\dot{\xi}$ which do not extend to PL triangulations of $\bar{\xi}$ does extend to a simplicial triangulation.*

REMARKS. At the end of this section we consider how many of these PL structures on $\dot{\xi}$ extend simplicially.

It is well to recall in the following that hypothesis $H(m)$ for a homology sphere K implies that $\text{cone}(K) \times R^{m-1}$ is a manifold [3].

A. *Proof of 2.1. Preliminary remarks and notation.* The primary obstruction to trivializing $\bar{\xi}$ lies in $H^2(M; \pi_1(SO(4)))$. There is an $m - 2$ manifold J smoothly imbedded in M such that the inclusion of its fundamental Z_2 homology class $[J]$ represents the Poincaré dual to this obstruction [18]. If the obstruction is trivial, let J be a trivially imbedded $(m - 2)$ -sphere for $m = 3, 4$ and a point for $m = 2$. By a well-known argument, we may assume J is connected for $m = 3, 4$. Since, for $m = 2$, $H^2(M - (\text{point})) = 0$, we may assume J is connected in this dimension also.

NOTATION (SEE FIGURE 1).

$$\xi \equiv \bar{\xi} - \dot{\xi}.$$

$K \equiv$ homology sphere satisfying $H(i)$ for relevant i .

$cK \equiv$ cone on K with vertex $*$.

$\dot{c}K \equiv cK - K \equiv$ open cone on K .

$iv(J) \equiv$ normal open tubular neighborhood of J in M of radius $i = 1, 2$ for some fixed Riemannian metric on M .

$i\bar{\nu}(J) \equiv$ closure of $iv(J)$ in M .

$\dot{i}\nu(J) \equiv i\bar{\nu}(J) - iv(J)$.

$i\bar{D} \equiv$ For some Riemannian metric on $K \times (0, 1)$, $i\bar{D}$ is a closed 4-disk PL imbedded in $K \times (0, 1) \subset \dot{c}K$ of radius $i = 1, 2$.

$p \equiv$ center of \bar{D} .

$iD \equiv$ interior of $i\bar{D}$.

$i\dot{D} \equiv i\bar{D} - iD$.

$i\eta \equiv (M - \nu(J)) \times iD \subset (M - \nu(J)) \times cK$, a trivial normal bundle to the imbedding $(M - \nu(J)) \times \{p\} \rightarrow (M - \nu(J)) \times cK$.

$i\bar{\eta} \equiv (M - \nu(J)) \times i\bar{D}$, the closure of $i\eta$.

$i\dot{\eta} \equiv (M - \nu(J)) \times i\dot{D} \equiv i\bar{\eta} - i\eta$.

$[M] \equiv$ fundamental homology class of $H^m(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.




FIGURE 1

B. Construction of spaces X and X' . By 1.1 the first obstruction to trivializing ξ is in

$$\text{image}(H^2(M, M - J; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \text{kernel}(H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^2(M - J)),$$

so the first obstruction to trivializing ξ over $M - \nu(J)$ vanishes. The higher obstructions lie in $H^3(M - \nu(J); \pi_2(SO(4)))$ and $H^4(M - \nu(J); \pi_3(SO(4)))$. The

first group vanishes because $\pi_2(SO(4)) = 0$ and the second because $H^4(M - \nu(J)) = 0$. Hence $\xi|(M - \nu(J))$ is trivial.

$\bar{\xi}$ is obtained from $\bar{\xi}|(M - \nu(J))$ by adjoining $\bar{\xi}|\bar{\nu}(J)$ along $\bar{\xi}|\dot{\nu}(J)$ by a bundle equivalence. Since $\bar{\xi}|(M - \nu(J))$ and $2\bar{\eta}$ are bundle equivalent (they are both trivial bundles over $M - \nu(J)$) we may attach a bundle, equivalent to $\bar{\xi}|\bar{\nu}(J)$, to $2\bar{\eta}$ along $2\bar{\eta}|\dot{\nu}(J)$ by a bundle equivalence $\bar{\xi}|\dot{\nu}(J) \rightarrow 2\bar{\eta}|\dot{\nu}(J)$ and thereby extend $2\bar{\eta}$ to a disk bundle over M equivalent to $\bar{\xi}$. Denote this bundle $2\bar{\xi}'$ and denote by X the space obtained from $(M - \nu(J)) \times cK$ after this attachment. (Recall that $2\bar{\eta} \subset (M - \nu(J)) \times cK$.) The extension of $2\bar{\eta}$ to the bundle $2\bar{\xi}'$ includes in its interior an extension of $\bar{\eta} \subset 2\bar{\eta}$ to a disk bundle over M equivalent to $\bar{\xi}$. We denote this bundle $\bar{\xi}' \subset 2\bar{\xi}' \subset X$ (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2

Away from $(M - \nu(J)) \times * \subset (M - \nu(J)) \times cK$, X is always a manifold, and points in $(M - \bar{\nu}(J)) \times *$ have neighborhoods homeomorphic to $R^m \times cK$. Therefore, under hypothesis $H(m + 1)$, X is a manifold except possibly near $\dot{\nu}(J) \times * \subset \dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$.

Under hypothesis $H(m)$, X is a manifold with boundary, for points in $\dot{\nu}(J) \times *$ have neighborhoods which are homeomorphic to $R^{m-1} \times cK$. In this case $\partial X = [(M - 2\nu(J)) \times K] \cup Y$, where

$$Y \equiv [(2\bar{\nu}(J) - \nu(J)) \times K] \cup [(\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK) - 2\bar{\xi}'|\dot{\nu}(J)] \cup 2\bar{\xi}'|\bar{\nu}(J).$$

We will denote $[(M - 2\nu(J)) \times K] \cup Y$ by ∂X , whether or not X is a manifold.

Let U be the interior of an open collar neighborhood of Y in X . That is, if $Y \times (-1, 1]$ parameterizes the collar, let U be the image of $Y \times (-1, 1)$. It is clear from the construction that such a collar exists. Note that under hypothesis $H(m + 1)$, Y contains all the points of ∂X near which ∂X may fail to be Euclidean. We intend, assuming $H(m + 1)$, to split U by a genuine manifold and discard the piece lying between the split and Y . The result will be a manifold X' which can be substituted for X in much of the later argument.

Since K is a homology sphere, $(X, \partial X)$ is a homology manifold pair regardless of any suspension assumptions made about K . In particular, $(X, \partial X)$ is always a Poincaré pair.

The following observation of H. King allows us to split U . Let CAT be a manifold category (DIFF, TOP or PL):

2.2. LEMMA. *Let $(C, \partial C)$ be a compact pair of topological spaces such that ∂C is a CAT manifold. If $C \times R$ is a CAT manifold of dimension ≥ 6 and $\partial(C \times R) \simeq \partial C \times R$ then there is a CAT manifold N and a CAT homeomorphism $N \times R \simeq C \times R$.*

PROOF OF 2.2. See [5] for proof. Here King observes that the portion P of $C \times R$ lying between $C \times \{0\}$ and an $n - 3$ neighborhood of an end of $C \times R$, when crossed with I , is a compact manifold. Therefore P has the homotopy type of a finite complex, and so the obstruction in $K_0(\pi_1(C))$ to splitting $C \times R$ vanishes. See [16].

U is homeomorphic to $Y \times (-1, 1)$ and $\partial Y = 2\dot{\nu}(J) \times K$ is a manifold, so under assumption $H(m + 1)$ there is a manifold N such that U is homeomorphic to $N \times (-1, 1)$. Let \mathring{N} denote N with a small closed collar of ∂N removed. Modify X by removing $\mathring{N} \times (0, 1)$. Call the resulting manifold with boundary X' . The portion of ∂X lying "over" $2\bar{\nu}(J)$ has been changed from the nonmanifold Y to the manifold obtained from N by attaching to ∂N the cobordism between ∂N and ∂Y given by removing $\partial N \times (-1, 0)$ from $\partial N \times (-1, 1) \simeq \partial Y \times (-1, 1) \hookrightarrow \partial Y \times (-1, 1]$. Revert to N as notation for this manifold. Note that:

- (i) $\partial N \simeq \partial Y \simeq 2\dot{\nu}(J) \times K$,
- (ii) X' is a manifold with boundary.

The following lemma explains our interest in X .

2.3. LEMMA. $X - \xi'$ is a homotopy product.⁽¹⁾

2.4. COROLLARY. *Under assumption $H(m)$ [resp. $H(m + 1)$] the manifold $X - \xi'$ [resp. $X' - \xi'$] is an h -cobordism.*

PROOF OF 2.3.

$$X - \xi' = [(M - \nu(J)) \times (cK - D)] \cup_{\rho} [2\bar{\xi}'|\bar{\nu}(J) - \xi'|\bar{\nu}(J)],$$

where ρ is an $S^3 \times I$ fiber bundle equivalence

$$\dot{\nu}(J) \times (2\bar{D} - D) \xrightarrow{\rho} 2\bar{\xi}'|\dot{\nu}(J) - \xi'|\dot{\nu}(J).$$

Now $2\bar{\xi}'|\bar{\nu}(J) - \xi'|\bar{\nu}(J)$ is homeomorphic to the actual product $\xi'|\bar{\nu}(J) \times I$, so in order to verify 2.3 it suffices to show that $W \equiv (M - \nu(J)) \times (cK - D)$ is a homotopy product from $W_1 \equiv (M - \nu(J)) \times \dot{D}$ to $W_2 \equiv [(M - \nu(J)) \times K] \cup [\dot{\nu}(J) \times (cK - 2D)]$ rel $\dot{\nu}(J) \times (2\bar{D} - D) \simeq \dot{\nu}(J) \times \dot{D} \times I$. That is, we must show

(1) A Poincaré triple $(C; Y_1, Y_2)$ is a homotopy product if the inclusions $Y_i \hookrightarrow C$ are homotopy equivalences.

that the inclusions $W_i \rightarrow W$ are homotopy equivalences. This will follow from two facts (see [19])

(a) $H_*(W, W_i; Z[\pi_1(W)]) = 0$.

(b) The inclusions induce isomorphisms $\pi_1(W_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$ with base points in $\dot{\nu}(J) \times (2\bar{D} - D)$.

PROOF OF (a). By general position $\pi_1(cK - D) \simeq \pi_1(cK - \{\text{point}\}) \simeq \pi_1(cK) = 0$. Therefore $\pi_1(W) \simeq \pi_1(M - \nu(J))$.

Since the inclusion $D \rightarrow cK$ is a homotopy equivalence, $H_*(cK - D, \partial D; Z) = 0$ by excision. Hence $H_*(W, W_1; Z[\pi_1(M - \nu(J))]) \simeq H_*(W, W_1; Z[\pi_1(W)]) = 0$. By Poincaré duality $H^*(W, W_2; Z[\pi_1(W)]) = 0$, so $H_*(W, W_2; Z[\pi_1(W)]) = 0$. This proves (a).

PROOF OF (b). Let x, q_1 and q_2 be points in $\dot{\nu}(J), \partial D$ and K respectively. Since $\pi_1(cK - D) = 0$, the inclusion induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(\partial D, q_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(cK - D, q_1)$. Hence the inclusion induces an isomorphism $\pi_1(W_1, (x, q_1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(W, (x, q_1))$.

A path in $\{x\} \times (cK - D)$ from (x, q_1) to (x, q_2) provides a natural isomorphism $\pi_1(W, (x, q_1)) \simeq \pi_1(W, (x, q_2))$. It therefore suffices to show that $\pi_1(W_2, (x, q_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(W, (x, q_2))$ is an isomorphism.

By Van Kampen's theorem $\pi_1(W_2, (x, q_2))$ is the push-out of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\dot{\nu}(J) \times K, (x, q_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1((M - \nu(J)) \times K, (x, q_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(\dot{\nu}(J) \times (cK - D), (x, q_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(W_2, (x, q_2)) \end{array}$$

A copy of $M - \nu(J)$ is imbedded in $(M - \nu(J)) \times K$ as $(M - \nu(J)) \times \{q_2\}$.

A standard argument now shows

$\pi_1((M - \nu(J)) \times \{q_2\}, (x, q_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(W_2, (x, q_2))$ is an isomorphism.

Since $\pi_1(cK - D, q_2) = 0$, the inclusion also induces an isomorphism

$\pi_1((M - \nu(J)) \times \{q_2\}, (x, q_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(W, (x, q_2))$.

This proves (b) and hence 2.3.

2.5. PROPOSITION. (i) *Assuming $H(2)$ then X is a manifold with boundary and there is a PL triangulation of ∂X which extends to a simplicial triangulation of X but does not extend to a PL triangulation of X .*

(ii) *Assuming $H(m + 1)$ and $m = 2, 3$ then X' is a manifold with boundary and there is a PL triangulation of $\partial X'$ which extends to a simplicial triangulation of X' but does not extend to a PL triangulation of X' .*

We delay the proof of 2.5 briefly.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1 ASSUMING PROPOSITION 2.5. PL adjoin to the PL

triangulated ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) a PL triangulated h -cobordism H with Whitehead torsion the negative of the torsion of the h -cobordism from ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) to ξ' (see [11]). By 2.4 the resultant manifold will be ξ' with a topological s -cobordism adjointed to ξ' . By the TOP s -cobordism theorem, this manifold will be homeomorphic to ξ . We so identify it. ξ is then simplicially triangulated by a triangulation which is PL near ξ .

Since H is an h -cobordism between ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) and ξ there are 1-1 correspondences between PL structures on ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$), ξ , and H . Thus a PL extension of the triangulation near ξ to all of ξ would induce a PL extension of the PL triangulation of ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) to all of X . This would contradict 2.5, and so verifies 2.1 assuming 2.5.

C. Triangulating X and X' : A proof of 2.5. It was observed in §2.B that $H(m)$ (respectively $H(m + 1)$) implies that X (resp. X') is a manifold with boundary. Clearly $H(2)$ implies $H(m)$ for m greater than 2. This proves the first assertion of both parts of 2.5. The second assertion is the heart of this paper.

The following lemma shows that if ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) is a manifold, ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) is a PL manifold. First note that the manifold $\partial U \simeq \partial Y \times (-1, 1) = 2\dot{\nu}(J) \times K \times (-1, 1)$ has a natural PL-structure—the product of the Whitehead structure on $\dot{\nu}(J)$ and the unique structures on K and $(-1, 1)$ [20].

2.6. LEMMA. *If U is a manifold (e.g. under hypothesis $H(m + 1)$) then the natural PL-structure near ∂U extends to all of U .*

PROOF OF 2.6. By construction the natural structure extends to all of U , except possibly across that portion of U which is mapped by the collar projection $U \xrightarrow{p} Y$ to $\dot{\nu}(J) \times * \subset Y$. By an argument similar to that in 1.1 it follows that the dual in $H_m^{inf}(U; Z_2)$ of the obstruction in $H^4(U, \partial U; Z_2)$ to extending the natural structure on ∂U to all of U is represented by $p^{-1}(\dot{\nu}(J) \times *)$ and so is carried by p to the element α in $H_{m-1}(Y; Z_2)$ represented by the manifold $\dot{\nu}(J) \times * \subset \dot{\nu}(J) \times (cK - D)$. In order to verify 2.6, it suffices therefore to show that α is null-homologous in Y . Let L be a line in $cK - 2D$ connecting $*$ to some $\{q\}$ in $2\dot{D}$. Then $\dot{\nu}(J) \times L$ is a homotopy in Y between $\dot{\nu}(J) \times *$ and the cross-section $\dot{\nu}(J) \times \{q\}$ of the bundle $2\xi'|\dot{\nu}(J)$. Thus $\dot{\nu}(J) \times \{q\}$ also represents α .

From obstruction theory we know that any two cross-sections of $2\xi'|\dot{\nu}(J)$ can be homotoped together except possibly over a 3-cell of $\dot{\nu}(J)$, where the difference in cross-sections defines an element of π_3 (fiber of $2\xi' \simeq S^3$) $\simeq Z$. If this obstruction is trivial mod 2 the cross-sections represent the same homology class in $H_{m-1}(2\xi'|\dot{\nu}(J); Z_2)$. Thus α is represented by any cross-section of $2\xi'|\dot{\nu}(J)$ for which the obstruction in $H^3(\dot{\nu}(J); Z)$ to the existence of a homotopy of this cross-section to $\dot{\nu}(J) \times \{q\}$ is trivial mod 2.

Recall we are assuming $w^4(\xi') = 0$. $w^4(\xi')$ is the Z_2 reduction of the ob-

struction in $H^4(M; Z)$ to constructing a cross-section to ξ' [10]. But there is a cross-section of $2\xi'$ (viz. $(M - \nu(J)) \times \{q\}$) over $M - \nu(J)$ which restricts to $\dot{\nu}(J) \times \{q\}$. Therefore the obstruction to extending this cross-section over $\nu(J)$, reduced mod 2, lies in the pre-image of $w^4(\xi)$ under the homomorphism

$$H^4(\bar{\nu}(J), \dot{\nu}(J); Z_2) \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} H^4(M, M - \nu(J); Z_2) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^4(M; Z_2).$$

Since M and J are connected, and $m \leq 4$, this is an isomorphism, and the Z_2 reduction of this obstruction is therefore trivial. Some cross-section of $\xi'|\dot{\nu}(J)$ therefore does extend over $\nu(J)$, a cross-section for which the obstruction in $H^3(\dot{\nu}(J); Z)$ to homotoping to $\dot{\nu}(J) \times \{q\}$ has trivial Z_2 reduction and therefore still represents α . The extension of the cross-section over $\nu(J)$ then provides a null-homology in Y of the class α . This completes the proof of 2.6.

REMARK. By the product structure theorem, 2.6 is true for N . If Y is a manifold, it is true for Y also.

Now we construct the triangulation of X . Choose a Whitehead triangulation of M , which we denote $|M|$, so that $M - 2\nu(J)$ is a PL subcomplex $|M - 2\nu(J)|$, and choose a Whitehead triangulation $|K|$ of K . $|M - 2\nu(J)| \times c|K|$ is a cell complex. Let $|M - 2\nu(J)| \times c|K|$ here denote a simplicial complex obtained from this cell-complex by subdivision in which no new vertices are introduced (see [12, Chapter 2]).

The following series of assertions show that under assumption $H(2)$ some subdivision of this triangulation may be extended to all of X . Later the assertions are modified to apply to X' under assumption $H(m + 1)$, $m = 2, 3$.

Let $p_\nu, p_{\xi'}$ represent the bundle projections in $2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $2\bar{\xi}'$ respectively. Denote $X - ((M - 2\nu(J)) \times cK)$ by $X|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $X - ((M - 2\nu(J)) \times cK)$ by $X|2\nu(J)$.

ASSERTION 1. *The natural fibering*

$$2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK \xrightarrow{p_1} 2\dot{\nu}(J) \xrightarrow{p_\nu} J$$

of $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$ over J extends to a fibering $X|2\bar{\nu}(J) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} J$ with contractible fiber F .

PROOF OF ASSERTION 1. $X|2\bar{\nu}(J) = (2\bar{\nu}(J) - \nu(J)) \times cK \cup_\rho \bar{\xi}'|\bar{\nu}(J)$ for some bundle equivalence $\rho: \bar{\xi}'|\dot{\nu}(J) \rightarrow \dot{\nu}(J) \times 2\bar{D}$. Since ρ is a bundle equivalence, the projections

$$(2\bar{\nu}(J) - \nu(J)) \times cK \xrightarrow{p_1} 2\bar{\nu}(J) - \nu(J) \xrightarrow{p_\nu} J$$

and

$$\bar{\xi}'|\nu(J) \xrightarrow{p_{\xi'}} \nu(J) \xrightarrow{p_\nu} J$$

coincide on $\bar{\xi}'|\dot{\nu}(J) \simeq_\rho \dot{\nu}(J) \times 2\bar{D}$. These maps define the required fibering \tilde{f} . Since the fiber of $\dot{\nu}(J)$ is S^1 , the fiber F of this projection is homeomorphic to

$((S^1 \times I) \times cK) \cup_{\bar{\rho}} (D^2 \times D^4)$. Here $\bar{\rho}$ is a homeomorphism $(S^1, 1, 2\bar{D}) \rightarrow (\partial D^2, D^4)$. An easy calculation shows that F is contractible, proving Assertion 1.

The fiber bundle projection \tilde{f} restricts to a fiber bundle projection $Y \cup (2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK) \rightarrow J$. We denote this restriction by f .

ASSERTION 2. *Under assumption $H(m + 1)$, the interior of the mapping cylinder $Z(f)$ is a manifold.*

PROOF OF ASSERTION 2. Away from J the interior of the mapping cylinder is just $(Y \cup (2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK)) \times R$. Since dimension $(2\dot{\nu}(J) \times R)$ is m , all of these points have Euclidean neighborhoods under assumption $H(m + 1)$.

Near a point on J the mapping cylinder is homeomorphic to cone $(\partial F) \times R^{m-2}$, where F is defined in Assertion 1. The five lemma and Van Kampen's theorem show that ∂F is simply connected and has the homology of S^5 . A homotopy equivalence $\partial F \rightarrow S^5$ may therefore be defined by collapsing the complement of a 5-cell in ∂F to a point.

Under assumption $H(m + 1)$ $\partial F \times R^{m-1}$ is a manifold, for in ∂F any vertex $*$ lies in the cross-product of $*$ with an S^1 fiber of $\dot{\nu}(J)$ or $2\dot{\nu}(J)$, hence has neighborhood homeomorphic to $cK \times R^m$. By [3, Corollary 2], $\Sigma^{m-1}(\partial F) \simeq S^7$, so cone $(\partial F) \times R^{m-2}$ is locally Euclidean. This proves Assertion 2.

ASSERTION 3. *Under assumption $H(2)$ the manifold \bar{X} obtained by attaching the mapping cylinder $Z(f)$ to $X - X|2\dot{\nu}(J) = (M - 2\bar{\nu}(J)) \times cK$ along $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$ is homeomorphic to X .*

PROOF OF ASSERTION 3. According to Assertion 1, $X|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ fibers over J with fiber F . But under assumption $H(2)$ both ∂F and F are manifolds. We have shown that ∂F is a homotopy 5-sphere and F is contractible. By the Poincaré theorem, $F \simeq D^6 \simeq \text{cone}(\partial F)$. The group of the D^6 bundle $X|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ is $\text{Aut}_{\text{TOP}}(B^6)$. There is a natural imbedding of $\text{Aut}_{\text{TOP}}(\partial B^6)$ in $\text{Aut}_{\text{TOP}}(B^6)$ induced by coning. The topological version of the Alexander trick shows that the quotient group $\text{Aut}_{\text{TOP}}(B^6)/\text{Aut}_{\text{TOP}}(\partial B^6)$ is contractible. Hence $X|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ is equivalent to the disk bundle got by coning on the fibers of its sphere bundle boundary. This space is clearly $Z(f)$. This proves Assertion 3.

ASSERTION 4. *Under assumption $H(2)$ the mapping cylinder $Z(f)$ has a simplicial triangulation which restricts to a subdivision of $|2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times c|K|$ on $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$ and which is a PL triangulation on Y .*

PROOF OF ASSERTION 4. The projection $|2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times c|K| \rightarrow |2\dot{\nu}(J)|$ is certainly a piecewise linear map of polyhedra. So is the PL manifold fiber bundle projection $|2\dot{\nu}(J)| \rightarrow J$.

The PL structure on $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times K$ given by the triangulation $|2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times |K|$ extends to a PL structure of Y by the remark following Lemma 2.6, and such an extension may be chosen so that the TOP bundle $f_Y \equiv f|Y: Y \rightarrow J$ is a PL bundle. This follows from the bundle straightening theorem of the Appendix. We

have the commutative diagram of piecewise linear maps of polyhedral:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 |2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times |K| & \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} & Y \\
 \text{inclusion} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 |2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times c|K| & \xrightarrow{f} & J
 \end{array}$$

By [12, Theorem 2.15] Y and J may be triangulated and $|2\dot{\nu}(J)| \times c|K|$ subdivided so that all maps are simplicial. The mapping cylinder of a simplicial map is simplicial [17, p. 151], and so the assertion is proven.

We now embark on proofs of versions of these four assertions for X' when $m = 2, 3$.

For $m = 3, J$ is a circle. Fundamental use is made of the following theorem which Browder and Levine [1] originally proved in the smooth category. It may also be verified in PL and TOP by use of PL and TOP transversality and handle-body theory.

2.7. THEOREM (BROWDER-LEVINE). *Let W be a compact connected CAT manifold of dimension $n \geq 6$ and $f: W \rightarrow S^1$ a map such that*

- (i) $f|\partial W \rightarrow S^1$ is a CAT fiber bundle;
- (ii) $f_{\#}: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1)$ is an isomorphism.

Then the universal cover \tilde{W} of W has the homotopy type of a finite complex if and only if f is homotopic rel ∂W to a CAT fiber bundle map.

REMARK. If f is homotopic rel ∂W to a fiber bundle map with fiber F then $\tilde{W} \simeq F \times R$.

Denote $X' - ((M - 2\bar{\nu}(J)) \times cK)$ by $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $X' - ((M - 2\nu(J)) \times cK)$ by $X'|2\nu(J)$.

ASSERTION 1'. *For $m = 2, 3$ the natural fibering*

$$2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK \xrightarrow{p_1} 2\dot{\nu}(J) \xrightarrow{p_2} J$$

extends to a fibering $N \xrightarrow{f_N} J$.

PROOF OF ASSERTION 1'. The assertion is obvious for $m = 2$, since then J consists of a single point.

For $m = 3, J \simeq S^1$. By Assertion 1, $p_{\nu}p_1$ extends to a fibering $Y \xrightarrow{f_Y} J$. The map f_Y induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups since the fiber F_Y is simply connected. $[F_Y \simeq (S^1 \times (cK - 2D)) \cup_{\bar{p}} (D^2 \times S^3)$, where \bar{p} is a homeomorphism $(S^1, 2\dot{D}) \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} (\partial D^2, S^3)$. See proof of Assertion 1.] By definition $Y \times R \simeq N \times R$, so the universal covers of Y and N have the same homotopy type. The composition

$$N \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} Y \times R \xrightarrow{p_1} Y \xrightarrow{f_Y} J$$

then satisfies the hypothesis of 2.7, and Assertion 1' follows from the conclusion of 2.7.

We denote by f' the bundle map $N \cup (2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK) \rightarrow J$ which is defined to be equal to f_N on N and $p_\nu p_1$ on $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$.

ASSERTION 2'. *Under assumption $H(m + 1)$ and $m = 2, 3$, the interior of the mapping cylinder $Z(f')$ is a manifold.*

PROOF OF ASSERTION 2'. Once we know that a fiber of f' has the homotopy type of S^5 , the proof follows exactly as did the proof of Assertion 2.

Let F_N and F_Y be the fibers of f_N and f_Y respectively. The universal covers \tilde{N} and \tilde{Y} are then $F_N \times R$ and $F_Y \times R$ respectively. By definition there is a homeomorphism $h: N \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow Y \times (-1, 1)$ such that near $\partial N \times (-1, 1)$ $p_2 = hp_1: \partial N \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ and $f_N p_1 = f_Y p_1 h: N \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow J$. Hence $F_N \times R \times (-1, 1)$ is homeomorphic to $F_Y \times R \times (-1, 1)$ by a homeomorphism which respects projection to $R \times (-1, 1)$ near $\partial F_N \times R \times (-1, 1)$.

Thus there is a homotopy equivalence $g: F_N \rightarrow F_Y$ which is a homeomorphism near ∂F_N .

Now ∂F of Assertion 2 was obtained by adjoining $cK \times S^1$ to F_Y along their boundaries by a homeomorphism we will denote $\zeta: K \times S^1 \rightarrow \partial F_Y$. ∂F was there shown to have the homotopy type of S^5 . The fiber of f' is obtained by adjoining $cK \times S^1$ to F_N by a homeomorphism $\zeta': K \times S^1 \rightarrow \partial F_N$ such that $\zeta = g\zeta'$. It follows from the 5-lemma and Van Kampen's theorem that the fiber of f' has the homotopy type of S^5 . This proves Assertion 2'.

ASSERTION 3'. *Under assumption $H(m + 1)$ and for $m = 2, 3$ the manifold \bar{X}' obtained by attaching in the natural way the mapping cylinder $Z(f')$ to $X' - X'|2\nu(J) = (M - 2\bar{\nu}(J)) \times cK$ along $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$ is homeomorphic to X' .*

PROOF OF ASSERTION 3'. We will show that $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $Z(f')$ are s-cobordant rel boundary; that is, there is a manifold C such that $\partial C = X'|2\bar{\nu}(J) \cup_h Z(f')$, where h is the natural identification of the boundaries $\partial(X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)) = N \cup (2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK) \simeq \partial Z(f')$, and such that the inclusions $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J) \rightarrow C$ and $Z(f') \rightarrow C$ are simple homotopy equivalences. Note that whereas $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $Z(f')$ may not be manifolds along their boundaries, ∂C will be a manifold because $2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK$ is bicollared in ∂C .

Observe that $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ has the homotopy type of $X|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ which, by Assertion 1, has the homotopy type of J . Similarly $Z(f')$ has the homotopy type of J (indeed collapses to J). Furthermore the inclusion of $N \cup (2\dot{\nu}(J) \times cK)$ in $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ or $Z(f')$ clearly induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. Hence $\pi_1(\partial C) \simeq Z$ for $m = 3$ and $\pi_1(\partial C) = 0$ for $m = 2$.

Therefore the universal covers of both $X'|2\bar{\nu}(J)$ and $Z(f')$ are contractible,

and the universal cover of ∂C is obtained by adjoining these in the natural way along the universal cover of $N' \cup (2\nu(J) \times cK)$. By the proof of Assertion 2' the universal cover of $N' \cup (2\nu(J) \times cK)$ has the homotopy type of S^5 . It follows by Van Kampen's theorem and the 5-lemma that the universal cover of ∂C has the homotopy type of S^6 .

By Theorem 2.7 when $m = 3$ and trivially when $m = 2$, ∂C fibers over J with fiber a homotopy S^6 , hence an actual S^6 . The induced D^7 bundle is then the required s -cobordism C . (Recall there is no Whitehead torsion for $\pi_1(C) = 0$ or Z .)

Extend the s -cobordism C by the product s -cobordism over $X' - X'|2\nu(J) = \bar{X}' - Z(f')$. The result is an s -cobordism between X' and \bar{X}' which is a product cobordism between $\partial X'$ and $\partial \bar{X}' = \partial X'$. The assertion then follows from the TOP s -cobordism theorem.

ASSERTION 4'. Under assumption $H(m + 1)$ and for $m = 2, 3$ the mapping cylinder $Z(f')$ has a simplicial triangulation which restricts to a subdivision of $|2\nu(J)| \times c|K|$ on $2\nu(J) \times cK$ and which is a PL triangulation on N .

PROOF OF ASSERTION 4'. The proof is exactly that of Assertion 4, except in case $m = 3$ the PL version of 2.7 is used instead of the bundle straightening theorem of the Appendix to deduce that $f_N: N \rightarrow J$ of Assertion 1' may be assumed PL.

In order to conclude the proof of 2.5 it suffices to show that the PL structure on ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) which we have defined does not extend to a PL structure over all of X (resp. X').

Let R^m be an open m -disk in $M - 2\nu(J)$. If the PL structure on ∂X (resp. $\partial X'$) did extend over all of X (resp. X') then the codimension zero open imbedded submanifold $R^m \times cK \subset ((M - 2\nu(J)) \times cK) \subset X' \subset X$ would inherit a PL structure extending the natural PL structure on $R^m \times K$. Siebenmann shows that this is impossible [15, Theorem 2, Assertion 2]. This completes the proof of 2.5 and so of 2.1.

Theorem 2.1 suffers a weakness which must be surmounted to obtain any triangulation results on 8-manifolds. For $m = 3, 4$ there may be PL structures on ξ which do not extend to simplicial structures on $\bar{\xi}$. Theorem 2.1 has shown only that at least one which does not PL extend does extend simplicially. There is a trick presented later which allows the triangulation of the 7-manifolds of Theorem 0.1 anyway, but the situation is more serious for 8-manifolds, when $m = 4$. We show here that the problem reduces to an existence problem for s -cobordisms; later sufficient s -cobordisms will be created.

Let p be the bundle projection $\bar{\xi}' \rightarrow M$ and $i: \partial X \cup \xi' \rightarrow X - \xi'$ the inclusion. There is a natural injective map $H^3(M; Z_2) \xrightarrow{p} H^3(\partial X; Z_2)$ defined as the composition of the injective map $H^3(M; Z_2) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^3(\bar{\xi}'; Z_2)$ and the isomor-

phism

$$H^3(\xi'; Z_2) \xrightarrow{(j^*)^{-1}} H^3(X - \xi'; Z_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^3(\partial X; Z_2).$$

By an s -cobordism between manifolds M and M' with boundary we will mean an s -cobordism which restricts to a product cobordism between ∂M and $\partial M'$.

Let W be a topological s -cobordism W from $M - \nu(J)$ to a smooth manifold \bar{M} . Consider the composition d of the maps

$$H^4(W, \partial W) \xrightarrow{(j^*)^{-1}} H^3(\partial W, \bar{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(M, \bar{\nu}(J)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^3(M).$$

2.8. LEMMA. *Let α in $H^4(W, \partial W)$ be the obstruction to extending the smooth structure on ∂W to all of W . Then, assuming $H(2)$, the PL triangulation of ∂X corresponding to $pd(\alpha)$ extends to a simplicial triangulation of X .*

PROOF OF 2.8. Let W' be the union of $W \times cK$ and $(X|2\nu(J)) \times I$ along $2\nu(J) \times I \times cK = X|2\nu(J) \times I$. Then W' is an s -cobordism between X and a manifold \bar{X} . A Whitehead triangulation of \bar{M} and the procedure above provide a simplicial triangulation of \bar{X} which is PL near $\partial\bar{X}$. By the topological s -cobordism theorem, W' is homeomorphic to $X \times I$.

Let $p_1: (W, \partial W) \times K \rightarrow (W, \partial W)$ be the projection. The obstruction in $H^3(\partial X; Z_2)$ to making $\partial W' - (X \cup \bar{X}) = \partial X \times I$ a PL concordance between ∂X and $\partial\bar{X}$ is then the image of α under the composition

$$H^4(W, \partial W) \xrightarrow{p_1^*} H^4(W, \partial W) \times K \xrightarrow{j^*e^{-1}} H^4(\partial X \times (I, \partial I)) \xrightarrow{e(j^*)^{-1}} H^3(\partial X \times O).$$

By naturality this is $p_1^*d(\alpha)$. Clearly $p_1^*d(\alpha)$ and $p^*d(\alpha)$ are cohomologous in $X - \xi'$. Hence $p_1^*d(\alpha) = p^*d(\alpha)$.

REMARK. If $M - \nu(J)$ can be retriangulated rel $\nu(J)$ with obstruction $d(\alpha)$ we get the same conclusion, but M has such a low dimension in the applications that this is not known to be possible.

3. The proof of the main Theorem 0.1.

Case I. $n = 5$. This is treated by Siebenmann [15]. He assumes N is orientable, in which case $H^5(N; Z)$ has no 2-torsion and consequently $\beta(H^4(N; Z_2)) = 0$. He requires orientability to ensure that the Poincaré dual to k_N in $H_1(N; Z_2)$ can be represented by a circle with normal $SO(4)$ bundle. According to 1.2, if $\beta(k_N) = 0$ the Poincaré dual of k_N can be represented by an imbedded circle with orientable normal bundle, and Siebenmann's proof is applicable.

Case II: $n = 6, 7, 8$. By 1.2, the Poincaré dual to k_N may be represented as the inclusion of the fundamental class of some connected smooth submanifold

M with oriented orthogonal normal bundle $\nu(M)$. That is, k_N is the image of the nontrivial element of $H^4(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M); Z_2)$ under the homomorphism

$$H^4(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M); Z_2) \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} H^4(N, N - M; Z_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^4(N; Z_2).$$

The restriction of k_N to $N - M$ is therefore trivial by exactness in the cohomology sequence of $(N, N - M)$. By naturality of the triangulation obstruction, $N - M$ is PL triangulable. By the product structure theorem [6], the PL structure on $N - M$ is isotopic to one which restricts to a PL structure on $\dot{\nu}(M)$.

By [9], the isotopy classes of PL structures on $\dot{\nu}(M)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with $H^3(\dot{\nu}(M); Z_2)$.

Case IIa: $n = 6$. The following is a portion of the Thom-Gysin sequence for $(\bar{\nu}(M), \dot{\nu}(M))$, coefficients in Z_2 .

$$0 = H^3(M) \rightarrow H^3(\dot{\nu}(M)) \rightarrow H^0(M) \rightarrow H^4(M) = 0.$$

Since M is connected, $H^3(\dot{\nu}(M)) \simeq H^0(M) \simeq Z_2$, so there are two possible PL structures on $\dot{\nu}(M)$. One PL structure is that which PL extends to all of $\nu(M)$, induced by the natural Whitehead PL structure on the smooth manifold $\bar{\nu}(M)$.

By 2.1 there is a PL structure $(\dot{\nu}(M))_\Sigma$ which is not isotopic to the Whitehead structure but some PL triangulation of $(\dot{\nu}(M))_\Sigma$ does extend to a simplicial triangulation of $\bar{\nu}(M)$. Hence, in either case, some PL triangulation of $N - \nu(M)$ extends to a simplicial triangulation of $\bar{\nu}(M)$, and N is homeomorphic to a simplicial complex.

Case IIb: $n = 7$. The relevant portion of the Thom-Gysin sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow H^3(M) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^3(\dot{\nu}(M)) \rightarrow H^0(M) \rightarrow H^4(M) = 0.$$

Since $H^3(M) \simeq H^0(M) \simeq Z_2$, $H^3(\dot{\nu}(M)) \simeq Z_2 \oplus Z_2$, and there are now four PL structures possible on $\dot{\nu}(M)$. Since $\text{image}(p^*) \simeq Z_2$, two of these PL structures extend to PL structures on $\bar{\nu}(M)$. By 2.1 one of the other PL structures has a PL triangulation which extends to a simplicial triangulation of $\bar{\nu}(M)$. We now show that the image of the restriction $H^3(N - M) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^3(\dot{\nu}(M))$ is Z_2 . It follows that with a correct choice of a PL structure on $N - M$ the restriction of the structure to $\dot{\nu}(M)$ is not the one PL structure which may not extend either simplicially or piecewise-linearly to $\bar{\nu}(M)$.

If $i_*: H_3(M) \rightarrow H_3(N)$ (all coefficients are Z_2) fails to be injective, $k_N = 0$ by 1.1 so N is PL triangulable and we are done. If the map is injective, $i'^*: H^3(N) \rightarrow H^3(\bar{\nu}(M))$ is surjective.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3(N) & \longrightarrow & H^3(N - \nu(M)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^3(\bar{\nu}(M)) & \longrightarrow & H^3(\dot{\nu}(M)) \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram. Since the bottom map is injective $H^3(N - \nu(M)) \rightarrow H^3(\dot{\nu}(M))$ has image at least Z_2 . As this suffices, we leave to the reader the task of showing that the image is exactly Z_2 as claimed. This completes the proof for $n = 7$.

Case IIc. $n = 8$. Let M be any smooth 4-manifold, possibly with boundary. Following [2], say that two s -cobordisms W and W' from M to a smooth manifold are *equivalent* if there are smooth s -cobordisms V and V' with $\partial_2 W = \partial_1 V$, $\partial_2 W' = \partial_1 V'$ and a homeomorphism of $W \cup V$ onto $W' \cup V'$ which is the identity on $\dot{M} = \partial_1 W$ and a diffeomorphism from $\partial_2 V$ to $\partial_2 V'$.

Let M_k denote the connected sum of M and k copies of $S^2 \times S^2$.

In [14] we prove the following theorem.

3.1. THEOREM. *There is an integer k such that for any compact 4-manifold M there is a 1-1 correspondence between $H^3(M, \partial M; Z_2)$ and equivalence classes of s -cobordisms of M_k to a smooth manifold.*

REMARKS. The equivalence is generated by selecting a representative W of the s -cobordism class and mapping the obstruction to extending the smoothing of ∂W to all of W by the composition

$$H^4(W, \partial W) \xrightarrow{(j^*)^{-1}} H^3(\partial W, \partial_2 W) \xrightarrow{e^*} H^3(M_k, \partial M_k) \xrightarrow{(q^*)^{-1}} H^3(M, \partial M).$$

Here q is the natural projection $M_k \rightarrow M$.

It is also shown that for M orientable, $k = 1$.

Return to the case $M \subset N$ representing the Poincaré dual of k_N . Let D^4 be a smooth open 4-disk in $M - \bar{\nu}(J)$. Then $\nu(M)$ is trivial over D^4 . Perform surgery in the ambient manifold $\nu(M)|D^4 \simeq R^8$ on k trivial smooth circles in D^4 (k as defined in 3.1). The result of the surgery is to change M to M_k and $\nu(M)$ to $q^*(\nu(M)) \simeq \nu(M_k)$, the normal bundle of M_k in N .

Note that $[M_k]$, the fundamental Z_2 homology class of M_k , is homologous in N to $[M]$, via the cobordism given by the surgery. Hence $N - M_k$ is PL triangulable and, as above, we may assume that $\dot{\nu}(M_k)$ has a PL structure for which it is a PL submanifold of $N - M_k$ and that this structure does not extend to $\bar{\nu}(M_k)$. It remains to show that this PL triangulation extends to a simplicial triangulation across $\bar{\nu}(M_k)$.

The remarks preceding 2.1 show that the difference between $\dot{\nu}(M_k)$ and the structure which has been shown to simplicially extend is represented in $H^3(\dot{\nu}(M_k))$ by $p^*(\delta)$ for some δ in $H^3(M_k)$. By 2.8 it suffices to produce a topological cobordism W from $M_k - \nu(J)$ to a smooth manifold such that the obstruction to extending the smooth structure on ∂W to all of W is mapped by d to δ . The remarks following 3.1 show that, since $H^3(M, \nu(J)) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^3(M)$ is onto, the required cobordism exists. This completes the proof.

Appendix. The bundle straightening theorem. The following theorem was used in Assertion 4 of the proof of Proposition 2.5.

THEOREM. *Let $f: M^m \rightarrow Q^q$ be a map of PL manifolds such that*

- (i) *f is a topological fiber bundle.*
- (ii) *There is a PL submanifold $N \subset M$ such that f is PL near N and $f|N: N \rightarrow f(N)$ is a PL fiber bundle.*
- (iii) *$m - q \geq 5$.*

Then there is an isotopy $h_t: M \rightarrow M \text{ rel } N$ such that h_0 is the identity and fh_1 is a PL fiber bundle.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Choose a PL triangulation of Q such that $f(N)$ is a full subpolyhedron.

Suppose inductively that for some $0 \leq i \leq q$ an isotopy has already been defined rel N altering f to a PL bundle over a neighborhood of a subcomplex $Q^{(i-1)}$ of Q containing the $(i - 1)$ skeleton and properly contained in the i -skeleton. Let Δ be an i -simplex of Q not in $Q^{(i-1)}$.

Since f is a PL map near N and near $f^{-1}(Q^{(i-1)})$, it follows from the PL product structure theorem that M may be isotoped rel $N \cup f^{-1}(Q^{(i-1)})$ so that $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ is a PL submanifold of M [6].

Let F denote the TOP fiber of f . Since Δ is contractible there is a homeomorphism $g: f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow F \times \Delta$ such that $p_2g = f: f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow \Delta$.

Let K be the full subcomplex $f(N) \cap \Delta$ of Δ and let F' be the fiber of the bundle $N \rightarrow f(N)$. Since K is full in Δ , K is contractible and there is a homeomorphism $g': N \cap f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow F' \times K$ such that $p_2g' = f: N \cap f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow K$. Then $g(g')^{-1}: F' \times K \rightarrow F \times \Delta$ is an imbedding which commutes with projection to Δ . For a fixed vertex v in K , $g(g')^{-1}|F' \times \{v\}$ determines an imbedding $F' \xrightarrow{i} F$. By the TOP isotopy extension theorem the trivialization g may be altered so that $g(g')^{-1} = i \times (\text{identity})_K: F' \times K \rightarrow F \times \Delta$.

Since $f|N$ is a PL fiber bundle, $g'^{-1}(F' \times K)$ is a PL submanifold of $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ on which f is a PL map. Since f is a PL fiber bundle over a neighborhood of $Q^{(i-1)}$, $f^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ is a PL submanifold of $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ with $f|f^{-1}(\partial\Delta)$ a PL map. The homeomorphisms g and g' therefore assign PL structures to $F \times \Delta$ and $i(F') \times K$ such that $i(F') \times K$ is a PL submanifold of $F \times \Delta$, and $F \times \Delta$ is sliced near $F \times \partial\Delta$ and $i(F') \times K$ [7].

By the sliced concordance implies isotopy theorem [7], there is a PL structure $(F \times \Delta)_\Sigma$ on $F \times \Delta$ and an isotopy $h_t: F \times \Delta \rightarrow (F \times \Delta)_\Sigma$ from the identity to a PL homeomorphism such that $p_2h_t = p_2$ on a neighborhood of $(F \times \partial\Delta) \cup (F' \times K)$ and the projection $(F \times \Delta)_\Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ is a PL bundle.

Damp out the action of $g^{-1}h_tg: f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow f^{-1}(\Delta)$ through a tubular neighborhood of $f^{-1}(\Delta)$ in M , and denote the resultant isotopy of M by \bar{h}_t .

Since \bar{h}_t is an isotopy, the map $f\bar{h}_t$ is always a TOP fiber bundle. Moreover $f\bar{h}_t$ is fixed on a neighborhood of $N \cup Q^{(t-1)}$ and $f\bar{h}_1$ is a PL fiber bundle over Δ . The theorem then follows by induction over simplices of Q .

REFERENCES

1. W. Browder and J. Levine, *Fibering manifolds over a circle*, Comment. Math. Helv. **40** (1966), 153–160. MR 33 #3309.
2. S. Cappell, R. Lashof and J. Shaneson, *A splitting theorem and the structure of 5-manifolds*, Symposia Math. **10** (1972), 47–58.
3. L. C. Glaser, *Shrinking decompositions of certain manifolds and the polyhedral Schoenflies conjecture*, Proc. First Conf. on Monotone Mappings and Open Mappings (SUNY at Binghamton, New York, 1970), State Univ. of New York at Binghamton, 1971, pp. 199–214. MR 44 #3326a.
4. J. Hollingsworth and J. Morgan, *Homotopy triangulations and topological manifolds*, Preprint, 1970.
5. H. King, Thesis, Univ. of Calif. at Berkeley, 1974.
6. R. C. Kirby and L. C. Siebenmann, *Deformation of smooth and piecewise-linear manifold structures* (to appear).
7. ———, *Deformation of sliced families of smooth and piecewise linear manifold structures* (to appear).
8. ———, *Some basic theorems for topological manifolds* (to appear).
9. ———, *On the triangulation of manifolds and the Hauptvermutung*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 742–749. MR 39 #3500.
10. J. W. Milnor, *Lectures on characteristic classes*, Mimeo Notes, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1957.
11. ———, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426. MR 33 #4922.
12. C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Ergebnisse der math. Wissenschaften, Band 69, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
13. M. Scharlemann, *Transversality theories at dimension four* (to appear).
14. ———, *Equivalence of 5-dimensional s-cobordisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 508–510.
15. L. C. Siebenmann, *Are non-triangulable manifolds triangulable?* Topology of Manifolds (Proc. Inst., Univ. of Georgia, Athens, Ga., 1969), Markham, Chicago, Ill., 1970, pp. 77–84. MR 42 #6837.
16. ———, *The obstruction to finding a boundary for an open manifold of dimension ≥ 5* , Thesis, Princeton Univ., 1965.
17. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR 35 #1007.
18. R. Thom, *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables*, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 17–86. MR 15, 890.
19. C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
20. J. H. C. Whitehead, *On C^1 complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **41** (1940), 804–824. MR 2, 73.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602