Existence and Uniqueness Theory

The Punch Line: We can determine if it's possible to solve IVPs without actually solving them.

Linear Theory: If we have a differential equation y' + p(t)y = g(t) and initial condition $y(t_0) = y + 0$, and p and g are both continuous on the same open interval (α, β) containing t_0 , then there is a unique function $\phi(t)$ that satisfies the initial value problem. So, if we can find all of the intervals on which p and g are simultaneously continuous, we can find intervals on which we are guaranteed *some* solution to IVPs (without actually solving the equation). This is useful if, for example, we want to be sure that approximate numerical solutions are close to some real solution.

1: For the following linear equations, find the intervals (in *t*) on which we are guaranteed unique solutions to an IVP with the following differential equations:

(a) $y' + ay = 0$	(d) $[y \ln t]' = 0$
(b) $ty' + 2y = e^{-t}$	(e) $y' + \frac{t+2}{t^2-9}y = \frac{t^2+1}{t^2-4}$
(c) $y' + \frac{1}{t}y = \frac{1}{1-t}$	(f) $t(t-2)y' + (t-1)y = \ln(t^2 - 16)$

- (a) Here we get p(t) = a and g(t) = 0, both of which are continuous functions for all *t*. Thus, given *any* initial data, we have a unique solution for all time *t*.
- (b) Here, we get $p(t) = \frac{2}{t}$ and $g(t) = t^{-1}e^{-t}$, which both have singularities at t = 0 and nowhere else. Thus, we have the two possible intervals $t \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ on which our functions could be defined. If t_0 is positive (or negative), we will have a unique solution for all positive (negative) time t.
- (c) Here, we get $p(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ and $g(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$, so the two "bad points" are t = 0 and t = 1. Thus, our intervals are $(-\infty, 0), (0, 1), \text{ and } (1, \infty)$.
- (d) Here, we first expand the DE to $y' \ln t + \frac{1}{t}y = 0$, or $y' + \frac{1}{t \ln t} = 0$. Here, we have problems if $t \le 0$, so our possible interval is $t \in (0, \infty)$.
- (e) Here, we get problems at t = ±2,±3, so our intervals are (-∞, -3), (-3, -2), (-2, 2), (2, 3), and (3,∞). It's worth noting that we need to consider the "bad points" of *both* p and g at the same time, as some of these intervals have one endpoint determined by p and the other by g.
- (f) Here we get problems at $t = 0, 2, \pm 4$, so our intervals are $(-\infty, -4), (-4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 4), \text{ and } (4, \infty)$.

General First-Order Theory: If we have a differential equation y' = f(t, y) and f(t, y) is continuous on some rectangle $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$, $y \in (\gamma, \delta)$ containing the point (t_0, y_0) (our initial data), then there is some interval $(t_0 - h, t_0 + h)$ contained in (α, β) where we have a solution to the IVP, $\phi(t)$. If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is also continuous on the rectangle, then that solution is unique. It's worth noting that the interval on which we have (unique) solutions are in general *not* the full interval on which *f* is continuous, and may be either larger or smaller: we are just guaranteed the existence of *some* interval that works—finding what it is generally involves finding the solution to the DE itself.

2: For the following first-order equations, find the initial conditions (t_0, y_0) that do *not* result in guaranteed solutions to the DE, and do not result in guaranteed unique solutions.

- (a) y' = |y|(b) $y' + ty = y^2$ (c) $yy' = \frac{1}{2t}$ (d) $(x+t) + (t-x^2)x' = 0$
- (a) Here, we are guaranteed a solution for any (t_0, y_0) , but are not guaranteed uniqueness if $y_0 = 0$, as the derivative is discontinuous (in fact, not defined) there.
- (b) Here f(t,y) = y(t-y), and $f_y = t 2y$, which are both always continuous, so we are always guaranteed a unique solution for some interval.
- (c) Here, $f(t, y) = \frac{1}{2ty}$, with $f_y = \frac{-1}{2ty^2}$, so we need for $y_0 \neq 0$ and $t_0 \neq 0$ for both existence and uniqueness.
- (d) Here $f(t, x) = \frac{1}{x-t}$, and $f_x = \frac{1}{t-x^2}$, so we will only have existence problems with this theory if $x_0 = t_0$, and only uniqueness problems if $x_0^2 = t_0$ (or of course $x_0 = t_0$). In fact, we can solve this exact equation, and as it turns out for some pairs with $x_0 = t_0$ we *will* in fact have solutions: the theory simply fails to guarantee them, rather than proving they don't exist.