## HW 6 Graded Problems

**Pg 161 (9):** Consider the following putative theorem: **Theorem:** There are irrational numbers a and b such that  $a^b$  is rational. Is the following proof correct? If so, what proof strategies does it use? If not, can it be fixed? Is the theorem correct? *Proof:* Either  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational or it's irrational. *Case 1.*  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is rational. Let  $a = b = \sqrt{2}$ . Then a and b are irrational, and  $a^b = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ , which we are assuming in this case is rational. *Case 2.*  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  is irrational. Let  $a = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $b = \sqrt{2}$ . Then a is irrational by assumption, and we know that b is also irrational. Also,  $a^b = (\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2$ , which is rational.

This proof is correct, so the theorem is also correct. The main strategy used is a proof by cases, on the rationality or irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ , which are exhaustive. In both cases, the proof produces an example of irrational numbers *a* and *b* such that  $a^b$  is rational, satisfying the theorem that such numbers exist.

**Pg 265 (11):** Prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $9 \mid (4^n + 6n - 1)$ .

We proceed with a proof by induction. For n = 0, the expression  $4^n + 6n - 1 = 1 + 0 - 1 = 0$ , and  $9 \mid 0$ , establishing the base case. Suppose for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $9 \mid (4^n + 6n - 1)$ . Then there is an integer k such that  $4^n + 6n - 1 = 9k$ . We write  $4^{n+1} + 6(n+1) - 1 = 4(4^n + 6n - 1) - 18n + 9 = 9(4k - 2n + 1)$ . Thus, if  $4^n + 6n - 1$  is divisible by 9, so is  $4^{n+1} + 6(n+1) - 1$ . This establishes for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $9 \mid (4^n + 6n - 1)$ .

Alternatively, we could write  $4^n = 9k - 6n + 1$ , yielding  $4^{n+1} + 6(n+1) - 1 = 4(9k - 6n + 1) + 6n + 5 = 36k - 18n + 9 = 9(4k - 2n + 1)$ , to show the induction step works.

**Pg 265 (13):** Prove that for all integers *a* and *b* and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(a + b) \mid (a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1})$ .

We proceed with a proof by induction. Let *a* and *b* be given. For n = 0, the expression  $a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1} = a + b$ , which is clearly divisible by a + b, establishing the base case. Suppose for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $(a + b) | (a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1})$ . Then there is an integer *k* such that  $a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1} = (a + b)k$ . We write

$$a^{2(n+1)+1} + b^{2(n+1)+1} = a^2(a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1}) - a^2b^{2n+1} + b^2b^{2n+1} = a^2(a+b)k - (a-b)(a+b)b^{2n+1} = (a+b)(a^2k - (a-b)b^{2n+1}).$$

Thus, if  $a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1}$  is divisible by a + b, so too is  $a^{2(n+1)+1} + b^{2(n+1)+1}$ . This establishes for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $(a + b) \mid (a^{2n+1} + b^{2n+1})$ , and as a and b were arbitrary, this holds for all integers a and b.

GS A: Prove there are infinitely many prime numbers of the form 3k + 2 for some integer k.

There are certainly primes of the form 3k + 2: 2 = 3(0) + 2 is one of them. Suppose  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are primes of the form 3k + 2. Define  $N = 3p_1p_2 \cdots p_n - 1$ . Since  $N = 3(p_1p_2 \cdots p_n - 1) + 2$ , it is of the form 3k + 2. Now, N leaves remainder -1 when divided by 3 or any  $p_i$ , so in particular it is not divisible by them.

If N is prime, it is not one of  $p_1$  through  $p_n$ . If N is not prime, it is the product of primes. Since  $3 \nmid N$ , these primes are all of the form 3k + 1 or 3k + 2.

Since for all integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ,  $(3k_1 + 1)(3k_2 + 1) = 3(k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$ , the product of primes of the form 3k + 1will also have that form. Since N is of the form 3k + 2, it must therefore have a factor q of the form 3k + 2. Since none of the  $p_i$  divide N, this q is not one of  $p_1$  through  $p_n$ .

In both cases, we have found a prime not on our original list. Since *n* was arbitrary, we conclude no finite list contains all primes of the form 3k + 2, so there must be infinitely many of them.

GS C Prove that for every integer  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \ge \frac{7}{12}.$$

We proceed by induction. For n = 2,  $\frac{1}{2+1} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 2} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{7}{12} \ge \frac{7}{12}$ , establishing the base case. Suppose for some  $n \ge 2$  that  $\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \ge \frac{7}{12}$ . Then we write  $\frac{1}{(n+1)+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} = -\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+2}$ . Using the inductive hypothesis, this is greater than or equal to  $-\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+2}$ . Now,  $-\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+2} = \frac{-4n^2 - 3n - 2 + 2n^2 + 2n + 1 + 2n^2 + 3n + 2}{(n+1)(2n+1)(2n+2)} = \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} > 0$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Thus,  $-\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{7}{12} + \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n+2} \ge \frac{7}{12}$ .

Alternatively, we could argue that  $\frac{1}{2n+1} > \frac{1}{2n+2}$  for  $n \ge 2$ , so  $\frac{1}{(n+1)+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} > \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n+2} + \frac{1}$ which is equal to  $\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n}$ , and then apply the inductive hypothesis.