1: Prove the following:

- (a) Given an indexed family of sets $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ and $J \subseteq I$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \subseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j$.
- (b) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$.
- (c) Given two families of sets \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , if $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$, then for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$.
- (a) Suppose $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$, and let $j \in J$ be arbitrary. Then, since $J \subseteq I$, $j \in I$. So, $x \in A_j$. Since j was arbitrary, $x \in \bigcap_{i \in J} A_j$.
- (b) Suppose $S \in \mathscr{P}(A)$. If $x \in S$, then because $S \subseteq A$, $x \in A$. Then because $B \subseteq A$, $x \in B$. So, $S \subseteq B$, or $S \in \mathscr{P}(B)$. This works for any $S \in \mathscr{P}(A)$, so $\mathscr{P}(A) \subseteq \mathscr{P}(B)$.
- (c) Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$ be arbitrary. Since $\bigcap \mathcal{G}$ is nonempty, there is some $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}$, so in particular $x \in G$. Since $\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$, $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$, so in particular $x \in F$. This means that $x \in F \cap G$, so the set is nonempty. Since F and G were arbitrary, this works for all pairs of $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

- 2: What (if anything) is wrong with the following proofs? Are the theorems true or false?
 (a) *Theorem*: Suppose x ≠ ±1. Then if x²+1/x²-1 = x+1/x⁻¹, then x ≠ 2.
 - *Proof*: Suppose x = 2. Then $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{4+1}{4-1} = \frac{5}{3}$, while $\frac{x+1}{x-1} = \frac{2+1}{2-1} = 3 \neq \frac{5}{3}$. This contradicts our supposition that $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$, so $x \neq 2$.
- (b) *Theorem*: Suppose $x \neq \pm 1$ and $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$. Then $x \neq 2$. *Proof*: Suppose x = 2. Then $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{4+1}{4-1} = \frac{5}{3}$, while $\frac{x+1}{x-1} = \frac{2+1}{2-1} = 3 \neq \frac{5}{3}$. This contradicts our supposition that $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$, so $x \neq 2$.
- (c) *Theorem*: Suppose $x \neq \pm 1$. Then if $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$, then $x \neq 2$. *Proof*: Suppose x = 2. Then $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{4+1}{4-1} = \frac{5}{3}$, while $\frac{x+1}{x-1} = \frac{2+1}{2-1} = 3 \neq \frac{5}{3}$. This shows the contrapositive of the theorem, so the theorem is true.
- (d) *Theorem*: Suppose that $x \neq 0$ and $xy = 1 + x^2y$. Then $y \neq 0$. *Proof*: Since $xy = 1 + x^2y$, we have x(1 - x)y = 1. Since $x \neq 0$, $x(1 - x) \neq 0$, so we can divide both sides by it to get $y = \frac{1}{x(1-x)} \neq 0$. So, $y \neq 0$.
- (e) *Theorem*: Suppose that *x* and *y* are real numbers. If x + y = 0, then if x > 0 then y < 0. *Proof*: Suppose x + y = 0 and $x \le 0$. Then $x + y \le 0 + y = y$, so $y \ge 0$. Thus, if x > 0, then y < 0.
- (a) We never in fact supposed that $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$ in our proof, it is merely implied by the form of the theorem statement. Still, this is not a contradiction, so the proof is incorrect. The theorem is correct, though, as verified in part (c).
- (b) Here, the theorem statement was not a conditional, but gave us as a hypothesis that $\frac{x^2+1}{x^2-1} = \frac{x+1}{x-1}$. So, the contradiction *is* of something we are assuming true at that point in the theorem, so this proof is correct.
- (c) Here, we never claim a contradiction, just use the contrapositive. This proof is correct.
- (d) We are not given that $x \neq 1$, so we cannot conclude $x(1-x) \neq 0$. Thus, the proof is incorrect. However, if x = 1, our equation becomes y = 1+y, contradicting our supposition (from the theorem statement) that $xy = 1+x^2y$, so in fact $x \neq 1$.

We can observe that, if y = 0, then $x(1-x)y = 0 \neq 1$. This is not quite the contrapositive—our conclusion is not a conditional. However, proving that if $xy = 1 + x^2y$ then $y \neq 0$ and knowing $xy = 1 + x^2y$ does prove $y \neq 0$, so this is a valid way of thinking about how to provide a correct proof.

(e) Supposing $x \le 0$ will not help us with the desired theorem, only the converse. Instead, to see this is true suppose x + y = 0 and $y \ge 0$. Then $x + y \ge x + 0 = x$, so $x \le 0$; this is the contrapositive, so we conclude the theorem statement is true.