## Conditionals and Biconditionals

Most theorem statements rely on the conditional "if... then..." or "implies" and biconditional "if and only if..." or "is equivalent to...", denoted  $\implies$  and  $\iff$ , respectively. The statement  $P \implies Q$  is true if Q is true whenever P is (importantly, if P is known to be false,  $P \implies Q$  is true regardless of whether Q is true or not), and  $P \iff Q$  is true if P and Q share the same truth value (both true or both false).

- **1.2.5:** Which of the following conditional sentences are true?
  - (a) If triangles have three sides, then squares have four sides?
  - (b) If hexagons have six sides, then the moon is made of cheese.
  - (c) If 7 + 6 = 14, then 5 + 5 = 10.
  - (d) The Nile River flows east only if 64 is a perfect square.
- (a) This is true: triangles have three sides, so we must check that squares have four, but this is true.
- (b) This is false: hexagons have six sides, so we must check the composition of the moon, which is stone and not cheese.
- (c) This is true:  $7 + 6 \neq 14$ , so we do not even require 5 + 5 = 10.
- (d) This is false:  $64 = 8^2$ , and yet the Nile primarily flows north.

**1.2.6:** Which of the following are true?

- (a) Triangles have three sides iff squares have four sides.
- (b) 7 + 5 = 12 if and only if 1 + 1 = 2.
- (c) 5+6=6+5 iff 7+1=10.
- (d) A parallelogram has three sides iff 27 is prime.
- (a) This is true, as triangles have three sides, and squares four.
- (b) This is true, as 7 + 5 = 12 and 1 + 1 = 2.
- (c) This is false, as 5 + 6 = 11 = 6 + 5, but  $7 + 1 = 8 \neq 10$ .
- (d) This is true, as a parallelogram has four sides and  $27 = 3^3$ .

**1.2.13:** Give, if possible, an example of a true conditional sentence for which

- (a) the converse is true.
- (b) the converse is false.
- (c) the contrapositive is false.
- (d) the contrapositive is true.
- (a) Here any one implication of a biconditional would do; for a mathematical example, "the linear transformation *T* is invertible if  $det(T) \neq 0$ " works.
- (b) An example here is "if *f* is a polynomial function, *f* is differentiable", as there are a great many differentiable functions which are not polynomial.
- (c) This cannot be done, as the contrapositive of a conditional is equivalent to the original statement.
- (d) Any conditional sentence will do here; one choice is (given some fixed number x) "if x > 0, then  $x \neq 0$ ". The contrapositive, here "if x = 0, then  $x \neq 0$ " (or the more naturally phrased "if x = 0, then  $x \leq 0$ "), can be directly verified.

**1.2.16:** Determine whether each of the following is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither. (a)  $[(P \implies Q) \implies P] \implies P$  (c)  $P \implies Q \iff P \land \sim Q$ (b)  $P \equiv P \land (P \lor Q)$  (d)  $P \implies [P \implies (P \implies Q)]$ 

- (a) If P is false, P ⇒ Q is true, so (P ⇒ Q) ⇒ P is false, so the top implication is true. If P is true and Q is false, P ⇒ Q is false, so (P ⇒ Q) ⇒ P is true, so the top implication is true. If P is true and Q is true, every implication is true. Thus, this is a tautology.
- (b) It is always true that  $P \equiv P$ , so this is equivalent to  $P \lor Q$ , which may be either true or false, so this is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.
- (c) By de Morgan's Laws,  $P \land \sim Q$  is equivalent to  $\sim (\sim P \lor \sim \sim Q)$ , which is equivalent to  $\sim (\sim P \lor Q)$ , which we know is equivalent to  $\sim (P \implies Q)$ . Thus, this is equivalent to  $(P \implies Q) \iff \sim (P \implies Q)$ , which is clearly a contradiction.
- (d) If *P* is false, the top implication is true. If *P* is true and *Q* is false,  $P \implies Q$  is false, so  $P \implies (P \implies Q)$  is false, so the top implication is false. Thus, this is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.